


*"Watchman,
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,
the hour and the end!"* Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



Tovia Singer

“Dome of the ROCK Abomination?”

691AD + 1335

->-

Response

Considering some major spiritual as we now enter the Fall like with “midterm” elections this November which to be blunt has the future of the “Sweet Land” at stake, that for the emergence to the golden age expected to be some turmoil, Well, God did say the same Land is to **speak as a dragon**; well, that’s one thing.

And yet, for those few who have taken time to review the challenge of Tovia Singer's 1335 interpretation of the Dome of the Rock prophecy → [here](#)

fact is things are not ceasing in the developments of the spiritual realm, but then who of you have given a bit more than reasonable attention to the inaugural the 7N international congress → [here](#) this November ?

Well take note, TS is spearheading the way for an outreach 7N imposition.

Rabbi T brings the defence of the 7 N

→ [Here](#)

And you will notice the joy expressed with the first Noachide congress.

→ [Here](#)

Yet, one must **slow down** to listen to T's fast speaking on this matter

to what is a very fast duplicitous tongue brings yet another attempt to school Bible Christian's by inferring

- 7N exist in Acts 15
- Shema and 7N are akin to laws of morality = Acts 17
- Rabbe sends a fast ball dispatching Mt Sinai and 10C

- And ingratiatingly asks “**what did Sodom do wrong?**”

Today it is understandable ‘James’ of **Acts 15** would be a good Noahide. Which after-all was the controversy of that Jerusalem Council and then TS makes another bad prophetic call on Ezekiel 37:28 And Concludes there is no Second Coming.

TS says that **there are way more laws** and that falls under the jurisdiction of the book of the law which as everyone knows was **not placed inside the Ark of the TEN Commandments.**

Meaning what?

The Leviticus verse stands true in relation to the validity of the **10 Commandments of Exodus 20.**

Contradicting TS.

Actually, Jesus addressed The issue of the all the laws **traditions of the elders.** Matt 15:2

While Jesus wouldn't negate These helpful injunctions

HWM warned

About the use of judgemental Character Perfection in

Relation to dogmatic ritual observance.

CASE in point

when Jesus was eating with his disciples
he didn't wash his hands and
told them that they clean
the outside of the cup
but **Inside** there are
many bad things.

JESUS also stated what goes into the
Stomach is expelled, and that would
imply the **unclean** is
Expelled in the draught.
Matt 15:17

Like, could have been ingested by
Accident. Right?

So, according to the way Jesus presented the issue
there is no need to worry so much about **ritual**
Purity if you ingested something impure.

Like as a natural daily event
Expelled out the other end.

Even John the Baptist's disciples were
Most likely critical of Jesus' methodology or of his disciples.

Back to James Acts 15
and the Jerusalem Council

This matter of ritual purity Falls under the 'ceremonial laws' whereas the leadership may have added additional burdens that externally were deemed as "righteous acts" of purity

but in **Jesus eyes,**
were
Rubbish.

An injunction about abstaining from strangled animals or
Abstaining from eating blood as previously stated
falls under the ceremonial and health laws.

These would not be called "7N".

That spiritual misappropriation by TS is indeed **tricky**
If it were not apart from the 10 commandments

You will recall

This injunction appeared when Jesus
Cast out some spirits that wanted to
Enter the **swine**.

Jesus let it happen, since they weren't beneficial to the health of those
people, yet as you read the account
it saw that the demons wanted to enter swine.

That would constitute a **financial disaster** for the seller
since no sin was involved in the eating
of **clean animals**,
so the principal of one Law
for all

can be seen in the way Jesus permitted
the demons to enter
The [swine](#).

Bottom line was their sale was not benefiting humanity
And as far as the Financial loss, well yes, and that is why
They wanted him **out of there**.

Yet, the problem with 7 N
is the L1

not the Law of Two.

And why?

Since, the 7N **denies** the “**heritage of Jacob**”!

And the **L1 = 7 N**

in fact sanctions the teachings of Philo

Which are now seen in K book of mysticism
when the cultural accruements are eliminated

it's still 1 g.

So, would a discerning patriot now recognise the immediately why the
Sweet Land maxim

where **one goes, we all go and we all do the same** exists for a larger spiritual conditioning, not of Heaven?

The discerning patriot should by now begin to see
Where we go together and do the same maxim
is a very sharp two-edged sword

AND

does not constitute the **heritage of Jacob**

and as we all know by now
HWM never forced the L2 on Humanity

yet he died
for all mankind as presented
in Romans 5.

For scarcely for a righteous man will one die: yet peradventure for a good man some would even dare to die.

5:8 But God commendeth his love toward us, in that, **while we were yet sinners, Christ died for us.**

5:9 Much more then, being now justified by his blood, we shall be **saved from wrath** through him.

So the clear fact of the matter
lays in the Benefits of the ceremonial laws
as healthy measures

but not

**THEOCRATIC PRINCIPLE
OF THE FIRST
of the 7 n**

WHICH IS **ANOTHER LAW**

WHICH IS **ANTITHETICAL TO**

THE 10 COMMANDMENTS

And why?

Because

THE TRUE IMAGE of Eternal Righteousness
IS THE ANTHROPOMORPHIC = FORM AND SPIRIT
of the Two Divines of Genesis 1:26, 11:7, Isaiah 44:6 etc

as opposed to Pantheism.

Ketur

The other heresy is the 'crown' emanation which is the Ketur which **errantly** Equates to the "Angel of the Lord" and

This also is the denial of the heritage of Jacob.

TS emphasises the 7N laws **were instituted**
From the time of Noah,
after the Flood.

Well, If Rabbi did pay attention
there are things concerning
the **Heritage of Jacob**
that are standing
in the way of
the 7N

Firstly

LEVITICUS reveals no such thing.

What the 10 Commandments
stipulates is there is one

LAW for the native Israelites,

and the

Same law for the common person or
Stranger who resides within the gates
of the corpus of ancient Israel.

Second Point

There was never a 7 N Law based on being
contrived as the
First commandment

Why?

Since Genesis 1:26
as well as Genesis 11:7

present the **Divine Duality** of John 1:1

Both, the **Us** as creating man
in **their** Image
and likeness.

Genesis 11 presents **both** of Them
descending upon Babel
to see 'what was up'
at the tower.

EXODUS 23:21 presents this
Same **Duality** with the Angelic
Representative carrying

the name of The invisible Elohim **within him**
thus Proving **Isaiah 44:6**

is presenting

Two Yahweh's.

23:20 Behold, I send an **Angel** before thee, to keep thee in the
way, and to bring thee into the place which I have prepared.

23:21 **Beware of him,**
and **obey his voice,**
provoke him not;

for he will not pardon your transgressions:

for my name [is] in him.

Accordingly, we don't agree with

Rebbe Japhet that Elohim
represents a
Solely individual God.

No!

John and Moses declared A Duality

bereshit bera Elohim

→ [Here](#)

Elohim being “**Echad**” one

Deut 6:4 – The Shema

→ [Here](#)

When Elohim is used in a **singular fashion**

it is solely in reference to the
Angelic figure

who is acting
in **singular** Fashion.

Yes Father #2 of Isaiah 9:6-7

Think ...

If **Eternal 1** is sending the
“**Messenger of the Covenant**”
Malachi 3

Eternal 1 doesn't necessarily
have to Be present.

Eternal 2 can forgive sins,
but in this case won't

and

Paul talks about that in

1 Corinthians 10:1-5

This verse is foundational

For explaining the
“solo” scenario.

The whole idea is the **Eternal #2** of **Isaiah 44:6**
has the **same Authority** as **Father # 1**

and in the past

Eternal 1 never
Spoke through ‘sonship’
yet the “**Messenger**”

who Was sent

Psa 110

who was alongside Eternal 1 =
Psa 110 = John 1:1

both had the
same name

which was

Within the **Lord of Hosts.**
= Father # 2.

And Isaiah 9:6-7 confirms

and his name shall be called

Wonderful,
Counsellor,

The Mighty God,
Everlasting Father, #2
The Prince of Peace.

Therefore, We can only abide by the ancient
Use of the plural **Elohim**

and do not sanction
or believe in the
so-called use
of modern Hebrew

as an **excuse**

for the So-called correction
or alteration of the original meaning

of the word
Elohim

from its original **plurality** from
The ancient Hebrew.

Indeed, Moses was no dummy.

Heritage of Jacob

We believe this to be the first instance of when the original **Heritage of Jacob** has been clearly violated by the occult intrusion of the **Law of one** which was introduced in Judaism

By way of **Philo of Alexandria**

who was Trying to implement within **Jewish theology** the

NEO PLATONIC

teaching

Of the **Occult** "Law of 1",

How?

by way of stating that the **Angel of the Lord** in the Old Testament was an **emanation** from the so called **one god** of **Neo Platonism**.

This is usually denied by the establishment,

Yet,

there is no Difference between
the **Law of one** of
NEO PLATONISM

in comparison to the K BOOK

Because, both in principle teach the same thing with the difference being That in the K book version there are **10** so-called emanating from the void of the **Ein Soff**,

as well as the additional Hebrew cultural accruements.

Yet, both teach the **Law of one**

whereas Judaism attaches
this **occult** invocation teaching and
applies directly to
Deuteronomy 6:4.

And as previously
Stated the understanding of this verse
Has to be centred

on **Genesis 1:26** and **Genesis 11.**

The descent of the Us
the Two Divines
at Babel.

Isaiah 2's Prediction

The third point

is the prediction in ISAIAH 2
states

**that a Law
would come from
Jerusalem CITY.**

And many people shall go and say, Come ye, and let us go up to the mountain of the LORD, to the house of the God of Jacob; and he will teach us of his ways, and we will walk in his paths:

for out of Zion shall go forth the law,
and the word of the LORD
from Jerusalem.

From where?

Jerusalem

YET

the Law for both the common Israelites and
The foreigner already came from where?

Mount Sinai.

That's a really big Geographical
difference!

Right?

**The mystery of iniquity has been busy
convoluting the accuracy of geological
facts and the messing with the
TRUTH of Eternal Righteousness
of HWM**

CONCLUSION

THIS OCCULT TEACHING (L1)

DENIES JESUS CHRIST

AS THE ALPHA and OMEGA

Since Jesus stated

That he was

I AM prior to Abraham

Paul also stated in

1 Corinthians 10 verses 1-5

that the **Angelic figure** that

Accompanied ancient Israel

in the wilderness, yes the

Heritage of Jacob

was the pre-incarnate Christ. Father #2

The Mighty God of Isaiah 9:6-7.

Right?

Point 4

TS brings out the point that

DANIEL AND FRIENDS WERE
NOT ABLE TO SACRIFICE ANIMALS
SINCE THE first Temple was
destroyed

But, simply bowed towards
Jerusalem City
from
BABYLON.

YES , THIS WAS ACCEPTABLE
PRIOR TO THE CLOSE
OF THE **70 WEEKS**
of **Daniel 9**.

Nevertheless, those

70 WEEKS DETERMINED UPON – what exactly?

- a) **THY PEOPLE,**
- b) **THY CITY** AND
- c) **Temple.**

Surely,

Every self-respecting Rebbe

Familiar with the history of Ancient Israel
should recognise the **history of the 70 weeks**
applied to the **city temple** and **thy people**
How could that ever be missed ?

But also
and that **70-week prophecy** ended and
then there was preeminent rabbi Teacher in
Saul the “**Hebrew of the Hebrews**”
A pharisee of the pharisees
the best very Hebrew
of the Law

What a shocker when Saul later realised he consented
unto the death of Stephen
and Saul was well aware of Daniel 9
that the ending of the 70 weeks of Daniel 9
had closed and that event
as recorded and that guilt was on his shoulders
for his decision for the entire nation

Acts 8: 1-2
= 34 AD.

HWM
Forewarned in Matt 23:38

Your House left unto you **desolate**

Right?

Cestius and **Titus** bearing in at
The city in the timeframe of
66-70AD

who then completed the
Matt 23:38 DESOLATION =
“overspreading of desolations”

Daniel 9 accurately states

for the **overspreading of abominations** he shall make [it]
desolate, even until the consummation,

and that determined shall be
poured upon the
DESOLATE

Equals what? = Matt 23:38.

Your house is left unto you desolate?

Right?

Point 5

The theology where everyone gets wrong and
Assumes Jesus replaced the **true Olive
TREE** with the **Rich root**

HWM said

The **New Vineyard** of **Matthew 20**
Replaced the Old Vineyard
of the unfaithful tenants,
who were sent prophets and finally
the owner's son.

Point 6

The **Book of Job** exposes
MITSVAH theology = works
And includes Self-righteousness

The mitsvahs **are anathema of
Eternal Righteousness**

by virtue
Of reliance on
Character Perfection.

CP holds a massive stronghold,
like where?

Like Everywhere.

The Sin problem on earth

Point 7

Mitzvah theology
as seen in Rabbe Japhet
and in Islam and in Laodicea

But From Heavens viewpoint

Does NOT address the sin

Problem on earth

And the sin problem
began where?

Answer

The sin problem began in Heaven,

and is revealed in
[Mithraic Mysteries](#)
of **David Ulancy**.

There is much more.
Anyone paying attention?

The summer of the shooting Fig tree

Briefly, TS has confirmed already
that blood sacrifice for forgiveness
Is not necessary.

Whereas the fig leaves of Adam and Eve in comparison
With the cursed fig tree addresses the
Matter of self-righteousness from the
Very commencement.

Ezekiel 9 presents the rejection of the animal
Skins By way of Judah and Israel

and that is why Ezekiel is **alone** at the altar in the court.

Needs to be kept in mind that
Ezekiel was taken in vision to the river
CHEBAR in Babylon in reference to the
Exiles.

The vision of Ezekiel 9 rather than nullifying the issue of blood
Atonement presents

The cleansing of the Sanctuary

based

On what is stated in chapter 9 regarding Judah and Israel = sin problem.

This chapter nullified the
Concept of the millennium Temple

Since Juda and Israel rejected Messianic blood Atonement of Isaiah 53
which means a millennium Temple
Would be **meaningless**
And confirmed in
Isaiah 2 anyway

And since the concept of **cleansing**
was rejected in Ezekiel 9 and
Has dire implications for both houses
Of Israel whether true or imaginary
But much more for **Laodicea**.

So what now about the Seal of God
In the sealing time of the 144?

Luke 21 - Confirms operation of evil at 3 T.

HWM Interfered in the same matter when
[Julian the apostate](#)

attempted to rebuild the
Temple trying to
fulfill Isaiah 2.

HWM, Now permits it today since it is the time frame of the 'every
operation of evil' post Luke 21:24.

Try chewing on those facts!

--)-
Swift Messenger
--)-

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com

Man in Linen videos: <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.