


*"Watchman,
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,
the hour and the end!"*

Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



Begotten, Not made ?

Both, Which?

Or, is it neither?

Or, a nothing burger?

Who today cannot recite **John 3:16?** Who is aware the assumptions associated with John 3:16; in fact, attacks the First Angel's warning and the Eternal Righteousness of Christ at the same time?

Does John 3:16 sustain why Christ is Alpha and Omega? Does it sustain Christ's Eternal Righteousness? Why does a deliberate embarrassment exist with the Unpardonable Sin (UPS) by the enemy of Righteousness, that Christ was begotten in eternity?

Why does the popularity of John 3:16 also retain a subliminal antithetical doctrine, known as the Law of 1, the basis of the Unpardonable Sin?

Fact is, the Bible cannot and does not sustain MBMOHs concept of **God the Eternal Son**. Nor can John 3:16 host the Law of 1 nor can anyone explain why Laodicea officialised (immortalised) the UPS, as doctrine in FB #4.

Many say, oh you are too earnest. Relax, we love you. If, John 3:16 is such a **nothing burger**, then why does it boomerang into the First Angels warning? And then, why does the supernatural attack exist on Christ's Eternal **Alpha Identity** focus solely on destroying the Eternal Righteousness of HWM?

And in the process curse the **Law of Two of John 1:1**.

So, no,

John 3:16 is not, a nothing burger.

The subtle genius of the **L1** [here](#) ultimately brings an insurmountable spiritual distress into reality, in the Apocalypse and achieves the near impossible, which HWM said – would if possible, deceive the very elect. Without the Eternal Righteousness of HWM and the L2 where do you stand then?

In other words, the mystery of iniquity bypasses every level of critical thinking, forging eventually a vast spiritual enclave of oppressive control.

All the while the L1 achieves the unthinkable.

Which was what?

Answer: That Christ was God Eternal Son from eternity, that at some juncture, emanated or was begotten of the Father.

In other words, how does the 2Thes2 guy, achieve this level of worship, that he is gd? Well, the **L1** must destroy every vestige of the Law of Two and John 1:1.

Jesus warned ...

Let no man deceive you by any means:

Those who feel John 3:16 is a theological **nothing burger** unfortunately are ineffectual witnesses of why HWM said

I AM Alpha & Omega and that means one is a deep void, confused caught "snare" in a theological thicket that is constantly blinding and harming the Eternal Righteousness of HWM.

How? They all say there was One Gd in eternity.

With that in mind, HWM has warned Himself

there is next to no possibility of recovery in the timeframe of Matt 24:15. The Abomination of Desolation.

No doubt this is the "Peril" Egw spoke about.

Has to be. Egw said the Omega would be

received and she trembled.

Ok, so who at this minute does not understand the gravity of the problem about the **Law of Two of the First Angels warning** and **the Alpha & Omega Identity of HWM and His Eternal Righteousness?**

All the while, the **L1** energises the love gospel, theological unity and peace by way of nuanced talk like UC, Christ consciousness and they say this is all in respect to John 3:16, **and is a nothing burger?**

Those who esteem the many versions of the **L1** lets take the Arian doctrine in Laodicea (that Jesus Christ is Gds **literal son** even in eternity) are already standing neck deep in perilous waters.

The Law of Two and John 3:16

So, what is the true Biblical choice concerning John 3:16 that reveals the essential and vitally important aspects of the **Law of Two** and Christs Eternal Righteousness that bears no resemblance to **begotten, emanated, that is not made in eternity.**

Basic Foundations

For starters, **John 1:1** confirms the **L2.**

Whereas the **L1** forges the war of Rev 13, the 'oppressive law' of Isaiah 2:3 during the 1290 days, HWM called the Abomination of Desolation = Matt 24:15.

For the Laodicean, who is wringing their hands over NSL, is a major distraction because the impossible thing for a Laodicean to understand is the theology of **God the Eternal Son** [FB #4](#)

is a RC doctrine.

(Catechesis - para [423](#), [430](#) and the [Credo](#)).

The credo confirms Christ was begotten, not made in eternity and therefore God the Eternal Son is the root of the TTD. Why? because **God the Father, who is not God the son, who is not God HS**. All separate, yet 1g. = L1
Right?

All the while, Not one padre, elder or academic in Laodicea can explain why the **L1** doctrine origin exists; or why it was adapted from the RCC and immortalised into the 27FBs.

The **L1** is embedded in the 7N.

The **L1** the Omega of Deadly Heresies' assumption, is easily adapted and applied and fitted perfectly with John 3:16

Egw warned the Omega of Deadly Heresies would be **received** and yeh exists in their 28 FBs.

If Egw warned about the Omega of Deadly Heresies and **trembled**, right? why is it not perceived as the UPS?

Matt 12:31.

Laodicea published the Omega of Deadly Heresies it is official, its FB#4 and applied by Laodicea to John 3:16. Trapped in the mumbo of the Triune Trinity.

Leaving you the tell-tale evidence of the tremendous success of the **Law of One** and then drifting ever the deeper into the realm of spirits like frogs and full-blown spiritualism which is believed to be "okeydokey" with John 3:16,

all the while you have unpardonable spiritual problems; and the First Angel warning is tipped out on its head.

God said, Laodiceans are asleep and indifferent; neither hot nor cold but lukewarm as to the love of Gd, the AGAPE of the Elohim. God said Laodicea is spiritually lazy and insulting to God anyway.

Why warn the world of a NSL and then

accept FB 2,4, that's fully papal anyway.

Doesn't make any sense.

The state of Laodicea today is as Egw warned. The Omega of DHs was received, she rightly trembled because the mystery of iniquity altered the meaning of John 3:16 and sidestepped Laodicea into the **L1** enclave of Biblical genre and theological folklore of unity in diversity

All despite John 1:1 and the L2

And who then, are the wiser?

Yes, A perilous state of spiritual affairs.

But then

Christ said, "I AM, Alpha & Omega.

Isaiah 9:6 confirmed Christs I AM status

Unto us a child is born, a son is given

and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace.

Confirming the obvious

- 1) He **never** was begotten or emanated in eternity.
- 2) He was **also** an Everlasting Father.

Melchizedek

So, How could **Melchizedek** be compared to **God the Eternal Son doctrine FB4** , to be begotten in eternity

when Heb 7:1-3 denies this categorically?

Melchizedek Eternal identity confirms John 1:1, and Two Divines
all to the contrary of God the Eternal Son FB#4 ?

Right?

Anyone care to explain that?

That means what?

There can only be a pre-eminent Truth concerning John 3:16, and keep in
mind HWM said **Scripture cannot be broken.**

So, why do Laodiceans miss the context of **Romans 1:3-4** and **Acts 13:30-33** concerning **sonship** "according to the flesh" which means God the
Eternal Son is an impossibility?

Just on that basis alone

John 3:16 can only follow what John 1:14 establishes?

The uniquely begotten monogenes theos into humanity and since Scripture
cannot be broken, there cannot be a ruination to the Law of Two. Right?

And only then will you begin to obtain the picture

Why HWM is the "**I AM**" **prior** to Abraham why He is the LSFOTW (Rev
13:8)

and that why He is the **Alpha and Omega** and confirmed as both the **Root**
and **Offspring of David**.

Right?

The Bible provides us an established fact, that **John 1:1** marks the time frame in the Heavens, known as the

Alpha scenario of Eternal Righteousness

= LSFOTW = Rev 13:8-9.

How?

Since the "Word" = Christ was present **in Beginning** (no article) which is as best as man can relate as a Transcendent period of Divine Time, (not human solar time)

which in other words implies that there was **never** a "time" which would be the antithesis of what we know as human solstice time frame,

but there never was a Transcendental time frame

(if such exists),

when He did not exist as Also an Everlasting Father.

Luke 1 described the Omega, we have His entrance at

into human solar time, at Bethlehem

the literal sonship **Timeframe** of the **Omega scenario**.

Daniel 9's **70-week prophecy** states

that Christ = Messiah was sent to this world,
that Eternal Righteousness was **ushered in**,
in the form of the Holy Spirit overshadowing Mary.

The Apostle Paul proves this by stating in **Colossians 2**: that the **Fulness of the Godhead resided bodily in Jesus Christ**.

It is this scenario that the Scripture refers to as the **Omega scenario of Son of God according to the flesh, or Seed of David. Romans 1:3**.

The main problem is that the early church fathers confused this thoroughly and entwined it with **Greek mystical Theology** known as **Neo Platonism**.

Much is not understood in Laodicea how Greek mystical theology unfolded prior to the birth of Jesus Christ.

Yet, the [Jewish Encyclopedia](#) states
that [Philo of Alexandria](#)
a **Jewish Neo Platonist Scholar**,

Philo lived during the Second Century BC
declared that the **Angel of the Lord** or
the "[Dabar](#)" = "Word" of God,

and wait for it

was an **emanation** from the “**one true god**”
known as the Father.

And Guess what!

That's the L1

Not the **Law of Two**.

So, the question is - from where did Philo obtain this theological commitment?

The answer had to be **Neo Platonism**.

On account of the fact that **John 1:1**

had not yet been written

in the **2nd Century BC**;

yet, the L2 was in existence prior to Bethlehem

and the equivalent text to John 1:1

is Psalm 110:1,

110 The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

which references the same Elohim **DUALITY** of John 1:1,

yet, missing are the more specific details of John 1:1
such as the **Eternal 2**, existing from "Beginning";

Psalm 110:1 reveals Eternal # 1 and # 2

as sitting next to each other

Eternal #2 being sought **to sit at the right hand**
of Eternal # 1.

Psalm 110:1 also references Eternal # 2 as King David's Lord.

The LORD said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand,

So, the conclusion is inescapable

John 1:1 reveals 'Eternal # 2' of the Elohim

as "the Word" as present in beginning,

and this would also have to

include **Eternal # 1** who, **also** was God.

The **Word** was **alongside God, and was God.**

Here John 1:1 references Psalm 110:1.

The **Word then became flesh** from **John 1:14**
and **not prior** to this verse.

Psalm 110:2 and verse 4

references the '**sent Rod**'

the Lowering of **Eternal # 2** and verse 4

as the **Order of Melchizedek**

in human genetics of the Uniquely begotten (monogenes theos)
or Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God.

The accuracy of John 3:16 as a translation concerning the context of the
Omega scenario which is the monogenes theos who entered into **human**
solar time is seen applied

[here](#) and [here](#)

So, No!

John 3:16 = the Incarnation (Omega)
cannot be applied to the Transcendent Divine
time of "in beginning"
of John 1:1.

That is not difficult to understand.

The Alpha scenario

Psalm 110:1 reveals **EQUALITY** on the throne and that Eternal # 1 is speaking to David's Lord,

Who is Eternal # 2;

and telling him to **sit alongside him**
until he puts his enemies under his feet.

Since the Bible is **silent** in reference to a statement declaring that **Eternal Father #2** was or

is an emanation from **Eternal # 1**

This was erroneously declared by **Philo**,

And we now have to look elsewhere, why?

Since **Psalm 2:7** was **not fulfilled prior** to the Incarnation as believed by Philo.

I will tell of the decree:
Jehovah said unto me, Thou art my son;
This day have I begotten thee.

Those who view this verse as a commencement point of the 'Birthing' of Christ by emanation in the Heavens prior to the Incarnation,

are **repeating what?**

Philo of Alexandria's error

and centuries later it was borrowed by the *Roman Catholic Church* fathers in the form of the statement in the **Creeds** of both the Eastern and Western Catholic churches

that Creed said

"Jesus Christ was begotten not created prior to the ages".

So, in **Order to demolish this heresy** which these early church Fathers borrowed from Philo and Neo Platonism,

which they claim is based on Psalm 2:7

whereas God said as to the **fulfillment** of **Psalm 2:7**

is found where?

Yes, in **Acts 13:30-33**.

³⁰ But God raised him from the dead: ³¹ and he was seen for many days of them that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people.

³² And we bring you good tidings of the promise made unto the fathers,

³³ **that God hath fulfilled the same unto our children, in that he raised up Jesus; as also it is written in the second psalm,**

^[a] **Thou art my Son, this day** have I begotten thee.

Contextually Psalm 2:7 was fulfilled by the Resurrection of Jesus Christ.

Romans 1

⁴ **who was ^[a]declared to be the Son of God ^[b]with power, according to the spirit of holiness,**

by the resurrection ^[c]from the dead; even Jesus Christ our Lord,

There is absolutely no hint of EMANATIONS or begottens in Acts 13:30-33.

The '**decree**' was fulfilled upon the Resurrection of Jesus Christ

and not prior to that in the Heavens

This is assumed by Spiritualisms doctrine of Neo Platonism in the form of the **emanations** of souls **from the Universe**, which eventually return to the Universe, in what is called Soul Cycling.

This being at the very heart of Spiritualism's
secret doctrine which goes by the
doctrinal name
of Eternal Generation or
more commonly known as the

Eternal Son doctrine

which is more acceptable to Protestants, but is
also **the same**

**deadly heresy known
as the**

**Omega of Deadly
heresy to Laodicea.**

Others, will impinge and argue and say that the **Eternal Son** was present prior to the Incarnation based on Psa 30

Psalm 30:4

Who hath ascended up into heaven, and descended?

Who hath gathered the wind in his fists?

Who hath bound the waters in his garment?

Who hath established all the ends of the earth?

What is his name, and what is his son's name,

if thou knowest?

Upon first reading it appears to be in reference to a pre-incarnate Sonship, but upon close investigation

it is not.

It's a **Messianic prophecy**

since the **Angel of the Lord** is the one who accomplished **all of the above**

The question of what is his son's name is answered in Zecharia 3:7

"The Lord of Hosts".

The question of who is his son's name, is answered as

His **Servant** the **BRANCH** Messiah.

Zecharia 3:7-9

7 Thus saith Jehovah of hosts: If thou wilt walk in my ways, and if thou wilt keep my charge, then thou also shalt judge my house, and shalt also keep my courts, and I will give thee ^[a]a place of access among these that stand by.

8 Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou and thy fellows that sit before thee; for they are men that are a ^[b]**sign**: for, behold, **I will bring forth my servant the ^[c]BRANCH.**

9 For, behold, the stone that I have set before Joshua; upon one stone are seven eyes: behold, I will engrave the graving thereof, saith Jehovah of hosts, and I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day.

Now John 12:

35 Jesus therefore said unto them, Yet a little while is the light ^[a]among you. Walk while ye have the light, that darkness overtake you not: and he that walketh in the darkness knoweth not whither he goeth.

36 While ye have the light, believe on the light, that ye may become sons of light.

These things spake Jesus, and he departed and ^[b]hid himself from them.

³⁷ But though he had done so many signs before them,
yet they believed not on him:

³⁸ that the word of Isaiah the prophet might be fulfilled,
which he spake,

^[c]**Lord, who hath believed our report?**

And to whom hath the arm of the Lord been revealed?

³⁹ For this cause they could not believe, for that **Isaiah** said
again,

⁴⁰ ^[d]He hath blinded their eyes, and he hardened their heart;
Lest they should see with their eyes, and perceive with their
heart,

**And should turn,
And I should heal them.**

⁴¹ These things said **Isaiah**, because he saw his **glory**; and he spake of
him.

The question that **Proverbs** asks regarding what's his name, and his Son's
name, is finally resolved by **Isaiah**

as in **chapter 6**
the **Lord of Hosts**
being the **Pre-incarnate identity**
of Jesus Christ himself.

Meaning that the **Lord of Hosts** was the Father of Jesus Christ after the Incarnation, since it is stated that Isaiah as in chapter 6 saw the "**glory**" of Jesus Christ himself in the form of the **Lord of Hosts**.

Yet these facts just cannot assemble a doctrine of God the Eternal Son.

Why?

Romans 1:3

Son of God according to the flesh according to the seed of David.

Then why is it that ppl cannot see this very same doctrine of **Son of the seed of David**
is what utterly

contradicts and **destroys** the Eternal Son doctrine.

--0--

The Doctrine of Incarnational Sonship.

The one who descended was the **Angel of the Lord** in the form of the **Rod** or **Eternal Spirit** see Psalm 110:2

and he later Ascended as stated in [Romans 1:4](#)

4 who was [a]declared *to be* the Son of God [b]with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection [c]from the dead; *even* Jesus Christ our Lord,

and **Hebrews 6:19-20** references

Jesus Christ resurrection:

¹⁹ which we have as an anchor of the soul, *a hope* both sure and stedfast and **entering** into that which is within

the veil; ²⁰ whither as a forerunner Jesus **entered** for us, having become a high priest for ever after the **order of Melchizedek**.

The reference of he who ascended and descended was never in reference to **Eternal Father #1** - unless there was an exception at the Tower of Babel, when the **Eternal "two" of John 1:1**

Yes The Elohim descended to see what the people were doing at Babel and later they confused their languages; since all references to the work of God on planet earth have been in reference to Christ himself as the Spokesman **Angel of the Lord** as ascending and descending.

The Angel of the Lord

He is present throughout the Old Testament in the appearances of the **Angel of the Lord** especially when **Manoa**, Samson's father presented the **Angel of the Lord** with a sacrifice and the **Angel of the Lord** ascended to the heavens by placing himself in the form of Spirit = **flame of fire into the sacrifice and ascended to heaven**.

Or when the **Spirit of God** = Christ,

hovered over the waters he descended from the heavens in order to renew the face of the earth after **Bohu** and **Tohu desolation and void**.

See Genesis 1. [here](#)

The initial questions regarding **who hath established the ends of the earth** is actually in reference to Christ

asking Job the following question.

"Where were you when I laid the foundations of the earth?

Job 38:8 addresses the major facts of Creation like who hath bound the waters in his garment in the following form.

Job 38:

8 Or who shut up the sea with doors,

When it brake forth, ^[e]as if it had issued out of the womb;

9 When I made clouds the garment thereof,

And thick darkness a swaddling-band for it,

10 And ^[f]marked out for it my bound,

And **set bars** and doors,

11 And said, Hitherto shalt thou come, but no further;

And here shall thy proud waves be stayed?

--0--

What is the doctrine of **Eternal Generation of the Son
? And why does it VOID Anthropomorphism?**

Answer

The Answer is to the annulling of anthropomorphism

is because the eternal generation is the **non-biblical Universe religion is repackaged as the**

“Christianity’s” Eternal Son Doctrine

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eternal_generation_of_the_Son

Of course, did you skip pass the link to be bothered to read about the eternal generation of the son doctrine?

Are you really then an informed Christian?

My ppl are destroyed by a lack of what?

Well too bad, for you.

For the lackadaisical in Laodicea, God has provided much evidence and the conclusion about The Logos John 1:1

who also had an Eternal Identity and Eternal Righteousness of HWM who was ALSO GOD of John 1:1.

Which is why the importance of Revelation 13:8 is not perceived and only confirms what? Those who remain indifferent about John 3:16 as a nothing burger

are forged fast to the **Omega of Deadly Heresies**.

What’s worse is the **God the Eternal Son doctrine** = eternal generation of the son in eternity, (one of the many forms of the Omega of DHs), and

means you will have **no testimony** when it comes to the First Angels warning.

Hey, Just Forget about thinking you will be giving the Third angels warning by way of the eternal generation of the son and the L1. The First B does that already. Didn't you know that?

Summary Statement

The Two Divines, Two Eternal Fathers, The Elohim of the Bible John 1:1 are Anthropomorphic Beings.

They are not a [Monad](#) – unit of consciousness of the universe or some form of Pantheistic Universal Consciousness,

No,

they Both are personal beings.

You have read it from Scripture

and why does Laodicea refuse to believe it or wish to understand the Omega of DEADLY HERESIES?

Hey? How can anyone begin to fathom, or deal with the spiritualism L1 versions of UFO demons, greys or Nordics ?

Well?

The doctrine of Eternal Generation of the Son voids the Anthropomorphic.

It is Timeless and non-bodily.

This is simply revealing the root of the Eternal Son doctrine.

It's the root of the TTD.

Madam BLAVATSKY called it the "Secret Doctrine." [here](#)

Egw gave DIRE WARNING about

the Omega of DHs

would be received and described it as

[sparks of their own Kindling.](#)

How?

EGW in fact alluded to the **Monad** of the Secret Doctrine as in so-called **units of Consciousness** and said it this way, it was [sparks of their own Kindling.](#)

But, How deadly is the doctrine of eternal son generation?

=FB 4.

The marker of spiritual difficulty is now; it is well entrenched in Laodicea and will be accelerated by the GOLDEN AGE.

The euphoria of the mystical, especially in preparations for the arrival of the **First B**, that **was**,
And presently **is not**, and yet will be, to emerge.

Whereas **Bible evidence of L2 destroys** the deviltry of the "Universe" and "eternal son generation" doctrines ...

In Hebrews 1.

¹⁰ And,

[n] **Thou, Lord**, in the beginning didst lay the foundation of the earth,

And the heavens **are the works** of thy hands:

¹¹ **They shall perish; but thou continuest:**

And they all shall wax old as doth a garment;

¹² And as a mantle **shalt thou roll them up,**

As a garment, and they shall be changed:

But **thou art the same,**

And thy years shall not fail.

This eternal generation theosophical concept of the secret doctrine (= FB 2,4) is the strength of the L1, and the Gnostics love it. The mainstay problem is the

Omega of Deadly heresies via the L1 prevails
in the **1290 days** of the beast, that **yet is**.

God the Eternal son is another of the
many **strong delusional components** of deception.

Egw called it the Omega of Deadly heresies.

Its ultimate strength prevails with self-Righteousness
and is practiced by way of many things including the
human potential movement [here](#)

God the Eternal Son FB#4 is the same concept as the **Emanating souls** or **sparks from the universe**

And this is **derived** from

Neo Platonism's Eternal Son doctrine
rather than,

**the doctrine of Incarnation sonship and Resurrection
Sonship which is found
in Romans 1:3
and Romans 1:4.**

The Bible's Incarnation of Sonship
is based on the concept of
Uniquely begotten son of God
– monogenes theos
by way of the Divine Spirit,

that overshadowed Mary
at the Incarnation along with the
melding of her genetics with the Divine spirit.
This alone is what forms the **true basis of Sonship** along
with the dispersal of the Holy Spirit,
as depicted in **Revelation 5**.

⁶ And I saw ^[b]in the midst of the throne and of the four
living creatures, and in the midst of the elders, a Lamb
standing, as though it had been slain, having seven
horns, and seven eyes, which are the ^[c]**seven Spirits of
God, sent forth into all the earth.**

The **Outcome** of the **dispersal of the seven
Spirits of God** results in the calling of
many Sons to glory

since it's the church, which fills the
Universe at all points,
since it's the extended
body of Jesus Christ

whose **Root**
is the **Throne of God** in **John 1:1**
and there is the
Throne of God and the Lamb in Revelation 22:1
along with the **inclusion** of **all Believers** in
Jesus Christ who will form the

Hosts of the Redeemed saints by way of
"**Christ in you, the hope of glory**".

This body of Jesus Christ, fills the Universe,
at all points.

Ok, good.

The following is a discussion with two esteemed elders from Laodicea.

Both ventured immediately into the Trinity doctrine claiming there was nothing wrong there.

They were not interested why this doctrine has its formation with Neo Platonism nor how Paul dealt with this same problem in Colossians 2. Gibberish nonsense was their reply. All the, as you now know many Scriptures prove L2 **prior** to Bethlehem.

Both could not explain why FB 2,4 is the affiliation to worldwide brotherhood of doctrines of BEM. (Baptism Eucharist and Ministry). It needs to be kept in mind Laodicea and the

BEM Affiliation is full unity authorised by acceptance of the TTD (FB2) and God the Eternal Son.

Papal credo dominates with God the Eternal Son FB#4. This abomination is well fixed as a constant spiritual malaise that permanently degrades the Alpha Identity of Christ.

And when the obvious conclusion was posed, Laodicea is left speechless and complicit with the mystery of iniquity and the Unpardonable sin. This is why

Great spiritual jeopardy exists in Laodicea's FBs (#2,4).

Like as Egw said you will be in peril.

The discussion continued with the two elders in Laodicea. What became evident is both elders were a living illustration of mouthpieces for the Omega of Deadly Heresy.

So, ask yourself, How does Allos resolve these huge spiritual problems, not only with the Eternal Nature of Christ, but also the human nature, in flesh let alone the extreme dangers of the L1.

But then, as the discussion continued

Both were insisting to accept the doctrine of Jesus

Coming to earth with a nature like Adam **prior** to the fall.

Yes, of course this would be mentioned because this detail exists in the 27FB and is known

by Laodicea the 'orthodox' doctrine of an Anglican divine **HENRY MELVILLE**

See p.4 [here](#) and [here](#)

The elders ventured into Dragon's playground about the Incarnation and all the time insisting the Triune Trinity (FB 2) and FB 4 was 'sound doctrine'.

That really highlights the warning of EGW of 1895 about dwelling on the human nature of Christ.

See [Baker letter 1895](#).

Then, the elders began quoting Egw

When, it was explained, the body of Adam prior to the fall was **not the slave form** as applied by Paul in **Hebrews 2** that Christ had to Be made like unto his brethren. As noted by Paul in Philippians 2.

But of course both elders were saying
The idea of **original sin** was as being the
Fallen nature which is incorrect

since
A baby is not a sinner, and sin, as in its
Definition, is the transgression of the Law.

Then, they venture into this concept that fallen
Nature is sin. Well of course this had to be rejected
because both put limitations on Jesus
claiming
That if he came with a fallen nature
he **Wouldn't** be able to overcome sin.

So, when it was pointed out that Christ loved righteousness from **eternity**, it
is obvious they limit Christ as solely overcoming as a human,
When in fact Luke 1:35 states his human nature possessed the Genetics of
Mary and this was denied because they felt the Monogenes Theos John 1:14
was being applied in a **pre-fall** context **with no** Genetic contribution from
Mary.

This means they have no clue as to who was Melchizedek and
Why Hebrews 7:3 said He was

Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; **but made like unto the Son of God;** abideth a priest continually.

There is the **Order of MELCHIZEDEK**
as opposed to the UPS of God the Eternal Son FB#4

What is utterly impossible is Melchizedek can never be GTES

Melchizedek was never born, He was without parentage
therefore God the Eternal Son FB#4 is the UPS

But then, the Man in Linen after the Order of Melchizedek achieves exactly what in the DoA?

the sealing of Ezek 9 and sealed with what?
Eternal Righteousness of HWM.

Melchizedek and the ER of HWM

When you draw the conclusion accurately
You can never be sealed with the UPS = GTES

that would be to immortalise the sin of
unrighteousness of the Mystery of Iniquity.

Right?

then why believe in **the doctrine of GTES**
when God the Eternal Son FB#4

**cannot and
is not and never can be
Melchizedek ?**

Which is why Egw *trembled* about the O of DHs
Therefore you are dealing with what?

The UPS

So, what Laodicea is doing, and is saying, is that
Melchizedek is not Christ, all because of FB#4.

Right?

What then is the Laodicean theological legacy all about?

Answer: The mystery of iniquities
God the Eternal Son FB#4
and TTD FB 2.

Anybody listening? Have ears to hear?

Listen to this Melchizedek music
from 5A

[here](#)

Lyrics

What is it about this Order that is not understood
By the majority of the Christian world?

Many, Are proud of the titles and honours that they
receive In this world , from the average college graduate
To the Kings and leaders of this world.

There once came, One from the heavens that according
to the Apostle Paul in the book of Hebrews 7:1-3,
was Encountered by Abraham after rescuing Lot
who Was a member of his family. According to Paul

this Melchizedek had no father or mother or beginning of
Days nor end of life.

Many scholars believe that,
Melchizedek was a Canaanite king
but how could this Be possible
if the Canaanites were enemies of God
And cursed by Noah on account of the sin of Ham, Ham
being the father of Canaan?

Yet Melchizedek was never born on earth or in Heaven.

He was the priest of God and ministered in Eternal
Righteousness prior to the foundation of the world. See
Revelation 13:8-9

It is also stated that Melchizedek having no beginning of
days nor end of life was made like unto the Son of God in
Hebrews 6:19-20 .

It is stated that Jesus Christ
Is the Great High Priest of the Order

of Melchizedek .

Which means that he was never born or begotten in The heavens prior to the Incarnation.

He was never The Eternal son of God

since he was Melchizedek

Prior to the incarnation, and was made like unto the Son of God, according to the flesh or seed of David,
As stated in Romans 1:3

And later, was born of the resurrection
according to the book of Acts 13:30-33
thus fulfilling Psalm 2:7 on the day of his Resurrection

he was begotten and retook his former Nature and glory
of Melchizedek in the form of man .

So, do you still feel John 3:16 is a nothing burger?

What you have then FB 2,4 is mystery of iniquities spiritual legacy in Laodicea today, and the two elders from Laodicea are many decades behind, on the subject of the human nature of Christ whether it was pre-post fall.

But, to be ignorant about Melchizedek, then ask yourself
who really is God the Eternal Son FB#4
since the Omega of Deadly Heresies
fails the Divinity test concerning Melchizedek ?

Yet they say they are elders.
This is nothing short of shocking deception.

Denial of the genetics of Mary was what eventuated in the the uniquely begotten Gd .

What both elders are saying is clear

Jesus was pre-fall nature and therefore He had "**holy flesh**" and therefore He was different, untouchable and never came in the **likeness** of fallen humanity to **succour** let alone save those who are **tempted in the same slave form**.

That HWM was never able to take the form of the slave

Well, This nonsense is from the papacy, and Plato and Philo and that being

Unstained by taint of sin meant Mary made no contribution means also she has to be untainted.

That's holy flesh, as well.

Errors concerning the nature of Gd was why Egw warned in the (**Baker Letter**).

And so, the nature of Christ is wrongly illustrated by many in Laodicea to the point there is the eradication of the sinful nature to make up the difference,

and the confusion is then compounded by the doctrine of RxF and its weakness [here](#) because of **Self Righteousness**.

The confusion about Original sin is a legacy arriving from seminary at Laodicea.

For years, elders and padres presented the confusion and is this precisely why no one in Laodicea can even begin to see the importance of the A&O Identity of HWM and His Eternal Righteousness let alone resolve the O of Deadly Heresies?

Well, in Christs day,
the spiritual leaders were rebuked.

But the fact was the common ppl heard Jesus in Mk 12 gladly.
About what? The Alpha & the Omega as to precisely
Why Jesus asked was Davids Lord, Davids son.

These elders have a really big problem
they cannot process the implications of their Deadly Heresy ideas that
eventually all conclude with the UPS and to be blunt, the First Angels warning.

The problem of course means these elders from Laodicea
will remain spiritually retarded foolish = morons
with the FBs 2,4 and stuck to their foreheads of knowledge with MBMOH's
(harlot daughter) and the UPS

It's actually very serious and so
it's to be noted once more
we are in the
time of the sealing time of the Seal of G? Ezek 9.

The Ultimate conclusion

What was stressed to both elders
was **Eternal Righteousness** is superior to the Cross.

This is not an outrageous statement
if you appreciate what **Rev 13:8-9** is saying.

Because in reference to the might of Eternal Righteousness there is the issue
of Rev 13's Two Beasts,
And Eternal Righteousness
is in contrast to the Mitsvas of the **#8 king**,
the guy of **Dan 8:23-24**, the **2Thes2** guy, the **First B** of Rev 13.

The former being the issue of who
Possess inherent "righteousness."
The Seal of Gd.

Which is Eternal Righteousness,
rather than the cross.

Why?

Impossible?

No.

Read Rev 14:1 RSV.

So, how does one possess the inherent Eternal Righteousness?
Well isn't that the Seal of Gd and the Law of Two in the Day of Atonement?

**Hopefully you may have perceived something but the issue here in
Revelation 13 is the Eternal R of HWM in verity, since all whose names
are not in the book will worship what? It is as Gd states in the same
chapter.**

In relation to the seven Mitsvahs of the First B (the context of Isaiah 2:3) is the enormous presence of Eternal Righteousness and this is in the original context requires of a superior righteousness exceeding R/F since

Contextually (RxF) was never present **prior** to the foundation of the world,

since no humans existed,

so RxF cannot be applicable.

Also, because the 8th who was created being,

Who subsequently created the 7 mitsvah.

And besides the only measure of Eternal Righteousness are the 10C. [Psa 119.142](#)

The L2 proves the 10C are eternal

The L1 was never eternal

nor equal to the Echad Elohim.

The Alpha perspective.

Satan who 'poses' as an eternal

Had no neighbour. Satan must contrive

A doctrine on self as being the neighbour

Exposing what?

That self R is the weakness.

Therefore if L1 was true

the Golden Rule

Love thy neighbour is false.

**What was, is, and will be applicable in the time of the
Apocalypse?**

Gd said it will be ER and Job demonstrates it.

The R/F of Job had that self-Righteousness element which is so prevalent
in R/F which does what?

Confuses it with Character perfection.

If the above conclusion about Eternal Righteousness
has left you entirely stumped

It is to be further noted

Laodicea are off on the first Angel warning
since Adam didn't have the slave form prior to the fall,
and Philippians states that HWM accepted the slave form.

As well as the fact of the denial of Monogenes Theos.
Uniquely begotten God.

They are in effect saying that ER cannot overcome
the sin problem in the flesh. And Laodicea as you should know by now, have
rendered Melchizedek to never be HWM in Eternity.

This Melville 'orthodox' version of
Jesus Being able to help sinners, when

He didn't come in the slave form of
Adam, still doesn't make any sense.

These guys in their Laodicean cars driving about with their headlights on, is
as if they
Had the truth;

whereas those who appreciate why HWM is Alpha & Omega,
and that the Omega being the nadir of the Condescension of the L2,

are going in the opposite direction,
of the Laodicean oncoming L1 traffic,
even as if going against the grain.

Yes! The first Angel
Goes against false oncoming traffic.

Oh, spiritual pauperism, self-Righteousness, and blindsided to the
ignorance in one's final Christian walk, just shy of the era of the
Apocalypse.

Why forfeit, despise the seal of God in the sealing time by virtue of the
doctrine of the Universe, eternal son generation of today's Neo Platonism
= FB2,4. The **Nicene** 'begotten' not made or the decreed uniquely
begotten monogenes theos son at Bethlehem.

Well?

God states everyone, bar none, is facing a powerful near perfect
deception when it comes to the O of DHs and it features in Revelation

13's duration of 1290-days and effected by blaspheming by way of the **L1** in the Luciferian age.

In other words, Revelation 13:8-9 is a significant entry in Scripture.

Its focus is none other than the Lamb slain from **prior** to the foundation of the world.

Who was Christ prior? What about His Alpha Identity?

The last spiritual **war** Rev 12:17 is over the Omega of DHs, it is the long-held attack upon the Eternal Identity of HWM, (for in Him was eternal life) a war which is to void His Divine Alpha Identity **as also Gd as Father #2 who within** the Domain of the Divine were Two Eternal Fathers of the **Law of 2 of John 1:1.**

HWM is the light of the world. (John 1:4-9) The enlightenment of the **[L2]** true purpose of John 3:16 and the Everlasting Gospel is the **[L2]**.

Still unable to see the First angel warning and John 3:16?

L1 vs Law of Two [L2].

Ok. Well answer this.

Who of you can explain why the **Man in linen** of Ezek 9 **etches** the **seal of God** ('mark a mark') on the **forehead** for illustration of the worship of HWM of John 1:1, and in every sense equals the First angels warning, if thou art blindsided by the guile of the L1, the Omega of DHs?

It is Impossible to answer.

The L1 is a marker of spiritual difficulty = MOB that flourishes in the GOLDEN AGE, of mystical unity;
but Gd has warned us all that **Rev 13:8 is the Eternal Righteousness of HWM**

Eternal Righteousness Is the power of HWM, in fullest evidence to the war with **the beast**,
that was, and (presently **is not**),
and **yet is** = will be.

What then?

--)------
Swift Messenger
--)------

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com

Man in Linen videos: <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.