


*"Watchman,
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,
the hour and the end!"*

Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



The Two Sides of John 3:16

[With this Thought Paper we enter upon our twelfth year of continuous publication of the Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" - All the praise to He Who Made!]

Well, in reality there is only one side of John 3:16, the True picture, which is based on Jesus statement that he is the "**I AM**" **prior** to Abraham and that he is the **Alpha and Omega** in the form of the **Root** and **Offspring of David**. But two sides exist and they are as dramatic as you can even ever begin to think.

So according to the Bible it is an established fact that **John 1:1** marks the time frame in the Heavens, known as the **Alpha scenario of Eternal Righteousness,**

How?

Since the "Word" = Christ was present **in Beginning** which is defined by a Transcendent period of Time, which in other words implies that there was **never** a time, **when he didn't exist.**

Later, we have the **Timeframe of the Omega scenario.**

Daniel 9's **70-week prophecy** states

that Christ = Messiah was sent to this world, and that Eternal Righteousness was ushered in, in the form of the Holy Spirit overshadowing Mary.

The Apostle Paul proves this by stating in **Colossians 2:** that the **Fulness of the Godhead resided bodily in Jesus Christ.**

It is this scenario that the Scripture refers to as the **Omega scenario of Son of God according to the flesh, or Seed of David. Romans 1:3.**

The main problem is that the early church fathers confused this matter and entwined it with **Greek mystical Theology** known as **Neo Platonism.**

Much is not understood how Greek mystical theology unfolded prior to the birth of Jesus Christ.

Yet, the [Jewish Encyclopedia](#) states that [Philo of Alexandria](#) a **Jewish Neo Platonist Scholar**, who lived during the second century BC declared that the **Angel of the Lord** or

the "[Dabar](#)" = "Word" of God,

and wait for it

was an **emanation** from the "one true god"

known as the Father. That's the Law of one.

Not the Law of Two.

So, the question is from where did Philo obtain this theological statement?

The answer had to be **Neo Platonism**.

On account of the fact that **John 1:1**

had not yet been written in the **2nd Century BC**;

yet, there is in existence the equivalent text to John 1:1

which is Psalm 110:1,

110 The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

which references the same **DUALITY** of John 1:1,

yet, missing are the more specific details of John 1:1

such as the **Eternal 2**, existing from Beginning;
whereas Psalm 110:1 does reveal Eternal # 1 and # 2
as sitting next to each other or Eternal #2 being told **to sit at the right hand** of Eternal # 1.

Or the fact - that Psalm 110:1 also references Eternal # 2 as King David's Lord.

The LORD said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand,

Point 1

So, John 1:1 reveals Eternal # 2 as "the Word" as present in beginning, and this would also have to include Eternal # 1 who, also was God.

Point 2

The **Word** was **alongside God and was God**.

Here John 1:1 references Psalm 110:1.

Point 3

The **Word then became flesh** from **John 1:14**
and **not prior** to this verse.

Psalm 110:2 and verse 4 references this as the '**sent Rod**' the Lowering of Eternal # 2 and verse 4

as the **Order of Melchizedek** in human genetics of the Uniquely begotten (monogenes theos)
or **Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God.**

Point 4

Psalm 110:1 reveals **EQUALITY** on the throne and that Eternal # 1 is speaking to David's Lord,
Who is Eternal # 2;

and telling him to **sit alongside him**
until he puts his enemies under his feet.

Since the Bible is impeccably silent in reference to a statement declaring that **Eternal Father #2** was or
is an emanation from Eternal # 1
This was erroneously declared by **Philo**,

And we now have to look elsewhere, why?

Since **Psalm 2:7** was **not fulfilled prior** to the Incarnation as believed by Philo.

**I will tell of the decree:
Jehovah said unto me, Thou art my son;
This day have I begotten thee.**

So here is the main problem.

Those theologians who view this verse as a commencement point of the '**Birthing**' of Christ by **emanation** in the Heavens **prior** to the Incarnation,

are **repeating what?**

Philo of Alexandria's **error**

which centuries later was borrowed by the Catholic Church fathers in the form of the statement in the **Creeds** of both the Eastern and Western Catholic churches that **Creed** said

"Jesus Christ was begotten not created prior to the ages".

So, in **Order to demolish this heresy** which these early church Fathers borrowed from Philo and Neo Platonism,

which they claim is based on Psalm 2:7

we then have to go

to the **fulfillment** of **Psalm 2:7**

which is found where?

Yes, in **Acts 13:30-33**.

³⁰ But God raised him from the dead: ³¹ and he was seen for many days of them that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people. ³² And we bring you good tidings of the promise made unto the fathers, ³³ **that God hath fulfilled the same unto our children, in that he raised up Jesus; as also it is written in the second psalm, [a]Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.**

So contextually speaking Psalm 2:7 was fulfilled by the Resurrection of Jesus Christ.

Romans 1

⁴ **who was [a]declared to be the Son of God [b]with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection [c]from the dead; even Jesus Christ our Lord,**

There is absolutely no hint of EMANATIONS in this verse of Acts 13:30-33.

The '**decree**' was fulfilled upon the Resurrection of Jesus Christ

and not prior to that in the Heavens

as assumed by the Spiritualism doctrine of Neo Platonism in the form of the emanations of souls

from the Universe,

which eventually return to the Universe,

in what is called Soul Cycling.

The very heart of Spiritualism's

secret doctrine which goes by the

doctrinal name

of Eternal Generation or

more commonly known as the

Eternal Son doctrine

which is more acceptable to Protestants, but is
also **the same**

deadly heresy known

as the Omega of **Deadly**
heresy to Laodicea.

Others will argue and say that the **Eternal Son** was present prior to
the Incarnation based on Psa 30

Psalm 30:4

Who hath ascended up into heaven, and descended?

Who hath gathered the wind in his fists?

Who hath bound the waters in his garment?

Who hath established all the ends of the earth?

What is his name, and what is his son's name,

if thou knowest?

Upon first reading it appears to be in reference to a pre-incarnate Sonship,
but upon close investigation

it is not.

It's a **Messianic prophecy** since the **Angel of the Lord** is the one who accomplished **all of the above**

**The question of what is his name
is answered in Zecharia 3:7**

"The Lord of Hosts".

The question of who is his son's name, is answered as

His **Servant** the **BRANCH** Messiah.

Zecharia 3:7-9

⁷ Thus saith Jehovah of hosts: If thou wilt walk in my ways, and if thou wilt keep my charge, then thou also shalt judge my house, and shalt also keep my courts, and I will give thee ^[a]a place of access among these that stand by.

⁸ Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou and thy fellows that sit before thee; for they are men that are a ^[b]sign: for, behold, I will bring forth my servant the ^[c]BRANCH.

⁹ For, behold, the stone that I have set before Joshua; upon one stone are seven eyes: behold, I will engrave the graving thereof, saith Jehovah of hosts, and I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day.

Ultimately speaking John 12:

35 Jesus therefore said unto them, Yet a little while is the light ^[a]among you. Walk while ye have the light, that darkness overtake you not: and he that walketh in the darkness knoweth not whither he goeth.

36 While ye have the light, believe on the light, that ye may become sons of light.

These things spake Jesus, and he departed and ^[b]hid himself from them.

37 But though he had done so many signs before them, **yet they believed not on him:**

38 that the word of Isaiah the prophet might be fulfilled, which he spake,

^[c]**Lord, who hath believed our report?**

And **to whom hath** the arm of the Lord been revealed?

39 For this cause they could not believe, for that **Isaiah** said again,

40 ^[d]He hath blinded their eyes, and he hardened their heart; Lest they should see with their eyes, and perceive with their

heart,
And should turn,
And I should heal them.

⁴¹ These things said **Isaiah**, because he saw his **glory**; and he spake of him.

The question that **Proverbs** asks regarding what's his name, and his Son's name, is finally resolved by **Isaiah** as in chapter 6 the **Lord of Hosts** being the **Pre-incarnate identity of Jesus Christ himself.**

Meaning that the **Lord of Hosts** was the Father of Jesus Christ after the Incarnation, since it is stated that Isaiah as in chapter 6 saw the **glory** of Jesus Christ himself in the form of the **Lord of Hosts.**

Yet these facts just cannot assemble a doctrine of the much-touted Eternal Son Doctrine.

Why?

Romans 1:3

Son of God according to the flesh according to the seed of David.

Then why is it that ppl cannot see this very same doctrine of **Son of the seed of David** is what utterly **contradicts** and **destroys** the Eternal Son doctrine.

The Doctrine of Incarnational Sonship.

The one who descended was the **Angel of the Lord** in the form of the **Rod** or **Eternal Spirit** see Psalm 110:2

and he later Ascended as stated in [Romans 1:4](#)

4 who was [a]declared *to be* the Son of God [b]with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection [c]from the dead; *even* Jesus Christ our Lord,

and **Hebrews 6:19-20** references

Jesus Christ resurrection:

¹⁹ which we have as an anchor of the soul, *a hope* both sure and stedfast and entering into that which is within the veil; ²⁰ whither as a forerunner Jesus entered for us, having become a high priest for ever after the **order of Melchizedek**.

The reference of he who ascended and descended was never in reference to **Eternal Father #1** - unless there was an exception at the Tower of Babel, when the **Eternal "two" of John 1:1 – The Elohim** descended to see what the people were doing at Babel and later confused their languages; since all references to the work of God on planet earth have been in reference to Christ himself as the Spokesman **Angel of the Lord** as ascending and descending.

The Angel of the Lord

It's present throughout the Old Testament in the appearances of the **Angel of the Lord** especially when **Manoa**, Samson's father presented the **Angel of the Lord** with a sacrifice and the **Angel of the Lord** ascended to the heavens by placing himself in the form of Spirit = **flame of fire into the sacrifice and ascended to heaven**.

Or when the **Spirit of God** = Christ,

hovered over the waters he descended from the heavens in order to renew the face of the earth after **Bohu** and **Tohu desolation and void**.

See Genesis 1. [here](#)

The initial questions regarding **who hath established the ends of the earth** is actually in reference to Christ

asking Job the following question.

“Where were you when I laid the foundations of the earth?

Job 38:8 addresses the major facts of Creation like who hath bound the waters in his garment in the following form.

Job 38:

8 Or *who* shut up the sea with doors,

When it brake forth, ^[e]*as if* it had issued out of the womb;

9 When I made clouds the garment thereof,

And thick darkness a swaddling-band for it,

10 And ^[f]marked out for it my bound,

And **set bars** and doors,

11 And said, Hitherto shalt thou come, but no further;

And here shall thy proud waves be stayed?

What is the doctrine of **Eternal Generation of the Son and why does it VOID Anthropomorphism?**

Answer

The Answer is to the annulling of anthropomorphism is because the eternal generation is the **non-biblical Universe religion is repackaged as the**

“Christian” Eternal Son Doctrine

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eternal_generation_of_the_Son

Of course, there you go, you will skip over the link and choose to not read about the eternal generation of the son doctrine or ever be bothered. Are you really then an informed Christian?

Well too bad, for you. Ignorance is bliss.

Really?

For the lackadaisical lot out there

God has given the conclusion and spelt out for you but You will remain denying the Eternal Identity and Eternal Righteousness of HWM as ALSO GOD of John 1:1 and includes not seeing the importance of Revelation 13:8 and only confirms what? you are forged fast to the **Omega of Deadly Heresies**.

What's worse is the **God the Eternal Son doctrine** = eternal generation, (one of the many forms of the Omega of DHs) means you will have **no testimony** when it comes to the First Angels warning. Hey, Just Forget about thinking you will be giving the Third angels warning by way of the eternal generation of the son the Law of One.

Summary Statement

The Two Divines, Two Fathers Gods or Elohim of the Bible are Anthropomorphic Beings.

They are not a [Monad](#) – unit of consciousness of the universe or some form of Pantheistic Universal Consciousness, **No they Both are personal beings.**

You have read it from Scripture and yet refuse to believe it or wish to understand the Omega of DEADLY HERESIES?

Hey? How can you even begin to fathom, or begin to deal with the spiritualism of UFO demons, the greys reptilians or Nordics ?

Well? Hello, Anyone home?

The doctrine of Eternal Generation of the Son voids the Anthropomorphic.

It is Timeless and non-bodily.

This is simply revealing the root of the Eternal Son doctrine. It's the root of the TTD.

BLAVATSKY called it the "Secret Doctrine." [here](#)

EGW gave a **DIRE WARNING** concerning
the Omega of DHs
said it would be received and also described it as
[sparks of their own Kindling.](#)

EGW in fact alluded to the **Monad** of the Secret Doctrine as in so-called **units of Consciousness** and said it this way, it was [sparks of their own Kindling.](#)

But How deadly is the eternal son generation?

The marker of spiritual difficulty will be the GOLDEN AGE
It's a cauldron of the mystical, especially in
Relation to the arrival of the beast, that was and
Presently is not, and yet will be, = to emerge.

Whereas **the Bible evidence destroys** the deviltry of the
"Universe" and "eternal son generation" and you can see it
now

In Hebrews 1.

¹⁰ And,

[n] **Thou, Lord**, in the beginning didst lay the foundation of the earth,

And the heavens **are the works** of thy hands:

¹¹ **They shall perish; but thou continuest:**

And they all shall wax old as doth a garment;

¹² And as a mantle **shalt thou roll them up,**

As a garment, and they shall be changed:

But **thou art the same,**

And thy years shall not fail.

Now, pay attention.

This common eternal generation theosophical concept (= FB 2,4) will be featured as a strong component of the Omega of Deadly heresies in the 1290 days of the beast, that yet is.

Read it again

This Eternal son generation theosophical concept will be featured as one of the **strong delusional components (plural) of deception and Egw called it the **Omega of Deadly heresies.****

You saw how it relates to the self R of the
human potential movement [here](#)

which is of

emanating souls or **sparks** (MONAD)
from the universe

whose history is **derived** from

Neo Platonism's Eternal Son doctrine
rather than,

the doctrine of Incarnation sonship and Resurrection
Sonship which is found
in Romans 1:3
and Romans 1:4.

For the Bible's Incarnation of Sonship
is based on the concept of
Uniquely begotten son of God

by way of the Divine Spirit,

that overshadowed Mary
at the Incarnation along with the
melding of her genetics with the Divine spirit.

This alone is what forms the **true basis of Sonship** along
with the dispersal of the Holy Spirit,
as depicted in **Revelation 5**.

⁶ And I saw ^[b]in the midst of the throne and of the four
living creatures, and in the midst of the elders, a Lamb
standing, as though it had been slain, having seven
horns, and seven eyes, which are the ^[c]**seven Spirits of
God, sent forth into all the earth.**

The **Outcome** of the **dispersal of the Holy Spirits of God** results
in the calling of **many Sons to glory**

since it's the church which fills the

Universe at all points,

since

it's the extended

body of Jesus Christ

whose **Root**
is the **Throne of God** in **John 1:1**

and there is the
Throne of God and the Lamb in Revelation 22:1
along with the **inclusion** of **all Believers** in
Jesus Christ who will form the

Hosts of the Redeemed saints by way of
“**Christ in you, the hope of glory**”.

This body of Jesus Christ, fills the Universe, at all points.

JOHN 3:16

Yes, there is two sides of John 3:16

One side, is the **near undetectable**, yet popular **Omega of DHs**, the eternal Son generation, The Universe, the Source and all are doctrines of devils with the goal unto full deception, yielding what?

Oh, spiritual pauperism and ignorance in one's final Christian walk, just shy of the era of the Apocalypse.

The other side of John 3:16, is the true;

the 'decreed' son Psalm 2:7 of Acts 13:30-33 as delineated in Romans 1:3-4

Why forfeit, despise the seal of God in the sealing time by virtue of the doctrine of the Universe, eternal son generation of today's Neo Platonism = FB2,4. The **Nicene** 'begotten' not made or the decreed uniquely begotten monogenes theos son at Bethlehem.

Well?

To know the distinction of John 3:16 is to learn of the **gold tried in the fire which is the ER of HWM**.

God states everyone bar none is facing a powerful near perfect deception when it comes to the O of DHs and it features in Revelation 13's duration of 1290-days and effected by blaspheming by way of the very deadly **Law of one** in the Luciferian age.

In other words, Revelation 13:8-9 is a significant entry in Scripture for you to begin to explore and to know.

Its focus is none other than the Lamb slain from **prior** to the foundation of the world.

Who was Christ prior? What about His Alpha Identity?

The last spiritual **war** Rev 12:17 is over the Omega of DHs, it is the long-held attack upon the Eternal Identity of HWM, (for in Him was eternal life) a war which is to void His Divine Alpha Identity **as also Gd as Father #2 who within** the Domain of the Divine were Two Eternal Fathers

of the Law of 2 of John 1:1.

1:4 **In him was life**; and the life was **the light of men**.

1:5 And the **light shineth in darkness**; and the darkness comprehended it not.

1:6 There was a man sent from God, whose name [was] John.

1:7 The same came for a witness, to bear witness of the Light, that all [men] through him might believe.

1:8 He was not that Light, but [was sent] to **bear witness of that Light**.

1:9 [That] **was the true Light**, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world.

HWM is the light of the world. The enlightenment of the true purpose of John 3:16 and the Everlasting Gospel.

Still don't get it?

Still cannot see the two sides of John 3:16?

Law of one vs Law of Two.

Ok. Well answer this.

Who of you out there can explain how the **Man in linen** of Ezek 9 **etches** the **seal of God** on thine **forehead** for purpose of the worship of HWM of John 1:1 that in every sense equals the First angels warning, when thou art fully blindsided by the guile of the Law of One, the Omega of DHs?

Answer

Impossible to answer.

Let it be repeated

The marker of spiritual difficulty i.e., the MOTB, flourishes seamlessly in the GOLDEN AGE, in the very cauldron of the mystical and therefore in all churches, but lest thou hast also forgotten is its relation to the **arrival of the beast, that was**, and (presently is not,) and yet is = will be.

What then?

John 3:16 has two sides.
Don't ever forget it.

--)-----
Swift Messenger
--)-----

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com

Man in Linen videos: <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.