


*"Watchman,
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,
the hour and the end!"* Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



Hebrews 11

Eternal

Righteousness

Character Perfection from
Heavens Viewpoint

Hebrews 11 when read in the mindset of RxF loses a lot of depth let alone clarity when it comes to insights about Christ and **His** Righteousness, but when the **RIGHTEOUSNESS** of HWM is fully explored with the Divine Mindset of Eternal Righteousness, guess what occurs? You see things of greater value.

As you may discovered in the prior discussions there is a proven **weakness** when it comes to RxF and Character Perfection. [CP] It is known as Self Righteousness.

That is the case. Therefore, it's timely to think what Hebrews 11 reveals about ER and heavens viewpoint of CP.

But most say it's RxF and nowhere related to ER.

Well, RxF reveals its weakness in death,
and relies upon ER of the Life Giver
to raise from the dead.

Sit back, reflect now Hebrews 11.

**Why is Heb 11 designated the "faith" chapter introduced by Paul
by what everyone believes to be
a summary of RxF ?**

In Romans Paul said

**10:17 So then faith [cometh] by hearing,
and hearing by the word of God.**

Why did HWM say

If any man have an ear, let him hear.

Why is this statement above next to Revelation 13:8 and ER?

Paul in Hebrews 11 said

11:1 **Now faith is** the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen.

11:6 **But without faith** [it is] impossible to please [him]: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is,

and [that] he is a **rewarder**
of them that

diligently

seek him.

Hebrews 11 continues with the excellence of faith that moved individuals to do wonderful things.

Was **Heb 11**, the faith chapter meant to be in context of **Hebrews 8** and Sanctuary Doctrine and John 4:22? Yes.

Was it to present the faith in Eternal Divinity of HWM in **chapters 1** and His humanity in **chapter 2**?

Yes to all of that!

You know Hebrews provides a resolute confirmation of **Melchizedek** = Christs Alpha Identity
who had no beginning =ER

and reaffirms the Order of Melchizedek

= Christs OMEGA Identity

One aspect of ER pf HWM

You know **Hebrews** in prior discussions here at 5Agendas is to convey the enormous importance **Christ and His Eternal RIGHTEOUSNESS** as Christ explained in

Revelation 22:13

**I AM ALPHA AND OMEGA,
THE BEGINNING AND THE END,
THE FIRST AND THE LAST.**

The Two Divines are seen in **Hebrews 7:21**

Where Paul is citing the Psalms gives confirmation of Two Divines of John 1:1 speaking to each Other.

The Counsels of Peace.

Then, you know **Hebrews Chapter 3** focus is our HIGH PRIEST that **one must consider.**

You know that **Heb 9,10** confirms the appointed **cleansing** of the Heavenly Sanctuary of **Chapter 8** not built with hands

To the purpose of High Priest in Heaven =

immediately refer you to John 4:22

the Day of A and the seal of God in the sealing time of the 144 with Revelation 7

and then with all of the above,
then comes **Heb 11.**

The faith chapter.

The **Book of Hebrews** is a concise summary on ERxF presented by Paul and is the coverage of all important details, the proofs and the methodology of **ER** and in the process of that revelation one can then begin to see the **weakness** of **RxF** as noted in ROMANS 7.

That weakness of RxF is overshadowed by ER as noted in **Hebrews 6:1-6** – with - **Let us go on unto perfection**

In **Heb 11**,
if you notice, all examples predate the Cross.

Yes - The examples of 'faith' all predate the Cross

So how can anyone truly read the book of Hebrew under the lens of RxF alone?

It soon becomes evident –

RxF does **NOT** provide the answers for the weaknesses of RxF which is Self R and the unforgivable and unconquerable weakness which is the Unpardonable Sin
in the timeframe of the 1290
of Matt 24:15

but only ER does.

Notice **Hebrews 11** and those who are cited for their "FAITH" in the Righteousness of Christ – or as you will immediately discover the Faith in the Eternal Righteousness of HWM.

Abel =

dawn civilisation = would have only been aware of ER hence his offering and obedience unto Eternal RIGHTEOUSNESS.

Enoch =

translation proves the power of ER

Noah =

survives the transition from Pre-Flood to post Flood responding in those 120 yrs = ERxF

Abraham =

ERxF existed in spade fulls and in overall belief, was Isaac considered as Rx F ? No because he believed Isaac would be raised = Eternal Righteousness. There was pressure to offer his son Isaac. You will note Rx F+W existed when they agreed to take Hagar. That's Rx F+W right?

Sara =

Same, same, she wavered, like realising Isaac was to be offered but forgot she was apprised of ER when being past age gives birth being 90 yrs and barren to bare Isaac. The contrast of Rx F with ER is right there. You know the story.

Isaac =

blesses Jacob and Esau –
that original insight would be ER

but **RxF+W** emerged as the nemesis
requiring what?

The ushering in of ER of Dan 9:24 and then there is the classic case of Acts 15 with James Council and that damaged ER of HWM.

Joseph =

ER over and over

Moses =

ER no question, but you will notice Zipporah and the circumcision was what unbelief is about and Self R –
what Ziporah did was an action responding to ER.

Right?

Rahab =

was it really RxF or was the greater motivation ER?

Gideon, =

Insighted to Eternal Righteousness

Was GIDEON mentioned in Heb 11
because of the fleece and the **DEW**

which is a metaphor = **Latter Rain**
= Deut 32:2 = ER ?

Take a look

6:36 And Gideon said unto God, If thou wilt save Israel by mine hand, as thou hast said,

6:37 Behold, I will put a **fleece** of wool in the floor; [and] if **the dew** be on the fleece only, and [it be] dry upon all the earth [beside], then shall I know that **thou wilt save Israel** by mine hand, as thou hast said.

6:38 And it was so: for he rose up early on the morrow, and thrust the fleece together, and **wringed the dew** out of the fleece, a **bowl full of water**.

6:39 And Gideon said unto God, Let not thine anger be hot against me, and I will speak but this once: let me prove, I pray thee, but this once with the fleece; let it now be dry only upon the fleece, and upon all the ground let **there be dew**.

6:40 And God did so that night: for it was **dry upon the fleece only**, and there was **dew** on all the ground.

In other words, don't be lazy, draw the conclusion
It's very obvious - the LR exists because ER
And ER will **distil as dew**
and **cannot be stopped**.

- a) The **dew on the fleece** = individual blessing of Seal of Gd and brought forth by teachers of Eternal Righteousness
- b) The **dew all over the ground** = falling on hearts all around and there are those who cannot discern.

Check this out

Only those who are living up to the light they have will receive **greater light**. Unless we are daily advancing in the exemplification of the **active Christian virtues**, we shall not recognize the manifestations of the Holy Spirit in the **latter rain**. It may be falling on hearts all around us, but we shall not discern or receive it.- TM 507 (1897).

Active Christian virtue would be what?

ANSWER

According to Revelation this "active Christian virtue"
Is spelt out in 14:1-5

No guile ?

= No Omega of DHs.

Right?

How?

Faith cometh by **hearing** and by **hearing** the word of Gd
= Light = Truth = ER of HWM.

Well look at the what EGW was saying and
confirming the "**active virtue**"
would bring Greater Light
= Greater Truth.
= ER

Which is the RIGHTEOUSNESS of CHRIST and ER.

Right?

It means – the last ministration of cleansing is of the mouth which is full of Self R = Heb 9

The DoA of our Great High Priest and the Man in Linen of Ezekiel 9 has its focused-on mouths speaking no guile which is the OMEGA of DHs and an awareness of the power of ER and what's left?

So draw another conclusion

Did God really mean – that with ER and cleansing there would be No guile and ER alone in the sealing time of the 144? = Revelation 7

Does that make sense?

Samson, =

the struggles with fallen flesh, = RxF and downfall but a superior strength to bring the temple down was = ER

Jephthah, =

Judges 10-12

Israel went over to **RxF+W** with the 1g of pagans with no faith in Elohim = the Law of Two.

Entirely lost to the worship of Baal, the 1g of the Ammonites.

They prayed and forsook all the idols Judges 10:10-15. which is a key point and **ER healed them ? The language from this not static it's a woe.**

Yet ye have forsaken me,
and served other gods:
wherefore I will deliver **you no more.**

10:14 **Go and cry unto the gods which ye have chosen; let them deliver you in the time of your tribulation.**

Read it again –

A loving Gd says go away, be cry-babies, to the gods you chose. The confirmation is HWM will deliver you no more.

Is that the analogy of the time of trouble, the Apocalypse speaks about? Think about it, for a change, than lollygagging with all the concerns and stumbling in the sin repent and with the weaknesses of RxF which is Self R anyway. God is saying the weakness of RxF is to bow to other gds and this is confirmed with the Omega of DHs and FB 2,4.

The importance of the sealing in the sealing time ?

Is the scenario of Judges 10 of any difference to Laodicea who chosen the 1 gd of the purple woman and foisted it in Gds face = forsaken me, = Omega of DHs = FB 2,4?

Because of the Omega of DHs – and the entire problem God the Eternal Son FB #4.

That concept is devastating to the truth of ER of HWM.

The wars of the Ammonites is a microcosm of the war of dragon in the apocalypse time of tribulation,

and those who **awake to Righteousness** prior to and in the 1290 responding to the LC are called to be forsaking the 1g Omega of DHs and what does that produce?

Answer = Rev 20:4

Jephthah was asked to return by the elders to lead in the war against the 1g worshippers.

In other words, from this discussions perspective are you actually counselled by **Hebrew 11** Jephthah and his awareness of ER is in fact a metaphor for the leader and a metaphor as Teachers of Eternal Righteousness ?

Well, make up your mind now!
Or hit delete and go away as did FELIX

And after certain days, when Felix came with his wife Drusilla, which was a Jewess, he sent for Paul, and heard him concerning the faith in Christ.

24:25 And as he reasoned of righteousness, temperance, and judgment to come, Felix trembled, and answered, Go thy way for this time; **when I have a convenient season**, I will call for thee

HINT:

No convenient season exists in the Apocalypse.

Now notice the following from Judges 11

Judges 11:3

"There some ·worthless [good-for-nothing; trouble-making] **men began to ·follow [or travel with] him.**

That was Jephthah

Those described as the so called (worthless) who hung out with Jephthah were right with an active virtue? Well?

Yeh, the **good for nothings**
but in reality had they put their trust in
the leadership of Jephthah and **ER**.

David =

had struggles with RxF and fallen flesh = Psa 51

Yet HWM said he was a **man after his own heart**. Acts 13:22
and that counts as ER

Then with David – HWM speaking of His Alpha and OMEGA Identity, said,

He was the **ROOT and OFFSPRING of David** .

That in every respect has everything to reveal about ER
and Eternal Divinity and it establishes the

Eternal Verities of why HWM is

Alpha & Omega of His ER

and of course

ONLY

ER

exposes the Omega of DHs

CONCLUSION

Heb 11 now becomes a very interesting case study for those who wish to
open their eyes and see and their ears to hear in the instruction of Eternal
Righteousness,

how ER was lost in the weeds of RxF

and how RxF emerged in its weaknesses

then morphed into **RxF+Self R**

which is the **failure**

and **weakness** of RxF

Paul proceeds to counsel the Galatians about ER

2:17 But if, **while we seek to be justified by Christ**, we ourselves also are found sinners, [is] therefore Christ the minister of sin? **God forbid.**

2:18 For if I build again the things which I destroyed, I make myself a transgressor.

2:19 For I through the law am dead to the law, that I might live unto God.

2:20 **I am crucified with Christ:** nevertheless I live; yet not I, but Christ **liveth** in me:

and the life which I now live in the flesh
I live by the faith of the Son of God,
who loved me, and gave himself for me.

2:21 I do not frustrate the grace of God:
for **if righteousness [come] by the law,**
then Christ is dead in vain.

Sooner or later the crowd will become so harried for an appreciation of ER and will be asking what is rightful Character Perfection. Why?

For in the Loud Cry Rev 18 they will recognise the well-known treatises about RxF have all along failed them, are insufficient and incomparable to ER. Job realised this.

As did the ppl named in Hebrews 11.

There will be those complaining at the same time barking .

“Oh, ER is not sound theology”

But as one individual in the field said

ER will be scoffed at by the padres and elders as unsound, because it's based on ER, a teaching that they never heard at Seminary or from their peers.

It happened recently by way of one who is of Chinese decent. He explained he wasn't gay but has friends that have suffered and apparently haven't found peace with church people and they found peace with someone they met.

What was explained to the other country national was that Christ loved, and confirming **Rom 5:8**
while we were yet sinners Christ died for us

but importantly also noted was that HWM will **not** sacrifice his law of Righteousness to let the rainbow issue slide with a pass.

Sin is sin, and no different and that he loves the rainbow just as much as any other sinner but the time comes when there will be a break with sin. Or remain in chains to bondage of the mystery of Self R which is the mystery of iniquity.

Yet Laodicea sees this forced break, from sin as character perfection.

Or is it?

God is gracious and forgives

Will the inclinations be put aside for Christ and His ER?

If so, out of love for Christ by the weakness of RxF + Self R ?

Or is it **Christs ER** that **Job** or the **Centurion** and those of Heb 11 recognised which is the motivation unto Eternal Righteousness?

Christ confirmed the belief of the Centurion.

Right?

So what's the answer ?

Its not RxF due to its weaknesses. RxF is not ERxF.

Mary Magdalene is case in point.

While she is not cited in Heb 11 she was pointed to by HWM and then all that pertains to the matter of ER especially when one grasps the foundation of ER which Mary Magdalene did so is summarised in Romans 5:8 and only then can Allos continue to **convict of Sin, Righteousness and Judgment**. = John 16.

Mary Magdalene was acknowledged by Christ as a very special condition unto ER, She saw what all the others in the room NEVER saw.

What was the spiritual BLINDER?

It was the weakness of RxF which is Self R

As just about everyone knows some way or other

Paul brought force and awareness of **Sola Fide and RxF** but also **if you read carefully**

Paul was always speaking about the principles of ER anyway, and the other fact RxF is not and never in dispute. It is the justification unto Eternal Life and one's name is retained in the LBOL.

But these days are days of peril. You will soon see why.

If the padres and elders in Laodicea
have an axe to grind about **ER**
they need to explain the failures of RxF
and why RxF+ W that brings out
the hang-ups ppl cannot solve in the flesh with RxF

This was discussed [here](#)

While Laodicea's elders and padres sermonise about **I am crucified with Christ** ask yourself,

had they known there was a deeper meaning about RxF such as what JOB experienced about ER than what is commonly taught believed solely on RxF would then ER have garnered that so called RELATIONSHIP WITH GOD.

Well think of it – ER is all relevant
to the Seal of Gd anyway.

That's the ultimate relationship!
And the Seal of Gd is NOT THE SABBATH.

If you still believe this then you do not know your Bible and remain a farce in
a time of theological Madnessna

But here is the Biggy
Would ER mean they in Laodicea
could discern the Omega of DHs?

Of course, Perhaps in the future. But few be who respond anyway. Even EGW said it that way.

For if the padres of Laodicea would teach RxF properly

that its anchored in ER

then they would have faithful servants that would never fall away as is common to the backsliding and giving up due to the weakness of RxF and confusion with RxF +W is

FAR TOO COMMON, with the common responses I'm just not good enough. Well its true everyone is not good enough.

regardless of Laodicea constant ad nauseum sermons about the love of Gd and the constant appeals for CP

RxF does have a deeper meaning than what is commonly understood.

Why?

It has to be said again for the dull of hearing
And those who just cannot see

ER is the root of RxF

The deeper meaning of Gal 2:20 is when one sees what **Job** saw which is the ER of HWM, then from that perspective they would never miss why HWM is the LSFBTFOU = 2 Tim 1:9 and why ER is the entire point Paul set out in all his letters.

Yes, and the fact is **Job** only saw his RxF = Self R problem as was exposed by **Eliju** who was very pointed with Job's weakness which was self-righteousness See [Job 32](#).

The decision to **die** by HWM was made in the eternal ages and serves as the metaphor - the **Lamb slain from before the Fn** of the **world**.

Job saw this, and realised what was far grander than even what God characterised to SATAN that Job as perfect and upright as declared perfect and upright by Gd.

Eliju knew it went to the inner being of Self R and Job was told!

Was that in the context of RxF and why SATAN got busy to torment.

This statement makes a lot of sense.

It explains why the

Roman Centurion

who HWM said had

a great faith not seen in Israel

Would continue being a soldier

Was Paul saying to the Galatians the same concept of ER. While Job is not mentioned the principles of Death of the Lamb was twofold – Slain Twice, in Heaven and Slain on earth the Cross

Paul is declaring **the Lofty and Holy One who inhabiteth all Eternity whose name is holy**, was slain in His ALPHA Identity and crucified in the OMEGA.

Yes of course, had to be!

Why?

the slaying of the **Bull** in the Mithraic mysteries as well
as the slaying of the Bull for the Household of the High priest?

Mithra is saying that he was the cause.

The **two identical goats** on the DoA was for a reason,
but one was for the Lord.

Yet this presents the idea that God slaughtered Christ, but in **reality** was the
ER ministration of Melchizedek

which pre-figured the earthly ministration
of the slain Lamb on earth.

This means the **UPS** of Matt 12:31 is **very serious problem**
by placing the Omega of DHs in its ultimate problem with ER which is the
God the Eternal Son [GTES] FB #4

**GTES holds no place in the eternity of ages, yet I am
crucified with Christ does. The crucifying of ER.
RxF+W was never crucified.**

Job realised his fleshly afflictions of sackcloth and ashes was a Cross
experience
by virtue of the prolonged serious pain
but he must have seen the LSFOTW

and only then to begin to see ER of HWM.

I live by the faith of the Son of God,
who loved me, and gave himself for me.

This agape love is ER of HWM and to have given Himself for me
is why there is the LBOL. Rev 13:8
that existed before the Fn of the world.

The LBOL is therefore
based in ER = Righteousness of Christ
and does include
RxF for those saved to rise in the Resurrection
Because their names are written in the Lambs Bk.

But Paul is building a case for **ER** with **Timothy**
and **John** conforms to the full realisation
of the **Mystery of Godliness God was manifest in the flesh**
and therefore was Satan and the Mystery of Iniquity
which was what slew the ER of
Christ in Heaven [?]

High Treason against Heaven
is the **Unpardonable Sin**
You all need to understand this fact of Matt 12:31

but a slaying occurred for the
Lamb to be slain prior to the creation of the
earth then Lucifer would be fully aware long ago
of the consequences concerning the mystery of Iniquity.
Which is why he was able to weaken RxF.

It must have been hidden for some time, the duration unknown only to then be booted out.

But why the Cross, to be slain on earth?

**IT WAS TO USHER IN WHAT
EVERLASTING Righteousness. Right?**

ITS ALL ABOUT ER and ERxF

HWM chose to take the lowest possible form the nadir of sinful flesh and be crucified in the flesh
= **Gen 3:15**

that the Righteousness of the law which is ER
be fulfilled in us.

In other words HWM took the second time of being slain to prove to the unfallen angels they have no possibility of any argument when the Judgment was set and why the Satan, the false prophet and Beast are thrown into the lake of fire with the demons and also those during and at the end of the 1290 who despised the 2W and the 144 testimony of ER anyway.

The weakness of RxF in summary

²⁵ I thank God through Jesus Christ our Lord. So then with the mind I myself serve the law of God; but with the flesh the law of sin.

Here is the answer to the predicament of the weakness of R/F a problem that has been confused with CP.

So with the mind comes the service of spiritual things.

Yet with the flesh then comes all that human effort which is all of the time **fruitless**.

The predicament being the body of flesh and the inability to resolve the sin issue in sinful flesh, Jesus is the only one who has accomplished overcoming the mystery of iniquity. See Revelation 3:21.

Moving on to perfection

Let us move on to perfection gets its definition and then its reality of the correct DOCTRINE OF CHRIST = ER OF JOHN 1:1 and that by way of how ER is revealed in the First and Third Angel messages. Otherwise Rev 13:8 midst the Two Beasts of the mystery of iniquity is meaningless.

That ER is defined as presented in the book of Hebrews and also Job since Job was originally justified by faith or R/F, but it was later, that he witnessed ER and repented.

He could not accomplish that in his flesh all the things that HWM sought of him.

Job was told to

**Gird up now thy loins like a man;
for I will demand of thee,
and answer thou me.**

Check out [Job 38.](#)

The **ER of Melchizedek** in comparison to Abraham was the fact that the Levitical priesthood was still within the lions of Abraham

and the **bread and wine** being the emblems of **Passover** and unleavened bread went back to the heavenly ministration of Melchizedek **prior** to the foundation of the world.

So just as it is claimed that Abraham was the **father of the nation** as well as the father of David as well as the so-called father of Benny Davd.

What is contrasted in Rev 13:8-9 is that Melchizedek scenario with the weakness of Benny Davds Mitsvahs of Deuteronomy 6:4 being the wrong interpretation which will be presented on the **right hand** and **forehead** as seen in Deut 6:8

and **promoted by demons** as the word of the 8th King.

It's peculiar how so few in Laodicea have not said much about the **mystical elements** and its final war on R/F

Well, guess what, Paul brings this out.

²⁰ If ye died with Christ from the ^[m]rudiments of the world, why, as though living in the world, do ye subject yourselves to ordinances, ²¹ Handle not, nor taste, nor touch ²² (all which things are to perish with the using), after the precepts and doctrines **of men**?

23 Which things have **indeed a show of wisdom in will-worship, and humility, and severity to the body;**

but are not of any [n]value against the indulgence of the flesh.

That is why Kwai chang Caine a TV production Kungfu popular in the 1970's mentioned a number of things about **a show of wisdom** and this made a huge impression generally but this was never seen in True Christianity. The so-called show of wisdom was what many in Christian circles were focusing on prior to knowing Jesus.

Summary

Romans 7s weakness which is described by Paul in the flesh without even mentioning Character Perfection was what was needed to present the issue of the weakness of R/F.

¹⁴ For we know that the **law is spiritual**: but I am carnal, sold under sin. **¹⁵** For that which I ^[i]do I know not: for not what I would, that do I practise; but what I hate, that I do. **¹⁶** But if what I would not, that I do, I consent unto the law that it is good.

¹⁷ So now it is no more I that ^[k]do it, **but sin which dwelleth in me.** **¹⁸** For I know that in me, that is, in my flesh, **dwelleth no good thing**: for to will is present with me, but to ^[i]do that which is good is not.

¹⁹ For the good which I would I do not: but the **evil** which I would not, **that I practise.**

²⁰ But if what I would not, that I do, it is no more I that ^[m]do it, but sin which dwelleth in me.

²¹ I find then ^[n]the law, that, to me who would do good, evil is present. ²² For I delight ^[o]in the law of God after the inward man:

²³ but **I see a different law** in my members, **warring** against **the law of my mind**,

and bringing me into captivity

^[p]**under the law of sin**
which **is in my members**.

Paul sees a DIFFERENT LAW – turns out to be the Law of ER and in Romans 8 ER is mentioned as it was in Romans 1:3-4 which therefore affirms RxF did have a weakness and then later to the Hebrews Paul exclaims with “**let us move on to perfection**” and involves HWM, and His ER who is the A&O in its purest form of John 1:1 and the Law of Two = Eternal Fathers.

What was **reckoned** by Abraham unto Abraham anyway?

ANSWER

ER

Romans 4:9

4:9 [Cometh] this blessedness then upon the circumcision [only], or upon the uncircumcision also? for we say that faith was **reckoned** to Abraham **for righteousness**.

Click [here](#)
for Selected Scripture

Abraham being the **father of Faith**. Genesis 15:6
Father of **ER** to those who believe in HWM?

Hebrews 7:1-3 the Father of Faith is noted paying tithe to the Eternal R of Melchizedek

And only ER can stand and defend Christ in the war
against the Serpent and explains
why the 8th King
blasphemes Gd and the heavens.

The Romans 7 predicament

The Rom 7 predicament for Paul

But I see another law in my members, **warring against** the law of my mind, and bringing me into **captivity** to the **law of sin** which is in my members.

²⁴ O wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from the body of this death?

²⁵ I thank God through Jesus Christ our Lord. So then with the mind I myself serve the law of God; but with the flesh the law of sin.

While RxF is implied in Rom 7 the

internal battle rages with law of sin.

The law of sin exposes the weakness of the RxF

How could Paul go from the problem of Rom 7

And then to exclaim with boldness in **Hebrews 6** and say

Let us go onto Perfection?

Well, he said it himself in Heb 6, it was

the first principles of the DOCTRINE OF Christ had to be understood in respect to why Christ is Alpha & Omega and Paul saw this and only then one could go onto perfection.

One cannot go on unto perfection with the Omega of DHs

The RxF adamants **against** the Doctrine of ER will respond

But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the **law of righteousness**.

³² Wherefore? Because they sought it not by faith, but as it were by the works of the law. For they stumbled at that stumblingstone;

The Divine Fn of the Law of Righteousness is ER

Do the RxF adamants and ridiculers of ER in Laodicea today continue to **stumble at the stumbling stone** by insisting HWM was **God the Eternal Son** FB #4 which is the root of the Trinity doctrine of the purple hag? Fact is whether they like it or not they bow to FB 4 with one baptised into GTES

Of course and this only proves
they have not resolved the Omega of DHs.
Right?

Mouths full of GUILF?

Right?

So who is blind leading the blind
with no capability of hearing ?

Then there is **Romans 10** = ER

For they being ignorant of **God's**
righteousness,

and going about to establish
their own righteousness, (**Self R**)

have not submitted themselves unto the
righteousness (**ER**) of God.

⁴For Christ is the end of the law **for (ER) righteousness** to everyone that believeth.

The end of the law for Righteousness involves the ignorance of Gds ER?

Yes sir!

But why does the letter to **Hebrews** need to even mention **Let us go on to Perfection**?

And to Immediately be followed by huge warning about the UPS = crucifying Christ afresh ?

Answer - [here](#)

Well, by now you should be realising the **Omega of DHs** is the theological issue of **all** theological issues.

EGW already told everyone! [Here](#) and [here](#)

EGW already told you –
It's the Omega of deadly heresies.

So among some of the early believers of Christianity they shared their possessions and then everyone knows about the sin of **Ananias** and **Sapphira**.

Their sin, **lying** to Allos.

5 But a certain man named **Ananias**, with **Sapphira** his wife, sold a possession, ² and kept back *part* of the price, his wife also being privy to it, and brought a certain part, and laid it at the apostles' feet. ³ But Peter said, Ananias, why hath Satan filled **thy heart** to ^[a]**lie** to the Holy Spirit, and to keep back *part* of the price of the land?

4 While it remained, did it not remain thine own? and after it was sold, was it not in thy power?

How is it that thou hast conceived this thing in thy heart? thou hast not **lied unto men,**
but unto God.

5 And **Ananias** hearing these words fell down and gave up the ghost: and great fear came upon all that heard it. **6** And the ^[b]young men arose and wrapped him round, and they carried him out and buried him.

That was a unique time when Allos took control of that early church and then once more its true under the time frame of Revelation 18 and the Loud Cry is equally the same can arise but the problem is that the communal aspect implies a church and guess what, we are finished with that corporate era. Paul responded then with home churches.

OK, was Paul self-righteous in reference to his trade and how it related to church activities? [Here](#)

Paul had the gift of Allos

as did many in the early church.

Paul working on his own and not relying on church budget appears to be a major theme in Paul's ministry.

The matter of preaching to the **lost sheep of the House of Israel** was a different scenario and there appeared to be haste perhaps on account of the **closing of the 70 weeks**

but the

difference in this mission would not permit a steady job since they were always on the move in the form of 2x2.

It's interesting to see that the early disciples **apart** from **Peter, John** and **Paul** and **Philipp** etc there are others who went to the **lost sheep of the House of Israel**

Even in different lands.

That type of mission would have to **solely** rely on Jesus for protection and sustenance.

The way Paul did it and the way the workers sent to the **Lost sheep** did it, vary; since Paul was setting up **home** churches and preaching in the Synagogues whenever possible, but as we know he placed the gentile believers in home churches. Where 2 or 3 are gathered?

Summary

The time we are living in now is like Paul's ministry for the specific reason **that Allos hasn't been poured out yet** in the form as described in Rev 18

on account of the fact that,

that moment in time has not yet been reached.
We create mental pictures
of those events,

not realizing that this downfall of V city is in
fact the "10/10 scenario" [here](#) and
everything, absolutely everything will change,
to such a great degree,

we are in the era of the Apocalypse and at that point in time, a true believer
will be centred on the immediate
events **and nothing else.**

Why?

It's the end.

The Second woe commences,
followed by the third;

if you are still alive thru the **3 woes**
you will bow unto
the importance of ER, and its importance
All through the **Three Woes.**

--)------
Swift Messenger
--)------

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com

Man in Linen videos: <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.