


*"Watchman,  
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,  
the hour and the end!"*

*Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)*



---

## Heaven's Command

### Worship He Who Made

First Angels Warning,  
highest worship  
or vassalage

**A discussion which took place  
within the confines of the  
Corporate Entity known as  
Laodicea.**

Seems that the Pastor was assuming that we didn't believe that Jesus was God. It is obvious that this particular church has suffered from **Arian** elements within that Church. Arianism is the flipside of the **Eternal Son** doctrine both are the Omega of deadly heresy.

It was clearly explained that Jesus Christ is God,  
but was **never** an Eternal Son,  
here is the answer.

Jesus deserves the highest worship ([Latreia](#))  
as the **ALPHA** or **Everlasting Father # 2**,

as well as the **OMEGA** or **Offspring of David** in the flesh

because **Everlasting Father # 2** = **Fulness of the Godhead bodily**, in the  
form of the Uniquely begotten son of God

by way of the descent of the Divine spirit which was himself in the Veil of  
the 7 Spirits of God or the Holy Spirit as stated in 2 Corinthians 3:16-17 into  
the genetics of the human Mary or vessel which carried Jesus Christ up to  
the time of his birth.

The pastor was in cognitive dissonance mode really confusing the issue  
with his [Latreia](#) baloney. = Fancy Greek words in order to present a  
"semblance of knowledge."

Using the Greek word [Latreia](#) doesn't resolve the issue of the **Eternal Son  
doctrine**.

This DOCTRINE entered into the doctrinal Statement of fundamental Beliefs  
of Laodicea in 1980 – [here](#)

the very same year that **Swiss Professor Jean R. Zurcher** preached about the fulfillment of Luke 21:24 [here](#)

without realizing that Luke 21:24 deals with the “Kairoi”= allotted probationary times of the nations,

and it was precisely at this very same point in time that the **Omega of Deadly heresies** was brought into the Laodicean statement of Beliefs for the very first time by way of Fundamentals [2 and 4](#) being the **Eternal Son** doctrine

along with the Trinity doctrine.

Pastor’s argument:

**So, why was the word Latreia in relation to the Jesus being born and worshiped irrelevant?**

Answer

The Answer is that the **Child in the Manger** was to be **born according to the flesh or seed of David**.

See Romans 1:3

= **Son of God according to the flesh or seed of David**.

**Not begotten** in the heavens **prior** to the Incarnation **without** the genetics of a human mother.

It had to be of the flesh in order to qualify to overcome sin in the flesh thus becoming The Great High Priest of the Order of Melchizedek after his Resurrection see Hebrews 6:19-20.

## Christ Eternal Father # 2

Isaiah 9:6-7

A **child is born a son is given** who was to be called **Everlasting Father** would one day sit on the **Throne of David** see Isaiah 9:6-7

as well as Revelation 22:1 whose name is restored on the Throne of God as the "**Word**" as well as the **Lamb** of Revelation 5 being the **Root of David** or Alpha.

= Eternal Righteousness = His Eternal identity.

Revelation 5:

<sup>4</sup> And I wept much, because no one was found worthy to open the book, or to look thereon: <sup>5</sup> and one of the elders saith unto me, **Weep not; behold, the Lion that is of the tribe of Judah, the Root of David, hath overcome to open the book** and the seven seals thereof.

Jesus Christ reinstalled as the "Word"

At his second coming:

**See Revelation 19:**

And he *is* arrayed in a garment <sup>[h]</sup>sprinkled with blood: and his name is called **The Word of God.**

**Latreia** worship is not to be offered to an Eternal Son counterfeit, Neo Platonist emanation.

**True worship of First Angels warning – is only given to HWM =** The Alpha, the root of David  
as well as

Romans 1:3 Son of David according to the flesh  
and Son of God according to the resurrection in Romans 1:4.

Since **both concepts** entail = **Eternal Righteousness**

**prior** to the Incarnation

as well as **Eternal Righteousness** that was **ushered** in as stated in the **70 weeks of Daniel 9**.

<sup>24</sup> **Seventy weeks** are decreed upon thy people and upon thy holy city, <sup>[i]</sup>to finish <sup>[k]</sup>transgression, and <sup>[l]</sup>to make an end of sins, and to <sup>[m]</sup>make reconciliation for iniquity, and to **bring in everlasting righteousness**, and to seal up vision and <sup>[n]</sup>prophecy, and to anoint <sup>[o]</sup>the most holy.

The Pastor in the Philippine Church clearly repudiated the concept of Christ's eternal Righteousness,

which in Revelation 13:8 is contrasted with the Mark of the Beast and the problem really stunk

because Padre **assumes** that **Revelation 13:8** is referring contextually to Calvary on earth;

**rather than** what is actually being stated in reference to the **Mystery of iniquity** in contrast to the **Mystery of Godliness**;

which was manifested

when Christ decided to pay the penalty by **upholding** the **Mystery of Godliness** by way of HIS decision which included the sin committed by Adam **before** he even committed it.

It was also the slaying of the **Bull** for the Household of the High priest as revealed in the lesson book of the Heavenly Sanctuary = Leviticus 16 on the Day of Atonement.

Which means that **Eternal Righteousness of Christ Jesus** is the beginning and end of the much-needed Sanctuary Righteousness, in its special context of Rev 13 and then there is another problem;

since Laodicea believes that by her good works, = her character development and her membership will accomplish "perfection" in the flesh at the close of probation after Jesus ministration has ceased.

That sort of quasi human formulation of the Sanctuary theology must end in the dumpster since it's branded with the mystery of iniquity. In other words, padres and elders are trashing the Sanctuary Doctrine without even knowing the consequences of what they speak about. And back in 1980 they lampooned Dr Ford who openly questioned the problem of the "until when" of the 1290 years of Dan 8:13 and back then, Ford had not as then recognised the answer. He asked the Church academics all to restudy the problem since Laodicea was being treated as a sect a cult. [Here](#)

It's really ridiculous how things eventuate. Right?

Is it no wonder EGW said the Omega would be received and she trembled?  
And if you don't even understand that, **Oh God help you!**

## **So why is Eternal Righteousness so important?**

Because Satan sinned in the heavens and the book of Job states that the Heavens are **not pure in the sight of God**. Job 15:15.

## **What cleanses the Heavenly Sanctuary?**

What cleanses is the Eternal Righteousness of Christ in relation to the Seal of Two Everlasting Fathers and absolutely **not one Everlasting Father and an Eternal Son**.

**This is Creedal and papal from the bootstraps and up to the tip of the mitre of PPH.**

Who in Laodicea is willing to argue the case? Well put your best efforts to the ultimate test of the Holy Scriptures despite especially the rhetoric driven padres in Laodicea.

Fact is The Bible informs you all - Satan was questioning the Eternal Righteousness of both Eternal Father # 1 and # 2 and **wanting to be like the "Most High"**.

It was **this mystery of iniquity** that required a condescension of the **lowering of Christ** himself = Heb 2:7-9 and the moment that this was determined it was a done deal.



What must never be lost sight is the fact

The **Lamb was slain prior to the Foundation of the world.**

Even EGW contributed her say to this matter by stating that an Angel could not accomplish this task.

Why? The answer is that Angels do not possess Everlasting Righteousness. That's the answer for the Pastors and elders of Laodicea right there.

So, what was it?

It was the decision **to slay Eternal Righteousness** in the form of **an Ever-existing God** who laid down his life in the form of that decision made in space and time prior to the Foundation of the World.

That is the correct context.

**Heaven prior to the foundation of the world.**

In Contrast to the **Mark of the Beast** a human infused false Self-Righteousness, demands worship from the whole planet.

So, the result is that he, the Pastor renders "Latreia worship" to Fundamentals 2 and 4

specifically, the Eternal Son doctrine the Omega of DHS

And the OMEGA of HS is that which defines the Trinity;

and therefore,

comes under the **condemnation of EGW**

since **The Eternal Son Doctrine of the Catholic Church** is what EGW alluded to as "**Sparks** of their own kindling"

as in **Universe religion of a spark**

which issues forth from the **Universe** as a thought, and was later referred to as the **Eternal Son or Logos**

**that created the material Universe.**

**It's the same doctrine of Harvey Kellogg.**

We were told in the writings that the **Omega would be of a most startling nature – [here](#)**

well, there you have it.

It made its way into Laodicea in 1980 = **27FBs** by being **repackaged**  
as John 3:16

"God gave his only begotten son"

when in reality

**Begotten** did not commence in John 1:1

it began from the context of John 1:14 according to the flesh see Romans 1:3.

It began when Mary was overshadowed by the Holy Spirit which was the point in time where Human genetics was united with the Divine Spirit which equalled the "**the uniquely begotten**"

Son of God.

The Pastor thinks that he is ignoring the Catholic church by **denying** the Councils regarding the formulation of the Eternal Son Doctrine which defines the Trinity doctrine,

But here right in everyone's face is **another MASSIVE PROBLEM. Yes!**

Since the Church=Laodicea accepts the Eternal Son doctrine as in Fundamentals 2 and 4.

Therefore, it cannot ignore the Catholic Creed and Orthodox Creeds which state that Jesus Christ was begotten not created prior to the ages.

This is classic Neo Platonism stealth, which is not seen in John 1:1 since the "Word" always existed as stated in

John 1:1 **"In beginning was the 'Word' and the Word was alongside God and the Word was God.**

**Psalm 2:7** cannot be used to say that Christ was begotten in the heavens prior to the Incarnation

for the simple fact that **Acts 13:30-33** states that Psalm 2:7 was fulfilled when Jesus arose from the dead.

Son of God according to the Resurrection = Romans 1:4

Acts 13:30-33:

<sup>30</sup> But God raised him from the dead: <sup>31</sup> and he was seen for many days of them that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people. <sup>32</sup> And we bring you good tidings of the promise made unto the fathers, <sup>33</sup> that God hath fulfilled the same unto our children, in that he raised up Jesus; as also it is written in the second psalm, <sup>[a]</sup>**Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.**

So, what are we looking at here in Acts 13?

It's what brings condemnation to Laodicea.

- **Christ was Everlasting Father # 2 or the Word in contrast to what Laodicea currently teaches that Jesus Christ is God the Eternal Son. HWM of Rev 14 and First angel could never be an Eternal Son**

**Why?**

- **since Biblical sonship is define by Romans 1:3 sonship according to the flesh or seed of David and sonship according to the resurrection, **not sonship according to emanationism.****

**Furthermore, Eternal Father # 1 never Lowered himself to take on the slave form of human genetics.**

**Yet Zechariah 3:7-9 reveals that the Pre-incarnate Angel of the Lord was Christ and notice what he states in those verses.**

**Thus saith Jehovah of hosts:** If thou wilt walk in my ways, and if thou wilt keep my charge, then thou also shalt judge my house, and shalt also keep my courts, and I will give thee [a]a place of access among these that stand by. **8** Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou and thy fellows that sit before thee; for they are men that are a [b]sign: for, behold, **I will bring forth my servant the [c]Branch.** **9** For, behold, the stone that I have set before Joshua; upon one stone are seven eyes: behold, I will engrave the graving thereof, saith Jehovah of hosts, and I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day.

Philippians 2: confirms this verse by stating the following.

<sup>5</sup> Have **this mind** in you, which was also in Christ Jesus: <sup>6</sup> who, existing in the form of God,

counted not the being on an equality with God a thing to be grasped,

<sup>7</sup> but emptied himself, **taking the form of a** <sup>[a]</sup>servant, <sup>[b]</sup>being made in the likeness of men;

<sup>8</sup> and being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself, becoming obedient *even* unto death, yea, the death of the cross. <sup>9</sup> Wherefore also God highly exalted him, and gave unto him the name which is above every name; <sup>10</sup> that in the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of *things* in heaven and *things* on earth and <sup>[c]</sup>*things* under the earth,

The **Alpha and Omega mind** is as depicted by Jesus in the New Testament with **no hint or teaching of Eternal Sonship:**

And what's to be **not** missed? The fact that,

Jesus corrected the individual who believed in **One God** and that God is one as in a Singular entity in **Mark 12**

When HWM by quoting **Psalms 110's** Two Everlasting Fathers since the people also believed that the Messiah was the **Son of David**.

Jesus then emphasized the **Alpha** of **Psalm 110:1** which revealed the Messiah at the right hand of God just as John 1:1 does.

**There is no hint of Sonship in Psalm 110:1** only the **equality** of the Messiah with God.

This is the Alpha Root of David scenario in heaven.

There is no hint of

any emanation or begotten in Psalm 110:1. It is only after the **Rod is sent** in verse 2 that Sonship comes into play.

**<sup>35</sup> And Jesus answered and said, as he taught in the temple, How say the scribes that the Christ is the son of David? <sup>36</sup> David himself said in the Holy Spirit,**

**[n]The Lord said unto my Lord,  
Sit thou on my right hand,  
Till I make thine enemies [o]the footstool of thy feet.**

**<sup>37</sup> David himself calleth him Lord; and  
whence is he his son?**

**And <sup>[p]</sup>the common  
people heard  
him gladly.**

The common people of today have the same experience to know why God the Eternal Son FB#4 is treacherous and why they are glad to hear the truth for a change.

So where does that place Laodicea in respect to their conformance to BEM and their unity with the 1g of the woman of ill-repute in Rev 17?

Well, who is out there to speak for the woman of ill-repute?

The elders and padres of Laodicea do?

What a bunch of ignorant losers. These guys who don't repent of the God the Eternal Son FB#4 and continue to bow before God the Eternal Son FB#4,

They are left with one choice.



Either they must repent and depart Laodicea and finally realise they have no First Angels warning but are prepping the pews for the MoB and know it not.

Are all you still prepared to enjoy the Latreia worship of God the Eternal Son FB#4?

Ignoring the command to not worship HE WHO MADE = no First Angel endorsement?

Well?

On another occasion the people stated the following

**<sup>34</sup> The multitude therefore answered him, We have heard out of the law that the Christ abideth for ever: and how sayest thou, The Son of man must be lifted**

**up? who is this Son of man?**

So, Jesus takes the **opposite route** by showing them that the Messiah was going to be lifted up just like Moses lifted the serpent in the wilderness **as an indicator of his death.**

## The conclusion

The conclusion is that Jesus addressed the reality of the **Alpha and Omega** in terms of the ignorance of the people **as in the premise that Messiah was Eternal** and that was true

but to address their ignorance Jesus had to state that the Messiah would **die**.

Then in reference to Messiah being the **Son of David** Jesus presented the fact that originally speaking "Christ" **was prior to David**.

## John 12:34

**<sup>34</sup> The multitude therefore answered him, We have heard out of the law that the Christ abideth for ever: and how sayest thou, The Son of man must be lifted up? who is this Son of man?**

Jesus then introduces the concept of **Everlasting Father #2** by stating that

David had stated that the **Messiah was his Lord**,  
and **how then could the Messiah**  
**be the Son of David?**

<sup>35</sup> And Jesus answered and said, as he taught in the temple, How say the scribes that the Christ is the son of David? <sup>36</sup> David himself said in the Holy Spirit,

[n]The Lord said unto my Lord,  
Sit thou on my right hand,  
Till I make thine enemies [o]the footstool of thy feet.

<sup>37</sup> David himself calleth him Lord; and whence is he his son?

And [p]the **common people heard him gladly.**

## Conclusion 1

Who was the Father of Jesus Christ who was within him of which Jesus stated "**I and the Father are one**" ?

The answer is provided.

Christ was the father of Jesus Christ. [Here](#)

That is why **Philippians 2** references

the name "Christ" **first** and

Jesus his human name **second**. = Christ Jesus.

## Conclusion 2

### **There were **never** two Eternal Sons.**

Christ was the Father of Jesus and this is confirmed by the fact that the Angel of the Lord in Zecharia 3 had no human genetics prior to his lowering of himself into Mary.

Whereas Jesus Christ had the genetics of Mary his human mother as well as the **Veil** of the **Divine Spirit**,

which was the **Angel of the Lord** himself,

cloaked in the Veil of **the 7 Spirits of God**

or the Holy Spirit just as he was veiled by the **flames of fire** when Moses encountered him at the burning bush.

## Conclusion 3

**Melchizedek had to be Christ prior** to the Incarnation since Paul clearly states that Melchizedek was greater than Abraham and Abraham paid tithes to Melchizedek

who had **no father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life**. Melchizedek was undoubtedly the "**Word**" of John 1:1.

Melchizedek has 3 prerequisites which the Bible refers to as the Order of Melchizedek.

- 1) No Father or mother,
- 2) Not beginning of days nor end of life
- 3) Melchizedek is made like unto the Son of God.

## Conclusion 4

Melchizedek is revealed in **Psalm 110:1-4** His **equality** at the right hand of God is shown in Psalm 110:1 later his descent to planet earth as the **Rod**.

Then comes the poetic description of his birth in the form of the **dew of the morning** and later in **verse 4** he is referred to **by** Eternal Father # 1 as being of the **Order of Melchizedek**

and its prerequisites entail **No father no mother, no beginning of days nor end of life and made like unto the Son of God.**

These prerequisites of the Order of Melchizedek clearly **destroy** the concept of **God Eternal Son** which is the commencement point of the **“human potential movement”** –

and what part of human potential movement disassociates its self from a perfect character?

Answer – none.

Do you now recognise why EGW referred to as 'Sparks of their own Kindling' which is basically **Spiritualism or Soul cycling**.

This is the reason as to why EGW stated this confirms that the

**"Omega was to be of a most startling nature"**. [Here](#)

It the startling nature of the OMEGA of DHs would be brought into the statement of Beliefs in the form

of [Fundamentals 2 and 4](#)

and **remain hidden** out of sight up,

to the time when in Revelation 13, they will worship the Beast, as well as the Dragon; (think about that for a while)

and then, the Dragon will explain the mystery of Neo Platonism within the doctrinal statement of Laodicea,

by stating that Christ and himself are

**eternal sons** in the form of emanations

from the **universe**,

**and** that

humans **have to recognize** their inherent godhood, by recognizing that we are all **sparks** from the **One Cosmic Consciousness of the Universe**.

This character perfection doctrine when solitarily associated with **RxF + Self R** (which is what JOB struggled with)

is a very difficult doctrine to perceive

as it has all the right words sentiments of love of Gd and then one's dedicated religious life – walking with Gd

but leads to the worship of the image in its own LETRIA worship formation.

That's the deadliness of the **Mystery of Iniquity** in Rev 13 which is soon around the corner. All because of God the Eternal Son FB#4 and this leaves everyone with adjustment problems to Eternal Righteousness of HWM.

## Conclusion 5

In the closing moments of the discussion, Pastor made reference to **"Sonship" in John 1:1**

Well, this had to be **corrected** for it is a great error –

because the context of Sonship began from **John 1:14**.

Where it then says

**“And the Word became flesh and dwelled amongst us”.**

**John 1:14** is the equivalent verse to  
**Psalms 110:2** which is the **descending Rod**  
which later overshadowed Mary.

**The gross misinterpretation of John 1:1 linking it to the Eternal Son doctrine is a massive error,**

Influenced strongly and at same time imperceptively by the Creeds unto God the eternal Son assumption which is corralled perfectly by the Trinity doctrine,

but what is never to be overlooked is that since to the Jewish mindset at the time of Christ, and it is a fact the Messiah then was linked to being the ‘Son of David’

and this is theologically correct,

since Jesus stated that he was

the **Alpha or Root of David** and that he was also  
the **Omega the offspring of David**  
= of **the flesh or seed of David.**

CONCLUSION



So, what about it?

Is your high worship – Letreia worship all about the Creedal God of the RCC and UC which is the startling nature of the Eternal Son FB#4 of the 27FBs

or are you now realising for the first time the eternal consequences of despising HWM

that truth must be reconciled to the First Angels warning in truth with John 1:1 and vs 14 for that must equal Rev 22:13,16?

I Am ALPHA & OMEGA

Or do you really need more time to think about it?

Like talk it over with the padre, and elders of Laodicea and study partners who are reliant on the traditions of the elders?

Right?

Fact is - The common people at the time of Jesus heard HIM GLADLY – they bypassed all of that superfluity and mumbo deception by the leadership then = padres and elders today

and made the decision in Eternal Righteousness when HWM explained the situation of His Eternal ALPHA Identity and His Omega Incarnate Identity .

End

--)-  
Swift Messenger  
--)-

---

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: [maninlinen@protonmail.com](mailto:maninlinen@protonmail.com)

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

**In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN** (Aust. Edition): [www.5agendas.com](http://www.5agendas.com)

**Man in Linen videos:** <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.