

John 4:22

Tovia Singer challenge

Salvation is of the Jews

Tovia Singer a famous Jewish apologist is stating that Protestants cannot answer the **challenge** of Roman Catholicism regarding who established the Canon of the Bible. While on the surface this appears to be true, since Protestants always quote the Catholic Church fathers, and since they came out in Protest from that corporate entity, then it can be understood, that this is their heritage.

But the question is the following

"Do all Christians derive their heritage from Rome?"

Not, the 7 churches in Revelation 1-4!

They were Remnant Christians and Jesus being in the midst of the symbolic **Menorah** reveals the "**Israelite** nature" of the 7 Churches.

¹¹ saying, What thou seest, write in a book and send *it* to the **seven churches**: unto Ephesus, and unto Smyrna, and unto Pergamum, and unto Thyatira, and unto Sardis, and unto Philadelphia, and unto Laodicea.

¹² And I turned to see the voice that spake with me. And having turned I saw **seven golden** [I] **candlesticks**;

¹³ and in the **midst** of the ^[m]candlesticks one like unto a son of man, clothed with a garment down to the foot, and girt about at the breasts with a golden girdle.

What is the true foundation?

The answer to that question is explained in the following verses. It is rather obvious from **Romans 9** and **11** that,

the Covenants, the Law the Prophets and the Messiah as well as the **true Olive tree** with the **rich root** proves beyond a shadow of a doubt that this is the answer to the so-called

"Protestants Dilemma," here

which is real,

considering the fact that they are forced to acknowledge that their Legacy as corporate churches, **stems from Rome**.

Yet, if they were to actually adhere solely to Scripture in reference to individual faith in Jesus Christ, they could escape from this conundrum;

but then, they, Catholics, Protestants, and Tovia are all forced to acknowledge the words of

Jesus **in**

John 4:22

that salvation is of the Jews.

The reason being that - if the book of <u>Romans chapter 9</u> being New Testament scripture was brought into the Canon of the New Testament by the Catholic church, why does it prove that Rome's insistence in being the true church, is wrong?

Here is the reason:

Paul speaking

³ For I could [a] wish that I myself were anathema from Christ for my brethren's sake, my kinsmen according to the **flesh**:

⁴ who are **Israelites**; whose is the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service *of God*, and the promises;

⁵ whose are the fathers, and of whom is Christ as concerning the ^[b]flesh, who is over all, God blessed ^[c]for ever. Amen.

So, here we see that the **foundational basis** of the Faith of Christians is in fact on all the items mentioned **on that list by Paul**.

Whereas Roman Catholicism **excludes** the Sabbath, and adds the so-called miracle of Transubstantiation, as well as sacramentalism, as well as the continuing sacrifice of the Mass, as well as a new priesthood,

founded on the performance of the 24/7 ritual of "transubstantiation,"

not realizing that on Calvary -

Jesus stated

"It is finished".

RCC also establishes **life after death** or **soul immortality** = spiritualism which contributes to their system of **Mariology** in the form of the **Assumption** of **body and soul of Mary** to heaven, as well as the worship and adoration of the saints, whom the church claims, are already in heaven.

Then, within Catholicism, there are the underground **mystical elements** which came from Gnostic traditions such as Contemplation along with the influence of mystics like

- St. John of the Cross
- or Theresa of Avila

which showcase the **mystical tradition** in its true light, apart from the cultural accruements of Catholicism.

And, I am referring to the paradoxical experience of **UNITY CONSCIOUSNESS** as seen in **Buddhism** and **Hinduism** and **Sufi mysticism**.

The latter are **no different** from the experiences of the two Catholic saints that have been mentioned.

Add to the fact that the verses below cancel this mystical aspect of being united with a **higher consciousness** which is nothing more than **a demonic alteration of the mind** by way of *isolation* and *spiritual exercises* that are **worthless** in combating **sin**, as expressed by the Apostle Paul in <u>Colossians</u> 2.

Read and See for yourselves. Ok.

But that's not all.

The teaching of the Eastern Orthodox Church in the form of Hesychasm = Unity Consciousness even takes the matter further, than the Catholic church. There were segments of history in the Eastern tradition that declared Hesychasm as being a heresy, this was later over turned.

The main centre for the teaching of Hesychasm is <u>Mount Athos Monastery</u> in Greece.

Mysticism explained and detected by Paul in the Colossian Church:

- ²⁰ If ye died with Christ from the ^[m]rudiments of the world, why, as though living in the world, do ye subject yourselves to ordinances,
- ²¹ Handle not, nor taste, nor touch ²² (all which things are to perish with the using), after the precepts and doctrines of men?
- ²³ Which things have indeed **a show of wisdom** in will-worship, and humility, and severity to the body; *but are* **not of any** ^[n]**value against** the indulgence of the flesh.

The Colossian heresy being a form of **Gnosticism** was not so much different from **Roman Catholicism's saints and mystics** who tried to achieve <u>unity</u> with God, by way of mystical illumination which is **impossible** for the following reason.

1 Timothy 6:16

¹⁶ who only hath immortality, dwelling in light unapproachable; whom no man hath seen, nor can see: to whom *be* honor and power eternal. Amen.

Ecclesiastes 3:19-21 as well as **Ecclesiastes 9:5-10 nullify** this concept of **soul immortality**, as well as the false ability to reach God by way of the Mystical experience of Unity Consciousness.

Getting back on topic:

So, what was Jesus referring to in reference to **salvation being of the**Jews? This **has** to be answered with another question.

What is not Foundational in Historic Judaism?

For they are **not** all **Israel**, that are of Israel:

⁷ neither, because they are Abraham's seed, are they all children: but, ^[d]In Isaac shall thy seed be called.

⁸ That is, it is **not** the children of the flesh that are children of God; but the children of the **promise** are **reckoned** for a **seed**.

Note, that the children of the 'promise' Rom 9:8 is based on the promise of the New Covenant with a New City the New Jerusalem; and not the earthly Jerusalem which Paul states in Galatians 4, as being in bondage.

The Roman Church, is it another false foundation based on Peter?

In his famous dissertation **St. Augustine** claimed that the New Jerusalem the city of God was the Roman Church ruling over the nations.

We all know that this is a contradiction to what is stated in the book of Revelation, that this city of God, comes down from Heaven after the Millennium.

The so-called new Priesthood of the Catholic church rather than understanding the Eternal ministration of our Great High Priest of the Order of Melchizedek; places emphasis on mortal men that perform a counterfeit ministration which is not in alignment with the items that Paul mentions in the book of Romans,

as well as what Jesus stated in John 4:22.

Salvation being of the Jews
or system of truth,

whose foundation is based on the adoption, the glory, the Covenants old and new and the giving of the Law as well as the service of God = Sanctuary which is contrary to the Mass, since its 5 Agendas also reveal

the foundation of the system of truth in the form of the final Resolution of the sin problem in Heaven, **and** on earth,

thus, reconciling the two families in the heavens and earth,

not through the human workings of an *Alter Christos* **performing ritual magic** in the sacristy;

but through the highest form of **Eternal Righteousness** which is defined as the **Alpha and the Omega by Jesus himself**,

by stating that he is the "I AM".

The advanced understanding of the Godhead which establishes the Law of Two as opposed to the Roman Catholic Neo Platonist concept of the Law of one,

which also goes further back in time as the foundational leader of that erroneous concept was <u>Philo of Alexandria</u> a Neo Platonist Jew,

who stated on record that the "Dabar" or "Word" being the Angel of the Lord in the Old Testament was an **emanation** from the One True God.

This information can be found in the <u>Jewish Encyclopedia</u>.

One Gd

Philo of Alexandria who lived during the first century BC paved the way for the concept of the "one god" in Rabbinic Judaism, as well as in Roman Catholicism, since the root teaching of the One god stemmed from Neo Platonism's concept of the Void Universe prior to the material world would later produce a "thought" = an emanation.

The Catholic fathers later interpreted the **Void Universe** as the father and the **emanated** thought as **the begotten Son** who later created the rest of the material Universe.

These concepts are in fact contrary to **Romans 1:3 and 4**.

Son of God according to the flesh and according to the resurrection from the dead.

Back on Topic:

Jesus statement in **John 4:22** to the woman at the well clearly fly's in the face of Catholic church teaching since **he stated** that **Salvation was of the Jews.**

Keep in mind that Jesus was referring to the system of truth and not to the human genetics of the physical descendants of Abraham and that was in relation to Daniel's people of whom **70 weeks** were determined upon them as well as their city which ultimately included the destruction of the second Temple in 70 AD,

but the 70 weeks or 490 began in the Fall season of **457BC** and ended in **34AD** and clearly explained,

in chapter 8 of the Book of Acts.

And there arose on that day a great persecution **against the church** which was in Jerusalem; and they were all scattered abroad throughout the regions of Judaea and Samaria, except the apostles. ² And devout men buried **Stephen**, and made great lamentation over him.

- ³ But **Saul** laid waste the church, entering into every house, and dragging men and women committed them to prison.
- ⁴ They therefore that were scattered abroad went about ^[a] preaching the word.
- ⁵ And **Philip** went down to the city of **Samaria**, and proclaimed unto them the Christ.
- ⁶ And the multitudes gave heed with one accord unto the things that were spoken by **Philip**, when they heard, and saw the signs which he did.

⁷ [b] For *from* many of those that had unclean spirits, they came out, crying with a loud voice: and many that were palsied, and that were lame, were healed. ⁸ And there was much joy in that city.

The scattering of Acts chapter 8:

What did it produce?

It produced the **New Vineyard** which Jesus prophesied about in reference to the dishonest tenants of the Old Vineyard who got rid of the prophets and later killed the Son of the Owner and wanted it for themselves.

Jesus asked what the owner would do, and the answer is revealed in Daniel 9's 70 weeks,

which were determined upon the people of Daniel as well as the city.

The fulfillment of that took place in Acts chapter 8 and the destruction of which Jesus was talking

about in reference to the **Temple** was fulfilled in **70AD** as mentioned in **Matthew 24.**

New Vineyard parable

The New Vineyard was to unfold a **system of truth** which began at **6:00** in the form of a **parable time clock** which produced a coming out of Egypt and the construction of the

the **Sanctuary**.

Jesus being the metaphorical Temple

arrived 3 hours after the **6:00 AM** calling of Moses, and after his death and resurrection being the **9:00 AM** call of Jesus, came the scattering of **Acts 8** and the believers were called

the followers of "The Way".

Whereas, the metaphorical **Parable Time clock of Matthew 20** produced a **New Vineyard of Truth**.

It would not be found in any city on earth such as Jerusalem or Mount Gerizim, or Mecca in Saudi Arabia; but in "Spirit and in truth" as Jesus told the woman at the well in John 4,

and Jesus culminated his conversation telling her that

salvation was of the Jews.

So, Jesus is now referring to the **True Olive Tree** or **system of Truth** which is incapsulated in those specific callings,

being metaphorical time frames in the history of planet earth;

and how the truth would emerge at those specific points in time in a metaphorical clock;

thus, indicating what Time the Truth, would arise in those specific hours of earth history. 6, 9, (12 and 3 a concurrent call), and finally the **5:00 PM** call.

It just so happens, that though not specifically mentioned, the Roman Church arose between the **Hours of 9 and 12** it preceded the Concurrent call of 12 and 3

and was known historically in Daniel 8:11-13 as

the **Transgression of Desolation**.

This TRANSGRESSION OF DESOLATION is the current problem in reference to Tovia Singer's argument

Why?

Because the Transgression of Desolation **masks** itself as "true Christianity" in opposition to the True arrival of the **Olive Tree's system of Truth** which took place within the parameters of

the Concurrent call of 12 and 3 and the last call at 5

being the **Philadelphia** Remnant = (Revelation 12:17);

which squares-off against the Synogue of Satan.

= Revelation 3. = Abomination of Desolation = Matt 24:15.

The **Transgression of Desolation** and the present controversy as to who truly has apostolic primacy?

Is it Rome or the True Olive Tree of 'Spirit and Truth' which is depicted as flourishing in the New Vineyard of Matthew 20?

While the issue at this present time is not to define the 12 and 3pm, as well as the 5pm calls,

what is crucial is the identification of the Transgression of Desolation on the Time Clock of the New Vineyard of Matthew 20; since **neither** Rome or Protestantism have contributed to New Truth.

The present issue is that of Divine Authority.

Is it Rome, or the New Vineyard and its set times?

What the letter to the Romans proves is it does in fact produce not only a huge problem for the Catholic church, but a problem for Protestants, as well and to Tovia for the very fact that it establishes the system of truth in relation to historic Judaism as the basis of authority

in the form of Foundational truths of historic Judaism

(not Rabbinic Judaism) that have been clearly defined from the time of Moses;

and there is a reason for this which is in relation to the teaching of the **New Vineyard**,

whose call began at **6:00 AM** and dovetails with what Romans has to say about the **Pure Olive tree**

with the rich root,

as opposed to the **cursed Fig tree** of which Jesus warned about and appears again at the end of the age, after the destruction of the woman of ill reputation who is devoured by fire as described by God in Revelation 17:12-16 and Revelation 18.

Jesus said salvation is of the Jews:

This statement by Jesus flies in the face of the statements by the Catholic church in terms of "Apostolic succession" and also flies in the face of Protestantism that declares that Remnant churches who observe the Sabbath are Judaising sects. Same accusation as the mother church.

Jesus once stated that by their "fruits" we shall know them. The Apostle Paul stated the

following.

³⁰ What shall we say then? That the Gentiles, who followed not after righteousness, attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is of faith: ³¹ but **Israel**, following after a **law of righteousness**, **did not arrive** at *that* law.

Wherefore? Decause they sought it not by faith, but as it were by works. They stumbled at the stone of stumbling; 33 even as it is written, Dehold, I lay in Zion a stone of stumbling and a rock of offence: And he that believeth on him shall not be put to shame.

Yet, the same thing can be stated about the Roman Catholic church during the **Council of Trent**,

in the form of the <u>Counter - Reformation</u> where **James** was quoted in opposition to Paul.

Yet, as we all know, Paul was taught the Gospel directly from Jesus Christ after his vision.

Paul's concept actually **goes beyond R/F** and even surpasses what the 1888 Message of Adventism taught since the proof of Paul is founded on **2 Timothy 1:9**

this being the former **Eternal Righteousness PRIOR** to the Incarnation defines the <u>latter</u> righteousness by Faith which **is while we were yet** sinners, Christ died for us.

Romans 5:8.

Thus, a proper understanding of **ETERNAL RIGHTEOUSNESS** sets the stage by way of Paul to a correct understanding of the **book of Job**.

It also sets the stage for **Revelation 13:8** since the issue at the end, will not be the cross **but Eternal Righteousness prior to the foundation of the world.**

The book of Job its proper position on God's Eternal Righteousness apart from the works of **Job** which while good at first, later became **self-righteous**;

yet when faced with the **glory of Everlasting Righteousness** the good works of Job as a Perfect Testimony, were nothing.

He came to see himself in his true light as needing to **repent from his self-righteousness**.

Something that the **book of James** could **never** do, since his mindset was based on Faith and works.

Job after having a perfect Testimony became Self-Righteous and when HWM appeared to him and challenged him to create this or that or could he tame certain animals etc. Job was at a loss, later

declaring that he had never heard such things and finally repented and was blessed by God and told to intercede on behalf of his friends, who were teaching erroneous concepts which were very much akin to Roman Catholic concepts of fear and superstition, as seen in many Latin American countries as

well as in the Philippines,

and most Roman Catholic countries

harbor the same concepts taught by Job's friends - that if something bad happen to you, you did something wrong in the past or were dishonest. In the Philippines they refer to this as Bad luck.

Jesus actually presented

the matter of the **Tower of Siloam** since he was addressing this area of **superstition** and **self-righteous element** of the population.

- **13** Now there were some present at that very season who told him of the Galilaeans, whose blood Pilate had mingled with their sacrifices.
- ² And he answered and said unto them, Think ye that these Galilaeans were sinners above all the Galilaeans, because they have suffered these things?
- ³ I tell you, **Nay**: but, except ye repent, ye shall all in like manner perish.
- ⁴ Or those eighteen, upon whom the **tower in Siloam** fell, and killed them, think ye that they were ^[a] offenders above all the men that dwell in Jerusalem? ⁵ I tell you, Nay: but, except ye repent, ye shall all likewise perish.

Since the Rock, being Jesus is an offence to the concept of Faith plus works this clearly proves that Roman Catholicism though claiming the formation of the Canon of scripture in the form of putting them together, is actually at odds with the Everlasting Gospel,

as presented by the Final Remnant church, known as the Philadelphians, who possess the **Key of David**.

This can actually be **proven** from Jesus statement in Revelation 22:13,16 and John 1:1 when placed in contrast with what Rome teaches about **Jesus** being **begotten prior to the ages**

considering the fact that

Isaiah 9:6,

refers to the **child that is to be born** and given **as a son** as being from eternity an **EVERLASTING FATHER # 2**.

The former Catholic concept flies in the face of Jesus Christ as being the **Alpha and Omega** since it relegates his pre-incarnate existence to that of an **Eternal Son = God the Eternal Son FB #4** when in reality he was **Eternal Father # 2**, as stipulated by the **Order of Melchizedek**.

No Father, no Mother, no beginning of days, nor end of life.

Marcion Gnostic controversy

While it is true that <u>Marcion controversy</u> which arose in the 2nd Century CE required the separation of the true New Testament books from the Gnostic forgeries which were not included in the Canon of the Bible, the claim by Rome that they formed the Canon of scripture flies in the face of what

God has to say about protecting his word.

Furthermore, Catholic Scholars were aware of which books containing Apostolic teachings and which books contained Gnostic forgeries and concepts such as Docetic doctrines or fanciful interpretations advocating the Divine feminine.

This is a teaching that arose with **Simon Magus** in the **book of Acts** who later took upon himself a consort = bar girl. "**Helen of Tyre**" whom Simon referred to as the Feminine principal of god or Sophia.=Wisdom.

HWM protected his words and can use anyone.

Didn't Jesus say that he could turn stones into worshippers of God?

What God has to say about protecting his word.

Psalm 12

The words of Jehovah are pure words; As silver tried in a furnace on the earth, Purified seven times.

⁷ Thou wilt keep them, O Jehovah,

Thou wilt preserve them from this generation **for ever**.

Matthew 5

¹⁸ For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass away, one jot or one tittle **shall in no wise pass away** from the law, till all things be accomplished.

1Peter 1:23

²³ having been begotten again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, **through the word of** [a]**God**, which **liveth** and abideth.

Isaiah 40:8

The grass withereth, the flower fadeth; but the word of our God shall stand forever.

Salvation is of the Jews

The **True Olive Tree** verses the **PPH**

²⁴ For if thou wast cut out of that which is by nature a **wild olive tree**, and wast grafted **contrary** to nature into a good olive tree; how much more shall these, which are the **natural** *branches*, be grafted into their own olive tree?

Definition of "wild"

Not domesticated, un-tamed, or cultivated. Not inhabited, uncivilized

Revelation 17:

- 17 And there came one of the seven angels that had the seven bowls, and spake with me, saying, Come hither, I will show thee the judgment of the great harlot that sitteth upon many waters; ² with whom the kings of the earth committed fornication, and they that dwell in the earth were made drunken with the wine of her fornication.
- ³ And he carried me away in the Spirit into a wilderness: and I saw a woman sitting upon a scarlet-colored beast, [a] full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten horns.
- ⁴ And the woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet, and ^[b]decked with gold and precious stone and pearls, having in her hand a golden cup full of abominations, ^[c]even the unclean things of her fornication,
- ⁵ and upon her forehead a name written, ^[d]Mystery, Babylon the Great, the Mother of the Harlots and of the Abominations of the Earth.
- ⁶ And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the ^[e]martyrs of Jesus.

The woman and the Transgression of Desolation

ROMANS 11

But if some of the branches were broken off, and thou, being a **wild** olive, wast grafted in among them, and didst become partaker with them ^[g] of the root of the fatness of the olive tree;

¹⁸ glory not over the branches: but if thou gloriest, it is not thou that bearest the root, **but the root thee**. ¹⁹ Thou wilt say then, Branches were broken off, that I might be grafted in.

²⁰ Well; by their **unbelief** they were broken off, and thou standest by thy faith. Be not high-minded, **but fear**: ²¹ for if God spared not the natural branches, neither will he spare thee.

²² **Behold** then the goodness and severity of God: toward them that fell, severity; but toward thee, God's goodness, if thou continue in his goodness: otherwise, **thou also shalt be CUT Off.**

²³ And they also, if they continue not in their unbelief, shall be grafted in: for God is **able** to graft them in again.

²⁴ For if thou wast cut out of that which is by nature a **wild** olive tree, and wast grafted contrary to nature into a good olive tree; how much more shall these, which are the **natural** *branches*, be grafted into their **own olive tree**?

The question:

Was a **wild olive Tree** grafted in as a **counterfeit** after the <u>Diocletian</u> persecutions that began in **303 AD** and

lasted until 313AD?

The answer is YES,

and that time **frame of FALSE GRAFTING** is referred to in scripture

as the "Transgression of Desolation". = <u>Daniel 8:13</u>

There were many conversions of Pagans becoming Christians and although many of these people may have been sincere and accepted by Christ, this **union of paganism with Christianity** was in fact a Trojan horse which produced that

wild woman of Revelation 17

who was dressed in Purple and Scarlet.

So, what does the future hold for such a woman?

Revelation 17:12-16 states:

- ¹² And the **ten horns** that thou sawest are **ten kings**, who have received no kingdom as yet; but they receive authority as kings, with the beast, for one hour.
- ¹³ These have **one mind**, and they give their power and authority unto the beast.
- ¹⁴ These shall war against the Lamb, and the Lamb shall overcome them, for he is Lord of lords, and King of kings; and they *also shall overcome* that are with him, **called and chosen and faithful.**
- ¹⁵ And he saith unto me, The waters which thou sawest, where the harlot sitteth, are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues.
- ¹⁶ And the ten horns which thou sawest, and the beast, **these shall hate the harlot**, and **shall** make her desolate and naked, and **shall** eat her flesh, and **shall** burn her utterly with fire.

But now note, God **didn't spare** the '**NATURAL BRANCHES'** Romans 11:21,24 and he clearly tells these **unnatural branches** the following:

⁴ And I heard another voice from heaven, saying, Come forth, my people, out of her, that ye have no fellowship with her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues: ⁵ for her sins ^[f] have reached even unto heaven, and God hath remembered her iniquities.

⁶ Render unto her even as she rendered, and double *unto her* the double according to her works: in the cup which she mingled, mingle unto her double.

⁷ How much soever she glorified herself, and waxed ^[g]wanton, so much give her of torment and mourning: for she saith in her heart, I sit a queen, and am no widow, and shall in no wise see mourning.

⁸ Therefore in one day shall her plagues come, death, and mourning, and famine; and she shall be utterly burned with fire; for strong is [h] the Lord God who judged her.

It appears that Tovia Singer's challenge, has been answered

)
Swift Messenger
)

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. $Edition): \underline{www.5agendas.com}$

Man in Linen videos: https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".