


*"Watchman,
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,
the hour and the end!"* Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



Hebrews

6:4-6

Unpardonable sin

First principles of the Oracles of God — Heb 5:12

Melchizedek

For those who treasure the ER of Christ, you will enjoy this discussion. because we take a look at the **unpardonable sin** (UPS) from the viewpoint of **Hebrews 6:4-6**. Hebrews presents an essential reference to the UPS that takes account of the perspective of **Melchizedek** who is announced in Hebrews chapters 5-7, and without a doubt, the doctrine of Melchizedek provides substantial light about the UPS of Matt 12:31 and the First Angels warning of Rev 14.

When you really slow-down, and look at the context of Heb 5,6,7, the framework is about Melchizedek; revealing His Alpha Identity and the Omega Scenario confirmed in 7:1-3. You will see we are dealing with the UPS.

For when the five prerequisites of Melchizedek are pieced together, the so-called mystery of Melchizedek vanishes. He was from days of Eternity and at Bethlehem became High Priest the "Order of Melchizedek".

We are not dealing with 'split infinitives' but are observing a Divine Being, who was also God, who transcends time, but entered prophetic time as the Lamb slain to be our Great High Priest which emphasises the Sanctuary Doctrine and the Atonement of sin, per John 4:22

but Hebrews, like Matt 12:32 also pinpoints what is NOT atonable - yes the UPS. Is this discussion then on the highest level of importance. You be the judge.

What you get to see fully established is the Hebrews ALSO confirms the truth of Melchizedek and why Christ is ALPHA & OMEGA in its fullest verity and what emerges pinpoints what is the accuracy of the First Angels warning and confirms Matt 12:31 in that prophetic scenario as to what is the UNPARDONABLE SIN against the Holy Spirit.

For the **UPS = Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies.**

Importantly, because Hebrews presents the evidence about the A&O and therefore warning of **Heb 6:4-6** comes into its own. Why the context is all related to the **First principles of Christ and the ORACLES OF GOD 5:12** and that's undeniable, because Hebrews exposes the UPS.

Therefore, it's not difficult to see the soon era of the abomination of Desolation and the Law of One

But more so why the Law of 1 is the rejection of Two Divines of John 1:1 which is the **Law of Two**. And therefore, the sin against the Holy Spirit.

Leaving you spiritually entangled with Luciferian era desolations under the umbrella of the Law of One. The emphasis will be Deut 6:4. All you are left with is bowing to the Law of One = Rev 13.

The Law of one defies the Law of Two
And therefore, the ER of Christ
is annihilated by the Omega of DHs

The residual effect,
is the Law of One = 1g of Rev 13 = Matt 24:15

Jesus Himself in **Matthew 16** will be discussed in the next edition of WWN confirms the
UPS of **Heb 6:4-6**

So, the UPS of Heb 6:4-6 will be proven beyond any doubt and those insisting the TTD and the doctrine of
God the Eternal Son FB#4 is truth
in reality

**crucifies Christ afresh and
puts Him to an OPEN SHAME.**

6:4 For [it is] impossible for those who were once enlightened, and have
tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers of the Holy Ghost,

6:5 And have tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to
come,

6:6 If they shall fall away, to renew them again unto repentance; seeing they
crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put [him] to an open shame.

It's a very shocking thing.

But know now

That, sinning and repenting and forgiveness by faith, by grace is **not denied** unto
salvation or for that matter the slippery slope of
backsliding and walking away from Christ;

Repentance is the seal of salvation but there is a Greek word for **falling away** requires consideration because when one is enlightened, born again tasting the heavenly gift; that sinning openly does bring Christ into open shame = hypocrisy; Luke 12:1.

Pastors and elders convey that continuing and rejecting Christ = the UPS. But according to Matt 12:31-32 rejecting Christ is not the UPS.

Rejection of Allos is the UPS = Matt 12:31.

So, it gets back knowing with certainty how the Omega of DHs operates in the rejection of Allos and why it's in the context of the First Angels warning.

But hey,

those of you who are so dull of hearing who choose to ignore the context of Hebrew 5-7 and what is coexisting to the eternal identity of Melchizedek and can't see a connection to the Incarnation of why Christ was made the son of God after the Order of Melchizedek, then guess what has occurred?

Paul tells you – that you are pathetic when it comes to understanding Melchizedek relation to the **first principles and the ORACLES OF GD. Read Heb 5.**

Which means the pastors and elders with all their ideas Melchizedek was a Canaanite priest yada omit purposely not entering the realm of the context of Melchizedek from Heb 5-7 let alone resolving why Heb 6:4-6 = the UPS, = the OMEGA of DHs. Why do they do this?

They all failed to grasp the first principles and the Oracles of Gd. As did Caiaphas of the Pharisees and Sadducees. And the UPS is open season upon the laity. Right?

The deadliness of the Omega of DHs becomes blatantly clear; we all are at the very end of the age and events concerning the 3AM are about to unfold and that require ones full attention and includes the **Seal of God of Rev 7** – [here](#).

But padres, elders and laity of Laodicea to whom you speak won't have a bar they are hosting any heresy with FB 2,4 is the Omega of DHs.

Right?

and yet they astonishingly all continue with the central doctrine of the RCC = FB 2,4 so, draw the conclusion– Laodicea are apologists for the RCC in the process. Right?

That's uncomfortable and brings the very awkward reality of the UPS from Hebrews 6:4-6 into their midst and that has to be addressed.

And yes, addressed in light of the First Angel warning.

But what happens?

They go into spiritual cognitive dissonance mode
we are the "remnant church" and
"we have the truth" mode –

Right?

and deny, and pooh anything that Laodicea is deficient about the Omega of DHs that it is in their midst as warned by EGW would BE RECEIVED and so they remain none the wiser.

Does that describe [2 Tim 3:7](#)?

⁷ Ever learning, and **never** able to come to the knowledge of the truth.

Yet, The facts of the Omega of DHs speak for themselves.

One fact is the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies has never been resolved by Laodicea.

In the responses from padres and elders who endorse FB 2,4 - the status of "Son of Gd" is imposed on the Alpha, denying they are papa well respond in comments section - why is that not Omega of DH.

Yet, when one considers Heb 7:1-3 that describes why Christ was made 'like a son' is specified to the **Incarnation**, therefore, its impossible true sonship arises **in eternity** which is what the TTD and God the Eternal Son FB#4 are saying.

The Omega DHs deception is enormous.

You will shortly discover from Hebrews 5 that to apply the Omega upon the Alpha = FBs 2,4 you are **clueless** when it comes to the First Principles and the ORACLES of Gd.

Laodicea's fundamental beliefs on the doctrine of Gd (2&4) is papal – because to say Christ was **God the Eternal Son** you are openly denying His eternal existence as The Word and destroying His Identity as Father #2 = Isa 9:6-7 and so, **crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put [him] to an open shame.**

Have to repeat it - imposing Christ who became the son of God at the Incarnation and say He was an **Eternal Son of Gd** – crucifies Him afresh.

It is philosophy and vain deceit of men, to disbelieve Christ was eternal Everlasting Father and brings Christ to open shame – of course it's reckless – how can an Everlasting Father be the eternal son of Gd?

He was, ALSO GOD = Gen 1:26 = John 1:1

That in Ancient Eternal Ages prior to Bethlehem there were
Two Eternal Divines=Law of Two.

Christ was not an eternal son – see Heb 7:1-3.

Christ was never begotten son in eternity. That defies = John 1:1.

Are you now seeing why the Omega of DHs is the ruination of His status as Everlasting Father #2?

Whether Inadvertently or purposely because of the pressure of the 27FBs – the fact is the Omega of DHs is advancing the 1g doctrine of mysticism of the RCC, of Neo-Platonism and Arian Gnostics.

It means Paul's warning of Colossians 2 is correct.

The Colossians had the same problem as the Hebrews about the Oracles of Gd.

2:8 Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ.

2:9 For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily.

That **fulness** was, and is what it always was –
Christ's Eternal Identity = ER

So, the **UPS** from **Heb 6:4-6** perspective, becomes a real problem.

Why? you have not grasped the FIRST PRINCIPLES and the ORACLES of Gd = Heb 5:12

5:12 For when for the time ye ought to be teachers, ye have need that one teach you again which [be] the first principles of the oracles of God; and are become such as have need of milk, and not of strong meat.

5:13 For every one that useth milk [is] unskilful in the word of righteousness: [ER] for he is a babe.

But are you a babe when your advancing the AODHs?
Regardless of what you say or believe
that's a BIG PROBLEM

Why?

because it seems no one has a clue that you are dealing with the Omega of DHs when reading the Book of Heb let alone why HWM is defined as Melchizedek and why He ordained after the Order of Melchizedek

Why?

Because, the status of Son of God was never eternal

it means ultimately you endorse the vain deceit of the purple woman
you are defying the First Angels warning and corroborating
the Omega of DHs

all because you don't know the first principles of the doctrine of God or Christ.

Heb 6:4-6 said its unpardonable.

But it's worse for Laodicea. As EGW said the church was First to feel the stroke of an offended Gd.

Melchizedekian priesthood ties in with John 4:22 and the Sanctuary Doctrine of Dan 8:14
= Heb 8,9

which must include the LAST ministration of the DoA in the court
which is individual cleansing and includes the "sealing time"
of the SEAL OF GD and Ezekiel 9.

Which was outlined [here](#)

What?

Well, ask yourself doesn't Heb 7:3 sit in the context of chapters 5-7 and thereby establish why Christ is after the order of Melchizedek from Psa 110 and not an eternal son?

7:1 For this Melchisedec, king of Salem, priest of the most high God, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings, and blessed him;

7:2 To whom also Abraham gave a tenth part of all; first being by interpretation King of righteousness, and after that also King of Salem, which is, King of peace;

7:3 Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but made like unto the Son of God; abideth a priest continually.

If you remain in doubt – check out the last discussion about Melchizedek made in the likeness = **aphomoioó** unto the son of God

[here](#)

The fact is this, if you are bothered to validate the tremendous incursions of Omega of DHs that applies sonship of HWM as to the Son of Gd in **eternity** – well its high time to awake unto Righteousness

15:34 Awake to righteousness, and sin not; for some **have not the knowledge of God**: I speak [this] to your shame.

especially if you care to consider what
= Rom 1:3,4 establishes with Heb 7;3

That context of Melchizedek from Heb 5-7 provides the necessary correction to the Omega of DHs.

MUST RELATE TO EVERYTHING ABOUT MELCHIZEDEK.

Col 2:8 confirms the deceit and open shame insisting Christ was an eternal son.

Christ was declared to be the son of God at Incarnation, but you **have not the knowledge of Gd** when the sonship is **elevated** into the realm of the Alpha of Eternity

and that's what the Trinity FB #2 achieves,
as does the God the Eternal Son FB#4 of the Creeds.

You are now confronted,
that without the knowledge of first principles of Gd and why Christ is Great High Priest after the Order of Melchizedek, a terrible calamity exists which is the UPS of Heb 6:4-6

As for those who agree to disagree mumbo well get this - crucifying Christ AFRESH with Omega of DHs - there is NO SEAL OF GD.

See

- Rev 7 Seal of Gd – “in the sealing time of the 144” [here](#)
- Matt 12:31 [here](#)

If you are prepared to take time to consider the enormity of the problem you then are faced with the real dilemma of taking the First Angel –
For granted.

Which is what?

The Omega of DHs is crucifying Christ afresh putting Him to open shame through the vain philosophy Neo-Platonism, One Gd, the Law of One.

Right?

That EVERY time you align your belief of the
GDHD to FB 2,4 = you endorse the AODH

Which means you have no idea of **the First Principles and the ORACLES OF GD**

Right?

But again, the Context of Melchizedek
= Heb chapters 5-7

places **you** with a terrible predicament –

the UPS means you have voided Christs Eternal Identity as Melchizedek
when you say He was **God the Eternal Son = FB#4**
the son of Gd in eternity, and no First Angels warning.

Those imposing the Omega upon Christs eternal Alpha status, well you are endorsing the central doctrine of the Little Horn, the purple woman. And **you** are endorsing the 1g of paganism.
The Law of One.

1 Cor 15

15:34 Awake to righteousness, and sin not; for some have **not** the knowledge of God: **I speak [this] to your shame.**

Isn't it time to know what are the first principles and **ORACLES of GD**

In respect to JOHN 1:1 so, you can acknowledge what is ER and avoid like the plague the UPS from the Book of Hebrews perspective?

Right?

In Heb 6 - The UPS has its own pre-amble.

Its best read slowly – for the Omega of DHs is failing to have a knowledge of God and the first principles of Christ = Oracles of Gd.

Notice the pre-amble to the UPS

6:1 Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto **perfection**; not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works, and of faith toward God,

What is it to be leaving the principles of the **DOCTRINE OF CHRIST** (6:1) ?

well, it's what Heb 6:4-6 confirms to put Christ to an open shame. Notice the impossibility

For [it is] impossible for those who were once enlightened,

The context of leaving the first principles of doctrine of the Oracles of Gd the oracles of Gd (Heb 5:12)

5:12 For when for the time ye ought to be teachers, ye have need that one teach you again which [be] the first principles of the oracles of God; and are become such as have need of milk, and not of strong meat.

The conclusion of crucifying Christ afresh = FB 2,4
is STRONG MEAT.

6:1 Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us go on unto perfection;

What is meant by the expression
"Let us go onto perfection"

A knowledge of Righteousness = Perfection = cleansed = sealed in sealing time?

= 144 = Rev 14:1-5 = SEALED = Rev 7?

Perfection is simply = **ER** = ERxF = Rev 13:8

Sealed to defend the First Angels warning of Rev 14.

Right?

Therefore

6:4 For [it is] impossible for those who were once enlightened, and have tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers of the Holy Ghost,

6:5 And have tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come,

6:6 If they shall fall away, to renew them again unto repentance; seeing they crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put [him] to an open shame.

Crucifying again, the LSFOTW = Rev 13:8?

Yes!

The UPS sin is blasphemy against the Holy Spirit.

12:31 Wherefore I say unto you, All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy [against] the [Holy] Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men.

12:32 And whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him: but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the [world] to come.

When it comes to Matt 12:31-32 - The stalwarts of **RxF** presently do not see the overarching reality of Christ's ER as Alpha & Omega.

Even though they put Christ to open shame by the Omega of DHs with FB 2,4.

Why?

If Laodicea had the knowledge of the **First principles of Christ** there would **not** be the huge problem with the AODH. The Omega of DH would be resolved.

Right?

Nor would Laodicea have ever affirmed FB 2,4. But they did. AS EGW said would occur.

Laodicea believe the Law of one.

Laodicean ministers say backsliding from Christ is what Heb 6:4-6 means – but then is that correct?

Obviously from Matt 12:31-32 it is not.

For all manner of blasphemy against Christ is forgiven.

In Heb 7 the introduction of Melchizedek

as the Alpha = no parentage or beginning of days

= the ORACLES of GOD, that Paul said was once understood.

The preamble to Heb 7:1-3 is in the last verses of chapter 6

6:18 That by two immutable things, in which [it was] impossible for God to lie, we might have a strong consolation, who have fled for refuge to lay hold upon the hope set before us:

6:19 Which [hope] we have as an **anchor of the soul**, both sure and stedfast, and which entereth into that within the veil;

6:20 Whither the forerunner is for us entered, [even] **Jesus**, made an high priest for ever after the order of Melchisedec.

The anchor of the soul is the Eternal Identity that Christ was also God, that He cannot lie.

His Eternal Reality = LSFOTW = His ER

and faith in ER

is the **anchor of the soul**.

How?

HWM met Abraham as **Melchizedek** = without genealogy or beginning = Alpha

After His ascension in Acts 1 – He, the Omega is the **forerunner** entered as high priest after the order of Melchizedek and

His immutable eternal Identity is not a lie and to the believer in context of Rev 13 - ER is an "anchor of the believer's soul" and saves to the uttermost.

ER the **DIVINE ANCHOR** is the **fullness of the GDHD bodily** = Col 2:9
and therefore,
His ER = Heb 7:1-3

The Incarnation of HWM is when
you establish **sonship** = **Romans 1:3,4**

and only then,
was He ordained, after the order of Melchizedek. = **Psa 110.**

Eternal Melchizedek = **Alpha**
Order of Melchizedek = **Omega**

So how do you **crucify to yourselves the SON OF GOD afresh**
and put Him to an open shame when "sonship" = incarnation?

ANSWER

By acknowledging the Omega of DHs as truth. = FB 2,4 blaspheming the HS.

Which is why Egw said she trembled for the people.

The UPS = Matt 12:31 = Heb 6:4-6.

You should by now easily recognise the error of the Omega of DHs because Paul told the legalist Romans, Christs sonship

was according to the flesh, and not otherwise

Romans 1:3

Concerning his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, which was made of the seed of David according to the flesh;

= Rom 1:4

And declared [to be] the Son of God with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead:

=Heb 7:3

7:3 Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but made like unto the Son of God; abideth a priest continually.

So, how do you crucify to yourselves the **SON OF GOD afresh** and put Him to an open shame when "sonship" was **declared** to be the incarnation?

Well, Even the evil spirits acknowledge Christ's eternal Identity

And, behold, they cried out, saying, What have we to do with thee, Jesus, thou Son of God? art thou come hither to torment us before the time?

Demons sought to not torment before the Lake of fire = before the time and hey, they also know the difference of the Law of ONE is their doctrine, and they know the Law of Two is truth.

But Laodicea don't?

Demons know Christ was NOT An
emanated or born eternal son of Gd
from eternity.

Even Satan was told **not to tempt the Lord thy God** - Matt 4:7

So, why would ignorant ppl wish to crucify HWM afresh with the Omega of DHs insisting
sonship is applicable to the Alpha?

The Bible has told you He was NOT Gd the eternal son from eternity because its stated
so in Romans 1:3,4 and Heb 7:1-3. Right?

Conclusion 2

The deception and mysteries of the 1g = Omega heresy
were quite rampant in the time of Paul.

Which would mean Colossians had a big problem with the UPS
as had the Hebrews and its over Melchizedek.

How?

Well, they, the Hebrews and Colossians,
completely misplaced – and **lost** – the knowledge
of first principles of the ORACLES OF GOD;

hence the warnings of awareness from Paul = Col 2:8 = Hebrews 5-7

BUT GUESS WHO

also lost out on the

First Principles of the ORACLES of God

Yes you are correct -it was Laodicea in 1980,

with their formalisation of the [27FBs](#)
the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies = FB 2,4.

Conclusion 3

The common understanding of crucifying the Son of Gd afresh
is sinning, that is true - its backsliding but not beyond repentance

but the text doesn't talk about backsliding
but Heb 6:6 talks about FALLING AWAY

⁶ If they shall fall away, to renew them again unto repentance; seeing they crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put him to an open shame.

If they shall fall away,

The Greek reads **apostasy**
to renew them again unto repentance;

**⁶ and kai have committed apostasy parapiptō,
to restore anakainizō them to eis repentance metanoia,
since to their own heautou harm they are crucifying anastauroō the
ho Son hyios of ho God theos**

The heinous **parapiptō** of the Omega of DHs = doctrines of devils
is the warning given by the Holy Spirit

therefor blaspheming the Holy Spirit is **UNPARDONABLE**

Gets back to a knowledge of the **first principles** and the **Oracles of Gd** = John 1:1 = Gen 1:26 = Rev 21:6

Right?

Yet, academics, pastors and elders of Laodicea see no issues with a creedal doctrine of MBMOHs = FB 2,4

The problem then multiplies.

Fail the logical conclusion of the eternal Melchizedek = Christ = ALPHA & OMEGA in the context of Heb 5-7

and what occurs?

For such blindness is the power of apostasy, that apostasy robs Christ's eternal Identity which as the Scripture has been shown and its beyond proven by Christ Himself - it was IMPOSSIBLE for HWM to be the Son of Gd in eternity,

Isaiah said HWM was - Everlasting Father
the Mighty God, Counsellor, Prince of Peace

Father #2 = Isa 9:6-7

Therefore, the ROOT of Omega Apostasy = the Mystery of Iniquity is the UPS.

Heb 6:4-6 is closely related with **Rev 13:8** and slaying, yes the slaying of the Lamb, from before the foundation of the world;

and when you deny HWM was Eternal
from before the Foundation of the world –
that is **falling away** into **apostasy and crucifying Christ afresh**.

So, is Allos involved with the First Angels warning?

Allos Himself is because if you turn and deny the promptings of Allos which is to speak against blaspheme the prompting of Holy Spirit with the First Angels warning, the next result is to choose not to “come out of her” second angels, then the Third follows unimpeded.

Unforgiveable.

4:1 Now the **Spirit** speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils;

4:2 Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their **conscience seared** with a hot iron;

A **seared conscience** cannot comprehend the promptings of ALLOS.

Remains Unpardonable = right?

And hey, if you are not convinced the UPS exists in Hebrews 5-7 and doesn't involve the First angels warning then from the prophetic perspective – all there is left is the Midnight prophecy of Matt 25 =

the Loud C = Rev 18:1-5 And the

behold the SECOND ANGELS WARNING IS **REPEATED**.

This time with a LOUD CRY.

Repeated to not blaspheme ALLOS

but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the [world] to come.

So, guess what – it all returns to the FIRST ANGEL warning -right? and that is the only opportunity to restore an “at-one-ment,” and awake unto Righteousness

At Midnight = Rev 18:1-5 you know five were wise and the other 5 were foolish. A kind reminder the Greek for foolish = moron [Matt 25:1-2](#)

CONCLUSION 4

CAIAPHAS

While not stated in the letter to the Hebrews, **Caiaphas** is a classic case of those who **failed** the **first principles of the Oracles of God** and he enters the picture of the letter to the Hebrews because of the failure.

Caiaphas' 1g mindset, blew a gasket
when he heard Christ attest to His Eternal Identity by citing Dan 7:13;

Caiaphas, a 1g zealot, could not reconcile **Dan 7:13** with eternal Divinity of HWM standing before him, accusing Christ of blasphemy for breaking the **Law of One** - there is one g.

Caiaphas invoked Law of One on HWM.

Which was death. He was a type version, of the 8th king and the “law” arising as noted in Isaiah 2 = the 7N = 1g and there's the extensive blasphemy attacks noted in Rev 13.

The law of 1 is a contradiction of the **Law of Two**
= John 1:1, Gen 1:26
discussed [here](#)

The irony was this – because of Caiaphas' failure of the first principles of Christ and the Oracles of Gd – he accused Christ of blasphemy struck and that struck accord with the majority.

Christ led first to be flogged and shortly later
He, the Lamb slain defying the 1g doctrine it was

capital punishment.

Confirmed in Rev 5.

5:5 And one of the elders saith unto me, Weep not: behold, the Lion of the tribe of Juda, the Root of David, hath prevailed to open the book, and to loose the seven seals thereof.

5:6 And I beheld, and, lo, in the midst of the throne and of the four beasts, and in the midst of the elders, **stood a Lamb as it had been slain**, having seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven Spirits of God sent forth into all the earth.

And then He, Christ – The Lamb –
The I AM, our MIGHTY GOD

Was questioned before the pusillanimous **Pilate**, for even his wife warned have no part of this controversy,

And they cried out again, Crucify him.

Then Pilate said unto them, Why, what evil hath he done? And they cried out the more exceedingly, Crucify him.

And [so] Pilate, willing to content the people, ...

Pilate succumbed to 1g mob mentality and applied the merits of 'democracy', to the call of the unity of voices, they all roared –
Crucify Him

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Caiaphas>

Acts: Peter and John refuse to be silenced

Later, in [Acts 4](#), [Peter](#) and [John](#) went before **Annas** and **Caiaphas** after having healed a crippled beggar. Caiaphas and Annas questioned the apostles' **authority** to perform such a miracle. When Peter, full of the [Holy Spirit](#), answered that Jesus of Nazareth was the source

of their power, Caiaphas and the other priests realized that the two men **had no formal education** yet spoke eloquently about the man they called their **saviour**.

Caiaphas sent the apostles away, and agreed with the other priests that the word of the miracle had already been spread too much to attempt to refute, and instead the priests would need to warn the apostles not to spread the name of Jesus. However, when they gave **Peter and John** this command, the two refused, saying "**Judge for yourselves** whether it is right in God's sight to obey you rather than God. For we cannot help speaking about what we have seen and heard."^[11]

The Eternal Divinity of HWM was the biggest issue then, and

IT STILL IS today

Our Mighty God led to capital punishment He could not lie about Dan 7:13 let alone consent to the Law of one.

The same principle must apply in Rev 13 and worship of the image and the MoB -It is over the **First Principles of Christ and the Oracles of Gd** and therefore applies to the First Angel warning.

Paul in **Romans 3** was reminding everyone the issue about the importance of the Oracles of Gd –

Therefore, the Everlasting Gospel is a warning about the complete denial of **the first principles, the oracles of God** = Elohim Law of Two otherwise, you have the Omega of DHs

Romans 3

3:1 What advantage then hath the Jew? or what profit [is there] of circumcision?

3:2 Much every way: chiefly, because that unto them were committed the oracles of God.

The Hebrew's, the Colossian's and Romans from Paul's account – were not up to it, he reminds us all concerning the intrusions compromising the first principles of Christ and the Oracles of Gd.
Don't forget Paul confirmed in Phillipians 2 the Law of Two
Confirming the eternal Identity of HWM.

Yet, the philosophy of men = Neo-Platonism, and later Arius and Nicene credo with God Eternal Son FB#4 is rampant today. In today's context nothing has changed with FB 2,4. The oracles, the first principles of Gd are not about the TTD or the God the Eternal Son FB#4. That's the Omega of DHs.

That leaves you with the UPS. Otherwise, the Second Angels warning to **come out of her** is meaningless.

Note EGW concern - the Omega of DHs would be received and to wit she trembled – she also said

“Here we see that the church--the Lord's sanctuary--was the first to feel the stroke of the wrath of God. The ancient men [Ezekiel 9:6], those to whom God had given great light and who had stood as guardians of the spiritual interests of the people, had betrayed their trust.--5T 211 (1882).

Are you now beginning to see Laodicea's massive predicament and the UPS with FB 2,4 of the purple woman?

The case of Caiaphas pivots on the First Angel and the A&O.

Fact is this, **Caiaphas** had matching texts such as **Daniel 7:13** with **Psalms 110:1-4** especially in light of **Genesis 1:26**.

Caiaphas in heated rage could never accept the Holy Spirits prompting that Christ was eternal - Caiaphas could not relate one like the son of God seated at the right hand of

the Father. He was the Messiah and incarnate had fullness of the GDHD bodily. Well Caiaphas completed the unthinkable and killed Christ.

And then don't forget you have the 3T of Isaiah 2 where God said the SoS are prepared to accept the incarnate 2Thes 2 guy as equal to Deity, their awaited Messiah.

What happens?

They realise too late they blew it, and went and worshipped at the Phillies feet.

Besides, the SoS would **never** worship at Laodicea's feet, over the **NSL**. Why? The SoS are Sabbath keepers in line with the 7N.

Right?

Laodicea is pictured as lukewarm. They, had to have included, the GDHD of the purple woman's interpretation of the First principles of the God and Laodicea remain lukewarm.

Laodicea betrayed the sacred trust of the First principles of Christ and LOST the Oracles of God – pearl of great price accepting the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies as warned by EGW.

If the conclusions about the Omega of DHs is easy to dispute – then who of you can explain why, Paul related the UPS to Hebrews 6:4-6?

Well, because chapter 5 sets out exactly what was stated to Romans 3 and Col 2:8 when it comes to the Son of Gd and the A&O

So, now read again and Watch closely -

5:12 For when for the time ye ought to be teachers, ye have need that one teach you again which [be] the first principles of the oracles of God; and are become such as have need of milk, and not of strong meat.

WHAT ARE THE FIRST PRINCIPLES?

The FIRST PRINCIPLES of GOD Heb 5:12

There you have the context of Melchizedek,
and the ultimate warning of the unpardonable sin
of **Heb 6:4-6**.

How?

**6:1 Therefore leaving the principles of the doctrine of Christ, let us
go on unto perfection;**

**not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works,
and of faith toward God,**

The only measure of perfection is ER.

The First Principles = oracles of Gd = John 1:1 = ER = ERxF

The First Principles of Gd

do not establish Christ as

God the Eternal Son FB#4

The Romans were provided with a tight and precise definition Romans 1:3,4 that sonship
was declared at Incarnation

and so, the conclusion is at the Incarnation

Melchizedek was made like unto the Son of Gd = Heb 7

The TTD and God the Eternal Son FB#4 teachings are
trickery of words that DISOWN HWM's own Declaration of
being A&O = Rev 21:6; Rev 22:13,16.

Saving to the uttermost

7:24 But this [man], because he continueth ever, hath an **unchangeable priesthood**.

7:25 Wherefore he is able also to save them to the **uttermost** that come unto God by him, seeing he ever liveth to make intercession for them.

What is clear it's impossible to see Laodicea coming to the uttermost which would be the confession of this great sin of FB 2,4. Didn't HWM in Rev 3 say he will spew Laodicea ?

Laodicea refused the call of corporate repentance anyway by Weiland and Short and later went ahead with the 27FBs and its already far too late for Laodicea - on account of Luke 21:24.

It's now up to the **individual**.

Why?

Individual repentance and atonement in the type was in the Court and each now must size up the facts about the UPS. The unpardonable sin of rejecting the eternal verity of Melchizedek by imposition of the Omega **upon** the Alpha scenario, as discussed with interpretation of

Aphomoioó = resemblance likeness. [Here](#).

The UPS = Matt 12:31-32

is equally based on Heb 6:4-6 and Heb 5:12

The Individual in the court can repent of the Omega of DHs against HWM but the sin of blasphemy against Allos is unpardonable. So, at what point does Christ to open shame and blaspheming the HS become unpardonable.

You have the evidence why Christ is A&O and ER, of how ER is so clear and distinct that Allos impresses to be enlightened to understand the First angels warning and depart from the apostasy of fallen churches including Laodicea

How can Col 1:27 = CIYTHOG

ever be true, if you blaspheme the HS?

Ignore Heb 6:4-6 – then one prefers the **impossibility** for those once enlightened – and draw the conclusion –

there is no place for ALLOS, which is CIYTHOG Col 1:27 and ALLOS departs and worse, no seal of Gd in the sealing time of the 144.

This seems to be most likely to happen at the LC based on 5 wise and foolish.

**Caiaphas was a total loser based on Law of 1
Pilate was warned by and ignores the wife and
hoping to wash his hands of responsibility
rejects promptings of Allos.**

CONCLUSION

So, there is a portion of time where one can be enlightened and eventually blasphemy against ALLOS would occur

6.4 For [it is] impossible for those who were once enlightened, [about ER] and have tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers of the Holy Ghost,

6:5 And have tasted the good word of God, [John 1:1] and the powers of the world to come, [Alpha & Omega]

6:6 If they shall fall away, to renew them again unto repentance; [retain FB 2,4]

seeing they crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put [him] to an open shame.

Peter fell into the UPS trap with refusing HWM to go to J city to be killed and HWM said to Peter get behind me Satan which is a severe rebuke, Peter would have repented.

Breaking it down

The Unpardonable sin of Heb 6:4-6 is imposing continually the Omega on the Alpha. Putting Christ to open shame.

From the perspective of the Book of Hebrews - The Unpardonable sin develops with the rejection of the 5 prerequisites of Melchizedek His Alpha Identity and the Order of Melchizedek the Omega
and according to the First Angel that development of denial eventuates in the imposition of the MoB.

Key points

Concerning the A&O

Notice that Rev 19:13 reverts back to John 1:1
but **not** to John 1:14.

Rev 22:1 doesn't revert back to John 3:16,
but to Rev 5:5, which is prior to Romans 1:3.

That at the very end – certainly during the second woe,
the issue of the UPS relates to the Oracles given.
Specifically, The A&O.

What does that mean?

The Philadelphians must soon take the stage.
They have the Key of David.

So, what is the Key of David?

It's not what Laodicea currently believes.

--)-
Swift Messenger
--)-

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com

Man in Linen videos: <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.