


*"Watchman,
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,
the hour and the end!"* Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



Eternal Sin

Epilogue

Dichotomy of OCD

John Bunyan

Job & Eternal RIGHTEOUSNESS

In **Matthew 12:31,32** the blasphemy of the Spirit by the Pharisees and Sadducees is **like saying the First Angel had a demon**; and when **Caiaphas** heard Christs reference to Daniel 7:13 Identifying The Eternal Two = John 1:1 repeats the same blasphemy UPS. How?

Caiaphas responded **"We have heard the blasphemy"**.

That's the **Eternal Sin** and - It boils down to One, of the Two.

But in reality it refers to **Both Divines**; since Both are the **First Angel**.

⁶ And I saw another angel fly in the midst of heaven, having the everlasting gospel to preach unto them that dwell on the earth, and to every nation, and kindred, and tongue, and people,

⁷ Saying with a loud voice, **Fear God**, and give glory to him; for the hour of his judgment is come: **and**

worship him that made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and the fountains of waters.

Matthew 12's sin of blasphemy secures itself to the sin against the First Angel.
The UPS.

It must be the Eternal **sin** against **Eternal Righteousness** –
Because Jesus said you could say anything about Him, **but not** against the Spirit.

Well, the proof for this was the **Eternal R** of the slain Lamb in the heavens.

So, it's akin to a sin against Eternal R and that is brought up in **Rev13:8**, And focuses on the UPS in context with the MoB, and the worship of the image is all about the Omega of DHs. Which is why you have the First, Second and Third Angels warning.

Right?

2 Corinthians 3: 16-17 states that **the Lord is the Spirit**.

What we are seeing is that this matter is tied to the **first principles of Christ and Oracles of God** = Heb 5

so, in a sense, Philo was doing the same "blasphemy" through Neo Platonist doctrine. Which was what?

The lowering of the First Angel = Eternal sonship heresy.
Same as Laodicea with FB #2 & 4. Right?

Then we have the Creedal statements of **begotten** prior to the ages.
= **God Eternal Son doctrine** of Laodicea. FB 4.

According to **Isaiah 2**, we have the false interpretation of the Shema = the "law" and the final straw is the use of demons in promoting the Law of 1 to back the false understanding of the **Shema** = **Deut 6:4,8**

and the false understanding is to be placed on the right hand and forehead by way of 7N implementation in the **Northern sector** of the human mind.

THE FOREHEAD.

<https://www.gotquestions.org/right-hand-God.html>

This link explains the right hand.

It's an inversion of the truth which is seated at the Northern sector of the brain = Cerebral Cortex and made to look like
"eternal righteousness" = right hand.

Unpardonable sin (UPS) or **Eternal Sin** is definitely linked to the attack on the First Angel.
And tied up with UC experiences with 7N's alongside the Law of one.

Therefore, the Law of 1 found in Isaiah 2 - is the hardened opposition to the truth of the **Law of 2** = John 1:1 and therefore Revelation 13:15-18 is the ultimate manifested conclusion.

The UPS, the Eternal Sin is blaspheming Allos and the reward from God for this is Revelation 14:9-11. Let that sink in as to the consequences.

In the first century, 'sonship in the flesh' (Rom 1:3,4) was denied by the Gnostics and John described this problem in **1 John 1-2**

<https://leadingtolife.org/why-john-wrote-1-john/>

So, why would that not relate to the **Omega of DHs** and the events of Revelation 13, chiefly when LSFbTFOTW = A&O is noted in verse 8?
Right?

Then, at the end of the age –is to be encountered but flourishing now - the error of the one **super consciousness** and repeated in the form of an emanation from the one super consciousness, devolves into Christ Consciousness and UC.

We only have to Just look to the First century and the same errors will be seen in the last generations of time.

Its placed squarely with the First Angels WARNING – so, what are you really dealing with when it comes to the MoB and the worship of the IMAGE and the A&O of DHs?

It's just as the mystics have said, the **Avatars** are coming back. This is showcased in Jonathan Cahn's book, the return of [the Avatars](#).
this guy is aware of just too many mysteries.

The Uttermost

Hebrews 7:25

7:25 Wherefore he is able also to **save** them to the **uttermost** that come unto God by him, seeing he ever liveth to make intercession for them.

<https://biblehub.com/commentaries/hebrews/7-25.htm>

Heb 7:25 involves the Anti-typical Day of Atonement.

These mystics while presenting the relationship between the mortal Levitical priesthood and immortal aspect of the Great High Priest

fail to realize

that its

all-Sanctuary theology

in the **anti-typical setting** = Dan 8:14

which they assume is not present in reference to the Order of Melchizedek and its Great High Priest.

But on account of the **Israelite Feast days** which are a **shadow** of things to come, then Daniel 8:14 and Revelation 14:6,7 bring Melchizedek and the Order of Melchizedek into the picture on account of the First Angel.

The true Alpha and Omega brings into the picture the **Eternal nature of Melchizedek** in reference to the **First Angel**; and the continuity of his eternal ministration as Jesus Christ as the **Omega**;

Romans 1:4 and Hebrews 6:19-20 confirm why HWM is Great High Priest of the Order of Melchizedek. Omega.

The latter, removes the Levitical ministration and in its place there is the representation of an **Eternal priesthood** which fulfills the **final 3 shadows** of the literal earthly feast days;

but in their anti-typical format as seen in **Daniel 8:14, Ezekiel 9 in relation to the 3 Angels of Revelation 14:6,7,8,9.**

What is then accomplished is Hebrews 9's fulfillment of the typical shadows of **Leviticus 16**, in the anti-typical setting of the "**Heavens needing better sacrifices**" for its cleansing.

Conclusion

Melchizedek does officiate in the Anti-typical setting of the **last 3 Fall Feasts**.

Why would it be required for HWM as Melchizedek, to officiate and minister **the last 3 Fall Feast's** as in Omega scenario, after the Order of Melchizedek?

ANSWER

1. Cleanse the Heavens through Eternal R slain Lamb = Blood of the Bull
2. Wanting to cleanse a corporate that refuses to heed the message of his Eternal Righteousness and therefore ultimately repeats the sin of ancient Israel which is presented in Ezekiel 9 as the corporate not being present in the form of the Tabernacle of the Congregation.
3. Cleansing the men of wonder at the Altar in the Court that by way of those who have taken hold of his Eternal R they are therefore cleansed by the Merits of the Order of Melchizedek and therefore as the Phillies possess the "Key of David" which is the Key to the understanding of Eternal R and are cleansed and sealed = Revelation 14:1-5; therefore, have access as well as entrance into the city of God, made not by human hands. = **Revelation 3:12**

3:12 Him that overcometh will I make a pillar in the temple of my God, and he shall go no more out: and I will write upon him the name of my God, (Father #1) and the name of the city of my God, [which is] new Jerusalem, which cometh down out of heaven from my God: and [I will write upon him] my new name. (Father #2)

Why as Melchizedek 'Alpha' minister in **first 4 feasts**?

One can only see the ministration as the slain Lamb Decision; as well as sending the Bread from heaven metaphorically speaking to the Israelites in the wilderness.

The **Bread** and **wine** offered to **Abraham** as metaphors, of Unleavened Bread and Wine.

The role of the Spirit leading the people in the wilderness. These were all types and shadows. The essential matter in reference to Eternal ministration is solely defined by way of the slain Lamb **prior** to David.

The Lamb is the “Root” of the First 4 Feasts; as well as the Last 3 Feasts, and it all boils down to ER.

“Lamb” metaphor is **prior** to Incarnation = decision, = permanent eternal sacrifice of ER = Unleavened Bread = no sin or leaven or yeast.

His shed blood wine= true teaching = his death to his previous existence. Bread that descended and ascended from heaven on various occasions as spokesman for Eternal # 1.

Pentecost the outpouring of the Holy Spirit or manifestation of Ruach Hakodesh in the Old Testament.

What can also be concluded is both ministrations Alpha & Omega ministrations

1. saving to uttermost and
2. anchor to the soul.

There's then **Revelation 22:11** which presents strong and meaningful insight of what represents the close of human probation and ties to the First Angel because the First Angel implies Genesis 1:26, and the **Law of Two** and **not 1g** or **the Law of One**.

The **Law of Two** is not the UPS, or the blasphemy against Allos and as you know the seal of Gd requires the 2 Father's names = Rev 7, Rev14:1-5 and this is the conclusion with the ministration of the individual in the Court on the Day of Atonement. The Law of 1 is the UPS and the Omega of DH's.

--0--

Let's start with a question. Is cursing God in the Old Testament require death? The answer is yes.

Those caught in the act in the Old Testament did it require death "Yes"

In the New Testament those Ordinances were against us and are forgiven in the New Testament. That is the point.

Did Jesus use a new Ordinance when he was speaking about the UPS or was he quoting from the rules and regulations from the past Ordinances?

Is the UPS – in fact, a **new element** introduced by Jesus; or was he just taking the Ordinance to a deeper level since he himself was born of a woman and under the Law, so he preached about the Law up to the time that he died on the cross and when he did that he nailed the Ordinances to the cross.

In other words, Jesus was quoting the law on a deeper level. Is there a difference between cursing God and Cursing the Holy Spirit? Jesus wasn't yet born.

<https://www.bibleanalysis.org/manasseh-in-the-bible-a-story-of-sin-judgment-and-redemption/>

King Manasseh: A dichotomy in contrast to Saul?

Manasseh practiced witchcraft and spiritualism more than Saul. It appears that HWM knew his heart and he would repent whereas Saul never wanted to repent.

Was Manasseh thumbing his nose at God back then?

Was there a difference between what Manasseh did in contrast to saying bad things about the Holy Spirit? No there wasn't.

Manasseh was forgiven and he was a blasphemer of the Spirit since all evidence points to the fact that Saul blasphemed the Holy Spirit.

So, what about now in the era of the GHP of the Order of Melchizedek?

So, are all those people who had bad thoughts about the Holy Spirit or said things in jest or are ignorant of saying bad words against Allos all going to be lost? These people feel condemned and have repented. Whoever they may be, they suffer on a daily basis from fear that God has abandoned them.

This is hosted by doubt of their perplexity about RxF and forgiveness - and their consternations about living PERFECTLY to a perfection that satisfies God.

But, the error of RxF with Self Righteousness exists, but it never fully embraced what Paul said **about not knowing the Oracles of Gd** and **"leaving the first principles of Christ, let us go onto perfection ... and to go to perfection** was all about ER.

"Let us therefore go on unto perfection" ?

and the time frame of the First Angel is the Court on the antitypical DoA and the FA. = Cleansed lips and then sealed.

Yes! That is precise.

but here is the thing -the first principles of Christ =John 1:1 = the Law of Two and therefore **ER** exposes the folly of Self Righteousness that strangely develops around the doctrine of RxF.

And RxF has been pulped to shreds in the theological gristle mill to ever make sense. But when ER which is the root of RxF is noted – nothing surpasses the pristine theology of ERxF.

ER exposes the Omega of DHs. What unlocks the Omega of DHs is the Key of David. Which is given to the Phillies.

--O--

MATURITY

So, the mature way of seeing this discussion on UPS is let's say a person said very bad things about the Holy Spirit **prior to** the close of probation if one thinks that he is not forgiven even though this person went to God for forgiveness and repentance that he would not be received by Jesus?

But Jesus said that he who comes to me **I will in no wise cast out.**

He or she who thinks this way, the former not the latter is thinking like a **child**, since the two concepts are not the same;
but nevertheless, both are insults

.

THE DIVIDING LINE

Insults or Theological insults, not necessarily 'seen' as insults whence God Eternal Son doctrine downgrades the Spirit's Eternal Decision of lowering himself?

Not seen as an insult, **yet it is!**

The first (insult) doesn't address this issue, the second, the theological insult does.

Therefore, The second is an attack on the First Angel.

Yes because the blasphemy is hurled at the Spirit !
Much has been written and preached about this matter.

Someone mentioned something about the scenario, that at a moment like that , its HWM's decision to grant that person forgiveness because no one can come to HWM, unless called.

Others say, if you feel the need to go to HWM, then you didn't blaspheme the Spirit.

So, the more advanced mature understanding relates to what is described below.

But it's that **Dichotomy** of what Jesus said in **Matt 12:31-32** with emphasis on the words " say about "the Spirit" as the main objective of the insult that worries some,

and so, they have problems reconciling that statement with Jesus' statement that if any come to him, he will in no wise cast out. A dichotomy.

This latter declaration has to be the truth **prior** to the close of probation in relation to the church, Laodicea = the foolish virgins who knocked on the door and it was too late.

They went to the vendors for their version of the First Angel = sparks of their own kindling.

But if one sees the statement as revealed in relation to the First Angel and the insult done to Eternal R by the Pharisees, = Matt 26, then this is

a **mature** understanding of ER as to the matter in relation to the **ORACLES OF GOD**.

See discussion on UPS and **Heb 6:4-6** [here](#).

What is upsetting about the statement is the belief of many, who say that Jesus said - you can talk about me but not about the Spirit.

There must be an explanation.

One can only see the insult to the "Alpha" by claiming it had a demon, since demons were cast out through Christ the Alpha = Lord of Hosts within Jesus, the Omega.

For He had fulness Godhead bodily yet what is insulted is the one who came down and overshadowed Mary = Allos.

Ultimately speaking Matthew 12:31-32 relates to the MOTB.

That's the mature understanding, than being on the milk when it comes to the first principles of Christ and the Oracles of Gd and not grasping the deadliness of the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies IN YOUR MIDST.

Deadliness to the extreme and its UNPARDONABLE.

Same thing is involved. Theological Insults are hurled at heaven but ultimately, what closes probation, is the 1g on the forehead and the right hand.

All sins are forgiven and the childish mindset.

The childish mind = (milk Heb 5:13), would go as far as saying that HWM forgave King Manasseh even though he did many criminal acts he never said anything bad about the Spirit.

One conclusion is that HWM would forgive anyone up to the close of probation. **That would include insults hurled at the Spirit and if this weren't so then it cannot be said that HWM pardons all sin. Since the person went to him prior to the close of probation.**

Whereas the main issue of Blasphemy at the end, is not the above but in relation to the First Angel and that is Loud and clear.

There is Dichotomy that is real, and that many fear.

People bring up the issue and say yes you can say anything about Jesus but not Allos.

The final matter is that Jesus statement finds itself in the Law;
and one of those Ordinances that were nailed to the cross was,
if you blasphemed God.

- https://biblehub.com/topical/t/the_danger_of_blasphemy_and_disrespecting_god.htm
- <https://www.ucg.org/learn/bible-questions-and-answers/colossians-214-paul-speaks-ordinances-nailed-cross-what-does-mean>

In Matt 12 - Jesus said it was an Eternal Sin.

The childish mindset asserts insults at Allos, do not cover the theological implications of an [Eternal sin](#) as does the
Blasphemy of the
First Angel.

In respect to the **Eternal Sin**

the incontrovertible fact is John 1:1 identifies the **Law of Two Divines** = Elohim, and get this ...
so does the First Angel.

Right?

Ok, so now note [here](#) the eternal sin interpretation about no "Partners" by the Friday guys even though they acclaim [Issa Ibn Maryam](#) as a prophet and messenger. Of course, its [Saturn worship](#), the Law of one.

Caiaphas insisted Gd had no partners. Otherwise, how did he blaspheme Heaven ?

Galatians 4:4

Jesus was **born of a woman, under the Law** and it appears that this statement of Blasphemy of Allos is akin to the Ordinance of Blasphemy against HWM, since there was no division between Allos and HWM as Ruach Hakodesh.

That Ordinance had to be nailed to the cross in reference to the matter of insults, in reference to the Dichotomy Matt 12:31-32.

Conclusion 1

What cannot be nailed to the cross are the implications against the First and Third Angel and that is **an eternal sin**.

From Gods perspective - the MOTB is an **eternal sin** which impacts the First and Third Angel the nature of HWM himself in the pre-incarnate realm.

It's the precise issue of Revelation 13:8

Conclusion 2

What can be forgiven is an insult in words, even if done, who knows how many times; since the Ordinance of death was postponed by the Cross: but, if one didn't repent, then it's still applicable = results in the fulfillment of the Ordinance.

Usually, if you hate HWM this much, chances are that you will not repent, but all is possible with HWM.

If you sinned by using curse words at the Holy Spirit and feel like repenting for what you did would be part 1 in relation to the Ordinance and the real deal of blasphemy would be in reference to the First Angel.

**An insult to HWM in the Old Testament required death.
Wasn't the Ruach Hakodesh holistically joined to HWM?**

The sin against Ruach Hakodesh in the OT required death.

Ruach Hakodesh in OT = HWM = His Melchizedek, the Alpha scenario.

But not Offspring of David.

Bethlehem, changed the status of the **Alpha**

to the new Status - **I Am Alpha & Omega.**

Who today goes around cursing the Spirit in the open?

It is a very rare if ever heard in the public domain. If at all.

But, if you are a padre, elder or laity of all the 1g churches and includes Laodicea who all extol the **Law of One** as their fundamental belief under the BEM agreement – can you then today, explain why this detail is not cursing the First Angel that requires the identification of Christ = HWM to be worshiped in truth?

Is this not then an outright blasphemy of Allos Parakletos
and = to Matt 12:31-32?

Right?

Col 1:27 – “CIYTHOG” comes into the picture.

Based on the veritable truth of Col 1:27 CIYTHOG
- **ask yourself**

Is the Law of One –(there is 1g) insistence about the GDHD
= Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies
mean for that belief, one is cursing themselves?

and therefore authenticates = the
warning of Heb 6:4-6?

Right?

Which would mean RxF is of the 1g variety, even though everyone in Laodicea say we love Jesus and worship Jesus

– yet your FB's 2,4 say there is 1g –

the 1g of **the purple woman** and then relegates Christ to **God the Eternal Son** FB #4 = FB2,4 – that He was NEVER Eternal
He Never was also the Alpha?

Right?

and therefore, you, yeh you,

are not realizing you have been theologically hoodwinked by the doctrine of the **law of one** with all the Christ Consciousness
and emphasis on the lerv of Jesus,

what happens?

one continually remains ignorantly defiant and
denying the First Angel in verity

– which is by **denial** of why HWM who said
He was I Am Alpha & Omega?

It also means one has no clue about the first principles of Christ let alone an awareness of the Oracles of Gd = Heb 5:12?

This, is a very serious problem and when not corrected by the time of the Loud Cry – you would be then in damage control mode beyond eternal repair. Consulting the vendors of oil, and no oil in the lamp?

And the DOOR WAS SHUT ?

Right?

Would this prove -why Christ must say – **"I never knew you"**?

Matt 7:22-23

Right?

FIRST ANGEL BLAPSHEMY

Laodicea's blaspheming of the First Angel's warning is rooted in the existence of FB 2,4; which means those lips are certainly not cleansed. Full of guile?

But now comes the dichotomy predicament –

Wasn't the Ruach Hakodesh holistically joined to HWM?

**Well, fact is that the ER of HWM
is the Chief Corner Stone**

**a stumbling block, a rock of offence
to those who stumble over
the theology of**

Law of 1 = Omega of DHs = Matt 12:31-32

**For they be disobedient about
the Law of Two**

In the NT era, how does the holistic of the HWM from OT not become the UPS? and all sin against HWM is forgiven when the holistic view treats Both HWM and ALLOS as equals?

Well, in the setting of the last generation; the UPS is denial of First Angel and Allos in the process.

Very deceptive! Right?

Yes the real deal of blasphemy of Matt 12 and Heb 6, 10 exists and has to be in reference to the **First, Second** and **Third** angels warning.

The **law of one** is blasphemy = cursing of the First Angel and Third Angel and as you know the 1g law of one = TTD, the greater denial being GTES FB 4 and surely you must now recall **Caiaphas** proved this point.

Repenting of the law of one = 1g, and FB 2,4 which is against the First Angel will be the ultimate issue in the Loud Cry of the Second Angel of Revelation 18. The only other choice is the MOTB

ER is not the eternal sin,
but it proves transgression of ER proves the eternal sin exists,

but then, you have to be fully blind to not see why it features as the warning of First Angel, let alone why **ER** exists of utmost importance
in **Rev 13:8**.

Fact is - The light of ER brings essential eternal insights against
the war of the dragon.

**Then, Individual Laodicean's can be forgiven,
prior to the close of Probation, but
not after the Close of Probation.**

After the close of probation, one Cannot be forgiven,
in this age or the age to come. Note the connection
to the HS, the Spirit of Truth

12:32 And whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him: **but** whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it **shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the [world] to come.**

Of course!
Why?

It's an attack on the eternal nature of HWM
His ER His Alpha Eternal status

and that attack = the MOTB, not the Cross.
The cross was the Omega scenario.

Get it?

Relegating the Alpha to a substandard status, =
Christ had an eternal sonship
is **the eternal sin** = FB 2,4.

Any individual lack of attention about the Omega of DHs Egw said one would be in peril. Why should the **Law of Two** be a stumbling block anyway?

**The eternal sin, the UPS,
is the sin of Satan.**

Conclusion 4

If it's an eternal sin, Its marked in scripture as an unforgivable man-made construct of this age and would not be forgiven in the age to come since Satan himself downgraded the Alpha in heaven.

So, if you make it to heaven, a second uprising will not be tolerated since it's an eternal sin.

Was, is, will be.

John Bunyan

- OCD –
- Scrupulosity.

The Epilogue now briefly addresses the relatively common problem of [Obsessive compulsive disorder](#) - OCD

John Bunyan allegorised the Christian walk in Pilgrims Progress and he showcased a classic example of the OCD affliction; describing being hounded by a demon speaking inside the brain

Thinking blasphemies and spewing blasphemy.

But can OCD only be blamed?

Neuro circuitry and behavioural patterns can be exercised to be strengthened by repetitive thought. Obsessive and compulsive Repetition **is real**.

So, who controls the game?

Self, Self-Righteousness or Satan, or both?

<https://www.ocduk.org/ocd/history-of-ocd/john-bunyan/>

HWM forgives **scrupulosity**.

He doesn't forgive the UPS .

John B is a medieval example of OCD, and his memoirs of Pilgrim's Progress are about Perfectionism. Nothing changes over time. Of course!

Johann Sebastian BACH

Consider Bach's Cantatas - *Ich hatte viel Bekümmernis* [Here](#)

So, did Bach's "*I had a lot of sorrow*" reveal a mind tormented with the perfection problem or describe the phenomena of his day? His son JCB had difficulties. [Here](#)

So, what about those who experience great sorrow of a divorce and loss of the kids and also have an obsessive disorder and cannot function to the glory of HWM?

Same problem. Right?

Eternal R is the ONLY transcendent power to dismantle the construct of compulsive obsessives and JOB recognised this and why?

The ER of HWM transcends all human frailties.

Today, our age, ER of Revelation 13:8 is the anchor of Divine RIGHTEOUSNESS, of HWM which is Divinely predicated to dispel the First and 2nd Beasts attack of blasphemies upon the Divine Integrity of HWM and yes it's the war of the Red Dragon.

It's why the LSFOTW "pre-eminence" HiS ALPHA & OMEGA Identity prevails over the beasts of Rev 13;

1:17 And he is before all things, and by him all things consist.

1:18 And he is the head of the body, the church: who is the beginning, the firstborn from the dead; **that in all [things] he** might have the **preeminence.**

but the **Perfection** of ER in the context of Revelation 13, in reality confirms the **Seal of Gd = 2 Father's** names,
engraved in forehead
= Revelation 14:1-5; 3:12.

and is the Man in Linen's ministry, our Great High Priest in the sealing time require **cleansing** of guile from one's lips, yes the **cleansing** from all guile, to atone exposing the UPS and the AODHs?

Paul was fully aware of **Eternal R**, counselling Timothy, the Hebrews, Romans etc.

Paul's guidance remains fitting for the many legalists - and keep in mind legalism is a form of OCD.

Laodicea got lost with concepts such as *sinless perfectionism* and perfection of those in great need to please Gd and the outgrowth of the '**holy flesh**' implications.

Surely, You would recall those who lament

"I'm not good enough to be a Christian"

give up walk away.

RxF was insufficient in comparison to ERxF and what JOB encountered.

But the problem of **PERFECTION** led to the disagreements about the "Law in Galatians"; led then to the failure of 1888 with W& Jones and their **Emanated born in eternity Son of Gd doctrine**, which they championed and was not rebuked even by Egw.

And as time went on, what you have today is the perfectly blasphemy of the UPS distilled seamlessly in Laodicea with **FB 2,4** = Omega DHs.

One main factor was over the doctrine of RxF, (whether you believe it or not)- the controversy of James, faith without works has **not** gone away.

RxF has become a Self-Righteousness domain of the demonic sophistry of Satan.

So, nothing is new which should give you a big clue why RxF was always easily attacked by Satan.

The confusion over RxF, left things wide open with the Omega of DHs = the UPS to march on in – unabated and resulted in Laodicea having no chance of figuring it out the Omega of DHs,

because the FB's 2,4 have blocked any and all light of HWM's Eternal Righteousness –

because He is left out of the picture, as also an **Eternal ALPHA** with the First Angel
because of the Law of 1 and Laodicea is left hugging the NSL.

So then ask yourself - does it then mean 'teachers of ER' must emerge at the time of the Loud Cry to Laodicea's great need- check out Matt 25 which will turn out to the ten virgins to their utter surprise the Loud Cry Rev 18 is about the **First Angel** and the first principles of Christ and the ORACLES OF GOD ? The only other choice is blasphemy of HS.

Laodicea today are not listening about the Omega of DHs and its deadliness, for they be very pleased with a growing church.

Some, few, respond.

Legalism causes OCD.

Why is RxF not sufficiently strong to
Remove the scourge of Self R?

ANSWER

RxF cannot defeat self-Righteousness.

--0--

Theological dichotomy of UPS and forgiveness

Jesus said of the UPS that it was **unforgivable**.

Now with regard to Scrupulosity is the individual perceives and lives in an OCD Loop and believed as being UPS and is a construct of self-Righteousness trying to resolve an intrusive thought
and guess what it applies not only to UPS

but whatever the obsession is.

The mind works in a similar way depending whatever obsession it latches on to. Sometimes walking over something and then not being sure and stepping back and walking over it again. And again. Ad nauseum.

Scary thoughts:

He or she doesn't like the thought and wants to get it out of their heads.

The Psychiatrist says – *"face the thought head on and this resolves the matter"* but in religious Scrupulosity this compounds the problem because it feels like the person is openly doing it deliberately, and that is not the case.

But the mind becomes suggestible and will repeat and feels a sense of being in a very deep hole all of coming out of the person's psyche and therefore, incurs more guilt and disassociation.

Therefore, a real and perceived theological dichotomy of UPS and forgiveness exists from both angles of HWM and ALLOS and therefore is a construct of Satan.

While this is true, what is not true is the mental construct of what one perceives to be the UPS. But yes, the final generation will have **overcome** this problem, since of the 144,000, they are cleansed and have no guile.

The UPS of Matt 12:31-32 has its own time and place and a specific purpose with Rev 13 and ER.

Yes that is true.

JOB

What Job realised about **PERFECTION** relates to the preamble of Heb 6:1. That only after recognising the First Principles of Christ and the Oracles of Gd = Heb 5 which is the A&O in verity for

Heb 6 says

not laying again the foundation of repentance from dead works, and of faith toward God,

What repentance from **dead works**.

Yes! Dead works such as OCD means this is a coping mechanism.

Since the person doesn't want these thoughts he tells HWM to forgive him on a constant basis that he didn't mean to hurt God. Whereas Satan will come and say shoot yourself because you are a failure as a Christian a mother or father and failure of life. Urinate on that!

Back to Job:

Yes! on account of the fact that when questions were presented by HWM he couldn't answer the challenges presented by the Alpha presentations.

So, the "perfection" of Heb 6 lays in the fact that

Job for once in his life came to see the PERFECTION of HWM and repented in sack cloth and ashes.

In the case of Job, his friends believed that he had committed the UPS

but Job actually became **self-righteous** and this is the heart of the problem of the Loop of OCD obsessive behaviour and yet there is no evidence that Job developed OCD.

Nevertheless, it's a feeble attempt via RxF + works to "repair" the problem and the only way of understanding ER is 2 Timothy 1:9.

1:9 Who hath saved us, and called [us] with an holy calling, not according to our works, but according to his own purpose and grace, **which was given us in Christ Jesus before the world began,**

and therefore, the **childlike error** of **self-justification** = Heb 5:12-13 of trying to resolve the problem by a mental coping mechanism = OCD
which tries to resolve the issue and is what is confused with UPS.

A repetition in the mind as in self-justification via RxF and then the self-resolution of the sin problem is OCD.

Job arrived at this point, but didn't blaspheme HWM.

Yet his wife arrived at that conclusion thinking Job was toast.

Job stated the following,

"Cursed be the day I was born".

Intense suffering on his part,

His wife said **curse God and die.**

So, she did believe that he committed UPS.

But he didn't.

His friends made an erroneous accusation and HWM told Job to intercede on their behalf for they told not the truth about Job's problem.

Job's wife is never mentioned again.

So, a person that has this OCD problem has to be very careful of whom they talk with. If they speak to a legalistic religiously individual they might say that the person committed the unpardonable sin;

but, if they find a religious person who is well versed in the Psychology of the mind and how Christ would see it in relation to the healing of Job, that's when the A&O and ER comes to the fore.

Right?

The Seal of Gd is potentially compromised with Satan's dichotomy of dual forgiveness. God can remove all doubt about UPS present with its fullest anxiety. When? Well think Trumpets and the opening of the first of the seven seals of Gd.

Why?

[for], **men's hearts will fail for fear because of the things coming upon the earth.**

Gd will have the final say about the UPS "loops" with the events of the Loud Cry and besides one cannot be a teacher of ER if one entertains there is no UPS against Allos, otherwise the Command to not blaspheme Allos, holds no place with First Angel. Let alone the third. Otherwise, eternal hell is not justifiable, if the UPS against Allos is forgivable.

And that is rather obvious

But **scrupulosity** is not the same as the UPS of Laodicea; yet it is a form of self-righteousness.

Scrupulosity can be forgiven as a life pattern which developed over a period of time due to childhood or a life trauma, it's the perceived construct of UPS.

The LC addresses that issue to come out of the forms of religion and freedom from OCD.

One of the things that can be noticed about one's RC friends is at a funeral is the repetitive nature of the worship service and it is not a surprise why RCC and Japhet produce these mindsets due to mitsvah concepts.

<https://biblicalcounseling.com/resource-library/articles/gods-faithfulness-experienced-in-obsessive-compulsive-disorder/>

While the above is quite helpful it doesn't address the fact of Job and why Eternal Righteousness staggered Job to the point of sackcloth and ashes in response to distinguishing the Eternal Glory of HWM

1John

2

He that denieth the Son the same denieth the Father

2:21 I have not written unto you because ye know not the truth, but because ye know it, and that no lie is of the truth.

2:22 Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son.

2:23 Whosoever denieth the Son, the same hath not the Father: [(but) he that acknowledgeth the Son hath the Father also].

2:24 Let that therefore abide in you, which ye have heard from the beginning. If that which ye have heard from the beginning shall remain in you, ye also shall continue in the Son, and in the Father. .

John states - if you don't have the Son, you don't have the Father. [1 John 2:23](#)

This was an early church problem in relation to the [Docetic doctrine](#) of the Gnostics.

The **First Angel** back then, was what Paul declared in [Colossians 2](#) about the **fulness of God residing bodily in Jesus Christ.**

It was an **attack upon** the **Omega** = sonship incarnation.

Same thing was stated when **Stephen** had the vision of **Jesus at the right hand of the Father**. [ACTS 7:51-58](#)

<https://leadingtolife.org/why-john-wrote-1-john/>

Above is one of the better explanations around.

While munching this in respect to the UPS, the matter of the AC in the First Century was a fully existent threatening problem; John and Paul warned accordingly.

This First Angels warning existed in Pauls day and to ensure the concepts Gnosticism and Docetism were destroyed, Paul addressed it severally; = a type experience.

Paul also warned of the 2Thes2 guy = AC emerging with the same Law of 1 doctrine prior to Probation closing = antitype.

Why is this the case?

Well, the blasphemies in Rev 13 are off the charts = an eternal sin = UPS. The deception of the UPS will be rampant, very deadly.

Its Satan's last rant before Har Moed =Har Magedon.

So, The entire first principles of Christ = Heb 5
= the A&O (John 1:1 + Rom 1:3,4)
is essential with ER

and without that first principle of Christ and
the Oracles of Gd –

the Omega of DHs is really unknowable to the depths of its destruction; =the depths of Satan and therefore, the Omega of DHs cannot be seen for what it is = UPS = which is why **Heb 6:4-6**

is in fact an referring to the eternal sin

Something to be noted the

"**Uction** of the Holy One" = Allos

1John 2

20 But ye have an **unction** from the Holy One, and ye know all things.

2:21 I have not written unto you because ye know not the truth, but because ye know it, and that no lie is of the truth.

Therefore, **no lie is of the truth** and any **distortion** about HWM and why Christ is ALPHA & OMEGA, is a lie,

So Allos who gives UNCTION with everything about the A&O is truth, which means no lie (about the A&O and ER) is of the truth

The **Spirit** is given to guide you into ALL TRUTH

16:13 Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will **guide you into all truth**: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, [that] shall he speak: and he will show you things to come.

16:14 He shall glorify me: for he shall receive of mine, and shall show [it] unto you.

The Spirit doesn't guide you into an **Eternal Sin** and spiritual deception about the A&O.

So, any lie about the A&O is blasphemy unto Allos = the UPS.

Uction is translated "anointing -*chrisma*, the reception of spiritual privileges

1John 2:27

But the anointing (chrisma) which ye have received of him abideth in you, and ye need not that any man teach you: but as the same anointing teacheth you of all things, and is truth, and is no lie, and even as it hath taught you, ye shall abide in him.

Which would mean they who have the unction - chrisma are anointed to be the teachers of ER?

The teachers of **ER** can easily identify the heresy when the many cannot and they are apt to be capable so identify the AC's plural that are noted in Matt 24.

But HWM said there is the **'IF POSSIBLE DECEIVE THE VERY ELECT'**

CONCLUSION

Resolving the Omega of DHs is unto the utmost glory of HWM.

With the Blasphemy of Allos - The UPS you are meddling and messing with **principalities and powers of the OCCULT.**

The power of the Law of one is of a supernatural nature, and that makes it very difficult to discern.

Why?

It ignores the **Law of Two.**

With the objective of the Law of 1 is to commit the UPS.

For those pondering how to broach the subject of the UPS with the padres and elders of Laodicea – you may wish to munch on the following which is a good way to put the UPS question to Laodiceans

**Is Jesus Christ Wonderful, Counsellor,
Everlasting Father and Prince of Peace
or is he the **Eternal Son?****

Then the clincher,

**How can Jesus be the Eternal Son if he is of the Order of
Melchizedek which stipulates **no father or mother or
beginning of days nor end of life**
which is later made **like unto
the Son of God.****

You can see The first portion reveals that **the Order of Melchizedek
= Everlasting Father.**

Eternal Son doctrine is Neo Platonism begotten prior to the ages.
But the **Order of Melchizedek** states
No Father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life.

**Jesus as an eternal emanation Son of God,
begotten not created,
= “sparks” of their
own kindling.**

**Sparks are emanations = Omega of deadly heresy = the Eternal
Sin = Blasphemy of the Holy Spirit.**

So, what is clear is the Difference between OCD not wanting to blaspheme which is to be abnormally bothered by repetitive thoughts that can be Forgiven, since the person doesn't want them.

But in reality, one needs to **mature** in the understanding of what Constitutes the UPS.

The other problem without question is that the issue of UPS, the eternal sin involves The one g and Laodicea as it's the final issue based on the first principles of the **Oracles of Gd.**

It means ceasing to be **childish** and growing in maturity. Does growing in maturity from childish things mean actually what Paul said –

“let us go onto perfection?”

To repent and be cleansed of **all unrighteousness**

1:17 For therein is the righteousness of God revealed from faith to faith: as it is written, The just shall live by faith.

1:18 For the **wrath of God** is revealed from heaven **against all ungodliness and unrighteousness** of men, **who hold the truth in unrighteousness;**

1:19 Because that which may **be known of God** is manifest in them; for God hath showed [it] unto them.

Right?

2:10 And with **all deceivableness of unrighteousness** in them that **perish;** because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved.

2:11 And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie:

Paul was talking about the **Eternal Sin** in the context of the First Angels warning of those who **hold the truth about the Law of Two**

in unrighteousness.

Right?

TIME OF TROUBLE – Matt 24:21

24:21 For then shall be great tribulation, such as was not since the beginning of the world to this time, no, nor ever shall be.

24:22 And except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: but for the elect's sake those days shall be shortened.

The Holy Spirit withdrawn from earth,
the time of trouble such as never was.

The Restraining One's command in Revelation 7:1 must be considered and the restraint of the four angels is taken away - And the man of sin revealed.

Also, when the daily is finished at the abomination at the 1290.
The Man of sin = 2Thes 2 guy has to be the agent of the abomination

Therefore, the end of High priestly mediation is not to be trifled when it comes to the seal of Gd for not far hence the MOTB is enforced .

So, the Spirit is removed in its restraining
But present inside the sealed saints.

It sort of explains being saved to the uttermost in
reference to the court.

Probation closes = No mediation, no Allos the daily is finished.
Only protection for saints and gross darkness covers
The earth. Saints living with Allos but it will seem like
A good friend has departed.

That a Good Friend has departed and the
saints stand alone,

thru the **1290 days** of Revelation 13
and then the **+45**

Their faith is anchored to "**saving of the uttermost**"
because ER is what defeated the Dragon

ERxF is the Eternal Anchor
of the soul.

--)-
Swift Messenger
--)-

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com

Man in Linen videos: <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.