


*"Watchman,  
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,  
the hour and the end!"* Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



# Alpha & Omega

## The Latter Rain

### That's some claim!

The other day an individual rushed to the front door, knocked hard, and with door being duly opened, immediately said ...

Hey – "This discussion you are having with 1888 about EGW and the Alpha and Omega and how its related to the Godhead problems of Waggoner and Jones (Arian doctrine); right? and how Laodicea officially endorsed the Trinitarian creed, right?

With much concern and gasping for breath

For those interested - here is the ebb and flow of response.

Yes, indeed, there is good reason to your concerns.

As you know, 1888 is renowned for the expression "Christ and His Righteousness was that the Third Angle's Message in verity" ...

Yeh

"And it is the dividing line of the Early Rain and Latter Rain - its early showers was 1888/9 which was as noted by Elders Weiland and Short. In 1888 Re-examined.

Yeh

"Well, here is the real issue as to what was missed by the two messengers, Waggoner and Jones and also Weiland & Short which is this

the Eternal RIGHTEOUSNESS of Christ is based on the immutable truth that Eternal Righteousness of HWM who existed **prior** to the Foundation of the world = Rev 13:8 – and also that ER compliments that fact,  
and so that ER is the First Angels message in VERITY  
and therefore, = to the Latter Rain.

Ok, but why ?

Here is your first stumbling block

"Fact is God said there will be no NSL in Rev 17:16 and then this comprehensive detail about the A&O from John 1:1 and Rev 22, Rev 4 & 5 would in fact be the Latter Rain and especially for the hour we live – which means the First Angels Message in verity is Eternal Righteousness of HWM -Eternal Identity which is the ushering in of Everlasting R of Daniel 9.

Right?

Yeh – but - Thats some claim that light on A&O is the LR!

Yes - You are correct – that will cause many the stumbling Block is all about Christ the Chief Corner Stone, but as you may have now realised - what else is there that acknowledges the Eternal R of HWM?

Other than John 1:1 with Rev 4 & 5 etc ...

Most fail to realise the FIRST and Third Angels warning will be testified by the Two Witnesses of Rev 11 during the 1260 days –

which means ipso facto – ER is all about the First Angel - -

Right?

otherwise, the Creeds never played a part in the dumbing-down the world and the huge problem is LR is said to be falling on hearts all around and that's true - but the ultimate rejection of the LR was by Laodicea in its acceptance of Trinity and God the Eternal Son = FB 2,4 in 1980.

We know the fulness of the LR is the time of the Loud Cry = Second Angels warning - which is to  
Come out of her my people?

Right?

## **Conversation continues ...**

Well, Friend - Yes have to agree the LR is all about the A&O and John 1:1 and it is because "Mark" for the son of Benny D  
= 8<sup>th</sup> king of Dan 8:23-25  
involves a vehement denial of  
Eternal Righteousness which is the bias of Isa 14 -to be like the Most High;

and this fake ER is seamlessly achieved through the one g doctrine  
by many things and the major one being misinterpreting Deuteronomy 6:4 and brings in a  
demonic counterfeit as in Law of one.  
And leaves Laodicea naked. With no answers.

They don't recognise the Law of Two = John 1:1 because of FB 2,4  
Would you be interested in some examples of the confusion?

Yes.

Ok, take Gino Jennings and PPH as does TD Jakes and millions of others they all today endorse and follow the **Law of one**

which is contrary to **Law of TWO** as in John 1:1  
= First Angels warning.

Can you begin to see why Rev 13:8 is positioned in Rev 13?

The visitors response,

**Yes, I can see this is a huge ignored detail and is far more important than the First B or the Second B even the MoB or the image? It means as you said - Rev 13:8 is concerning the Eternal Righteousness of HWM, which is in fact the Root or progenitor to the Latter Rain.**

Right.

Ok so you may by now recognise why Gino Jennings and PPH hat are simply exchanging variations of the same error. Both deal with the One g.  
Jennings denies that the incarnation was the fullness of God,  
in the flesh - [here](#).

Visitor then remarks

I can see now why the Loud Cry, it's definitely the precursor to the "MoB" by way of the preaching of the First Angel which MUST conform and never negate = John 1:1. And this would confirm why God sad the Phillies have little strength, and that the Two Witnesses have to carry a first angels testimony = torch of truth.

**Achilles Heel**

## **The Achilles heel of the woman in Rev 18 (and her daughters) are the Creeds.**

The question is what about the Eastern woman in relation to carrying the Torch of the One Consciousness - will it be done by the Eastern Prelate as in MWFP or is it done by Second B of Rev 13?

Isaiah 2 says its sustained emphasis comes from Jeru city.

But a spiritual renaissance in the land of the Second B which will be of the one g error = 7N would most certainly bring "National Ruin."

And why?

Yeh, because a law was passed by both houses in the [102<sup>nd</sup> Congress](#)

circumventing completely the [First Amendment](#).

## **Most respond - Thats some claim!**

Regardless, of whatever attitude or range of beliefs exist

Addressing the visitor

Be it known, ignorance doesn't answer the details of Scripture and the fact is EGW recognised a precipitated failure of the 1888 GC Session and gave significant warnings about the Alpha and Omega of Deadly Heresies – even to meet it.

## **The fact is – to this day - NO ONE DID.**

But as to the guys who say "that's some claim" – bears the fact it is unmistakeable there was a failure of 1888, it matured to the point of no-return for Laodicea with the new creedal statement in 1980

in reference to the

**God Eternal Son** – see [FB #4](#) –

**“God the eternal Son** became incarnate in Jesus Christ.”

And by the way

Not to mention as well as the urgent concern and warnings of the Omega of deadly heresy being Pantheism.

What?

YES !!

The **Root teaching** of Pantheism resides within the **Eternal Son** teaching of emanationism from the one g which is rooted in the Trinity statement of Father, Son and Holy Spirit - = FB #2

But note now –

since ‘sonship’ is **not** presented in John 1:1 but comes from the **relabelling** of the church fathers you have a significant problem which is a standoff against the First Angel of Rev 14.

How?

Namely by defining the Neo-Platonist **void** = Universe as the Father - and the emanated Logos as the **eternally generated** or **eternal begotten son** as the creation of the material Universe.

And then word meanings become much more strained with the notions that the Holy Spirit is associated with a female principle of Sophia.

**Read the evidence for yourself.**

**Gnostics** associated Sophia with the Holy Spirit

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sophia\\_\(wisdom\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sophia_(wisdom))

**Neo Platonism's** emanationism = Logos begotten prior to the ages.

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neoplatonism>

In K book:

Notice that these 3 emanations from the [Einsoff](#) equate to Father = [Ketur](#) or crown, and [Chokmah](#) a male energy, and Binah = wisdom, a female energy or principle

All of this proves EGW's statement of "sparks of their own kindling" as in emanationary souls from the one g - while the Sabbath is still intact and not an issue compounded by the fact that a Sunday law **never** materialized.

Why?

Answer:

- - because the **Beast** of Rev 13 is **not Catholic – but lucyferian**.
- - it's seen in **Zecharia 8:23** and **Revelation 17:12-16**
- - The individual the ten kings consult in Zech 8:23 **is a SABBATH keeper**
- - and the individual = 8<sup>th</sup> king = **Revelation 17:11**

Visitor

Like wow! The 8<sup>th</sup> king is a Sabbath Keeper!

Yes.

EGW said the Omega would be **received** and she **trembled**. That means The Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies -

**is not NSL.**

But, then there exists the deviation of the First Amendment in 102<sup>nd</sup> Congress and when invoked it eventuates unto 'national ruin' when apostate Protestantism (and Laodicea) clasped hands with spiritualism. [Here](#)

So, in Summary

**The ONLY Authentic Biblical opposition of warning  
to the AODH for those with ears to hear**

**is Christ and His ER and His ER which is why  
He said He was ALPHA & OMEGA**

**for which this**

**= His Eternal Identity**

**= the Ho Logos The Word**

**= and He was ALSO God = Eternal Father #2**

**And all that establishes the ONLY authentic opposition  
to the First and Second B and for**

**the immutable**

**Divine Fact**

**Is what ALONE**

**constitutes the First Angel and why Revelation 13:8  
= LSFOTW.**



Time for you guys to do some research or be left behind,

when Revelation 17:16 occurs  
only then your safeguard is the Scriptures,

Like when the institution of the purple woman is meted her judgment and is destroyed utterly by the ten kings as Gd said.

So, who do the 10/10 kings consult after the destruction of the purple woman and her city?

Yeh –, its foretold Zech 8:23 the ten men have a council with an individual

8:23 Thus saith the LORD of hosts; In those days [it shall come to pass], that ten men shall take hold out of all languages of the nations, even shall take hold of the skirt of him that is a Jew, saying, We will go with you: for we have heard [that] God [is] with you.

That brings Isaiah 2 into the picture – the “law” [here](#)

And that’s why they, the ten kings are conferred royal status [crowned] = – the ten CROWNED kings of the First Beast

13:1 And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, having seven heads and ten horns, and upon his horns **ten crowns**, and upon his heads the name of blasphemy.

As you can see there was a transition from the ten kings status in Revelation 17 where they had **no crowns**.

But as you read – the ten kings are then the ten crowned kings continue in union with the First B in the context of Revelation 13

and the time HWM described as the Abomination of Desolation = Matt 24:15.

The scarlet woman is GONE.  
It leaves NSL in the theological dumpster.

That makes sense.

Now - What about the First Amendment?

When does the 7N of 102<sup>nd</sup> Congress be invoked ?

Read about the transfer of Power in Revelation 13 from the First B over to the Second B.

13:11 And I beheld **another beast** coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb, and he spake as a dragon.

13:12 And he **exerciseth** all the **power** of the first beast before him, and causeth the earth and them which dwell therein to worship the first beast, whose deadly wound was healed.

The power invokes by the **7N** in the land of the Second B.

The 7 N host one unique attribute –  
maximal punishment = Capital punish.

The question is – is this is it a coincidence with Revelation 13:15-18 and to be ignored?

13:14 And deceiveth them that dwell on the earth by [the means of] those miracles which he had power to do in the sight of the beast; saying to them that dwell on the earth, that they should make an image to the beast, which had the wound by a sword, and did live.

13:15 And he had power to give life unto the image of the beast, that the image of the beast should both speak, and cause that as many as would **not** worship the image of the beast **should be killed**.

13:16 And **he** causeth all, both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads:

13:17 And that no man might buy or sell, save he that had the mark, or the name of the beast, or the number of his name.

13:18 Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number [is] Six hundred threescore [and] six.

Of course not!

Ok - In the sequence of prophetic events of the Ten kings from no crowns in Rev 17

to crowned Revelation 13:1

well, this leaves 13:15 glaringly obvious scenario

**there is no NSL in the ultimate closure of end events.**

Right!

**So, what then is the Latter Rain about?**

ANSWER

The truth of the ALPHA & OMEGA  
and the First Angel

at the time just following  
the destruction of the Purple woman and her city.

The visitor bowed in prayer.

--)-  
Swift Messenger  
--)-

---

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: [maninlinen@protonmail.com](mailto:maninlinen@protonmail.com)

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

**In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN** (Aust. Edition): [www.5agendas.com](http://www.5agendas.com)

**Man in Linen videos:** <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.