

# Melchizedek

Hebrews 7:3

In the Likeness, made like?

aphomoioó

Sam Shamoun controversy

When one munches over Sam Shamoun's interpretation of **Melchizedek**, <u>here</u> - it's worth having another look, because Shamoun concludes the Greek word of Heb 7:3.

**APHOMOIOO** = resembling or made,

Well guess what - Shamoun says - Melchizedek is not Jesus

For those alert, you immediately see this conclusion is a red flag

### But with Heb 7:1-3 what does the Bible establish?

The Order of First things.

When it comes to the Divine theology about the Alpha & Omega it has been established John 1:1 and the doctrine of Eternal Righteousness, exists because

Christ = I Am = Alpha & Omega

it has been well established <u>here</u> that Melchizedek was the <u>pre-existent Word</u> of John 1:1,

and you can see from Hebrews that Melchizedek who met Abraham NEVER had human genetics = Heb 6:19-20 and 7:1-3.

Whereas "Jesus Christ" = (Omega / Alpha);

was a combination of the Divine Spirit, and the genetics of Mary = Luke 1:35,

and Being the monogenes theos = John 1:14,18

He NEVER existed as the 'uniquely begotten' **prior** to the Incarnation.

Shamoun says the word Aphomoioó means

Made to look like a copy of to cause a model to pass of into an image a facsimile that He Melchizedek is made a <u>copy</u> he is **not** the Son of God, Jesus being the Prototype with Melchizedek being the Type

#### **Comment**

Of course, Shamoun's assertion doesn't agree with ER, and why? since Melchizedek,

did in fact become the Order of Melchizedek,

as presented in Psalm 110:4 and Heb 6:19-20. And the Rod being the sent incarnation vehicle= sent Spirit.

Shamoun's conclusion

Jesus being the Prototype with Melchizedek being the Type

### doesn't make any sense,

Why?

because if you really look at what is being said,

if Jesus were a prototype he would have to have had human genetics **PRIOR** to Melchizedek and then be concluded and viewed as an "Eternal Son."

Shamoun's conclusion in fact supports the Eternal Son doctrine, the Omega of DHs.

Later, Shamoun states that Melchizedek is a shadow of Christ.

Well, but **only** in the sense of breaking Bread and wine <u>Gen 14:18</u> and the prerequisites of **Melchizedek**, for they

foreshadow the Order of Melchizedek = overcoming in the flesh of human genetics.

Yet, if you are prepared to take note,

Revelation 13 appeals **not** to the Cross, but to the **Eternal Righteousness** of Melchizedek,

as the slain Lamb **prior** to the foundation of the world, (vs 8) and He ministered in Eternal Righteousness

**prior** to the Levitical system;

of which the Earthly Tabernacle was fashioned with the Lamb,

being the primordial sacrifice **prior** to the Foundation of the world.

### Melchizedek Shadow Christ reality.

Yes, this is true since Jesus is now Great High Priest,

and the important factor is that during the days of his sojourn on earth, he cried strong tears <a href="Heb 5:7">Heb 5:7</a> and clung to **Eternal #1,** 

and by doing so, qualified to be called **Great High Priest** of the Order of Melchizedek.

Right?

### Finally, Sam appeals to Psalm 110:4

not realizing that the initial conversation of Psalm 110:1 establishes the **type** in relation **to** the Order of Melchizedek in verse 4, **prior** to the sent Rod.

110 The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

- <sup>2</sup> The Lord shall send the **rod** of thy strength out of Zion: rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.
- <sup>3</sup> Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauties of holiness from the womb of the morning: thou hast the dew of thy youth.
- <sup>4</sup> The Lord hath sworn, and will not repent, Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

So, it's easy to see - Sam is not making sense that Jesus is the Prototype and that Melchizedek is the Type.

He quotes <u>11Q13</u>

OK, fine,

but remember what 11Q13 states -

### "Your Elohim reigns" and

### "Your Elohim will deliver the sons of Righteousness from Belial"

which is an accurate portrayal of Melchizedek, **PRIOR** to the Incarnation, as Elohim.

And that fact must = John 1:1.

The fact is this statement from 11Q13 perfectly aligns with Psalm 110:1, and John 1:1, And Heb 7:1-3

where no fathers or mothers = Heb 7:1-3

are seen, and this is not credal emanationism;

but a perfect Divine Equality of Two Elohim as seen in Philippians 2:5-7.

ἀφωμοιωμένος (<u>Hebrews 7:3</u>)

### https://biblehub.com/greek/871.htm

"The lone New Testament appearance of ἀφωμοιωμένος (<u>Hebrews 7:3</u>) carries an active, intentional sense of "being made like" or "having been likened."

The question then is this

 Does Shamoun's use of aphomoioó from Heb 7:3 present any problems?

**ANSWER** 

YES and No

#### The Yes case

For those unfamiliar with ER of HWM – Shamoun's ideas form another of the many proxy arguments about Melchizedek, to not be the Eternal Logos = Eternal HWM of the First angels warning,

because it is only when ER is established as a Divine Reality, for only then does HWM = True Alpha = Father 2, Isa 9:6-7 = Alpha & Omega.

Shamoun is a Trinitarian guy

and renders Melchizedek as a Canaanite priest which means, Melchizedek is completely unrelated to HWM

In other words, the perpetual problem is this – one is continually confronted with the "unpardonable sin" of Heb 6:4-6 and Matt 12:31

because the denial of Melchizedek = HWM wreaks havoc with First Angels Warning. And You are left with what? You have no First Angels warning.

Its unpardonable.

When Aphomoioó is grasped with the Eternal R of HWM And His Eternal Claim to be the Alpha what eventuates Is the entire basis of why HWM qualifies to be worshipped As is the case with Eternal F #1

And you will also notice something essential with First Angels warning

The Holy Spirit does not come into the picture with respect to the First Angels warning and the reason is because of Rev 22:17

= Col 1:27 = CIYTHOG

Which means the TTD = Matt 12:31

And because when you draw the correct conclusions about **aphomoioó** from Heb 7:3 you discover that in regards to LSFBTFOTW and John 1:1

there comes a clear picture of Divinity = Alpha and Incarnation emerges = Omega scenario which is all about Alpha & Omega.

And How?

Eternal R confirms that the Lamb of Rev 13:8 was slain prior to the foundation of the world is likened onto the "Son" because of the slaughtering in the heavens. That's what the text states and is confirmed by 2 Tim 1:9.

But in reality, the Lord Jesus Christ retains inherent Eternal R since he is PRIOR to David and was not an emanation = begotten in the heavens prior to the Incarnation.

This would explain the reference of Christ's eternal priesthood as Melchizedek;

while the Order of Melchizedek is in fact the conversion from Melchizedek into the Order of Melchizedek

by way of Monogenesis theos uniqueness by way of the descent of the Rod as is said in Psalm 110.

And only then do have the completeness of the First Angels warning of Rev 14 and you can begin to unravel the grave deception of the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies.

Does Shamoun's use of aphomoioó present any problem for the First Angels warning?

Yes, absolutely because it's because Shamoun presents the same argument of the delineation of identity of substance merging with genetics incarnate,

Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but made like [Aphomoioó] unto the Son of God; abideth a priest continually.

### Aphomoioó

The likeness - it's similar to what the founding elder of WWN who presented precise theology concerning the Incarnation and **Romans 8:3** 

HWM came in the likeness of sinful flesh

<sup>3</sup> For what the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh:

#### likeness homoiōma

Laodicea as it turned out from the <u>1915-1950's</u> got bogged down in into the <u>pre-fall</u> and then post-fall arguments about the nature of Christ,

theories raged with sinless flesh and sinless perfectionism = holy flesh via works of the law = ?

Well, have a guess what all that is called in the modern vernacular or theological new age idiom?

**Answer** 

#### **HIGHER SELF**

Laodicea never really understood the holy flesh teaching warning according to what EGW stated about the Alpha of heresies and then the Omega of DHs.

It's worth a few moments of your attention to verify the differentiations of word Likeness and made like from Rom 8:3 and Heb 7:3

### Heb 7:3

Strong's Greek: 871. ἀφομοιόω (aphomoioó) — 1 Occurrence

#### **Hebrews 7:3 V-RPM/P-NMS**

NAS: of life, but made like the Son

KJV: but made like unto the Son

#### Romans 8:3

Romans			8:3			N-DNS		
KJV:	Son	in	the	likeness	of	sinful	flesh,	

So, how do you draw a sound conclusion and how do you validate the First Angels Warning of Rev 14?

**Answer** 

It is Based on the accuracy of why Christ is A&O

The fact is this - the Lexicons and theological commentaries have never dealt with Eternal R

but while as unbiased as is kept to the strictest laws of translations the readers assumptions weighted heavily by the biases of the creeds and the derivations of the Arianism actually overrule do influence the commentaries.

So, what's to be noted?

The Greek words of **Heb 7:3** aphomoioó "likeness"

presents the merging of the Alpha scenario Melchizedek with the absolute meaning of OMEGA and sinful flesh

Shamoun's use aphomoioó = Heb 7:3 "made like"

Conjures a massive comparative problem and misapplication of the A&O.

Why?

Christ who was Melchizedek = the ALPHA

He was decreed to be a son Psa 2:9

which is exactly as you read concerning the Elohim in Psa 110.

But further as Heb 6:19-20 KJV confirms

#### **HWM** was

- made an high priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

Made a HP after the Order of Melchizedek is the distinction of the Alpha and the Omega.

Why?

since the Alpha was Christs Identity prior to Incarnation, and it was the Incarnation that = Omega scenario.

It worth noting also The Greek for Heb 6:19-20

Which [hope] we have as an anchor of the soul, both sure and steadfast, and which entereth into that within the veil;
6:20 Whither the forerunner is for us entered, [even] Jesus, made an high priest for ever after the order of Melchisedec.

And this brings about a nuanced word **ginomai** - the same word made here in Heb 6 = the same word for the existence of blood with the trumpets of Rev 8 and that word is ginomai

GREEK - Heb 6:19-20

### ginomai

pluperfect, ἐγενόει (3rd sg),

to come into existence; to be created, exist by creation, Jn. 1:3, 10; to be born, produced, grow, Jn. 8:58, et al.; to arise, come on, occur, as the phenomena of nature, etc.; Mt. 8:24, 26; 9:16, et al.;

to be appointed, constituted, established, Mk. 2:27; Gal. 3:17, et al.; to take place, come to pass, happen, occur, Mt. 1:22; 24:6, 20, 21, 34,; to come into a particular state or condition; to become, assume the character and appearance of anything, Mt.5:45

therefore, the following is true

The Bible as is recorded in Rev 13 – You recognize the truth of ER because the Lamb slain **prior** to the foundation of the world is likened onto the Son because of the slaughtering in the heavens.

But,

in reality He retains inherent Eternal R of Eternal Divinity,

since He is prior to David and was NOT an emanation = begotten in the heavens, prior to the Incarnation.

This would explain the reference of Christ's eternal priesthood, while the Order of Melchizedek,

is in fact the conversion from Melchizedek, into the Order of Melchizedek by way of Monogenesis theos uniqueness by way of the descent of the Rod.

Shamoun however, renders Melchizedek as an Eternal Divine being, but by way of Eternal Sonship

So, how does that work other than to be = TTD it plain ridiculous and hosts all the hallmarks of UC.

If Jesus Christ as the 'Omega Son of God' is the Prototype of Melchizedek, then this is the **Omega of deadly heresy.** 

How?

Since the Omega scenario is being attributed to the Alpha.

## That's a big NO!!

What?

Yes, when the Incarnation is imposed on the Eternal Logos – guess what occurs?

It Defames the Eternal Righteousness ministration of the Eternal Melchizedek (He had no mother, father or beginning of days)

And ER is the continuance as Great High Priest of **the Order of Melchizedek**, by placing the **Omega** as the Prototype.

Shamoun renders Melchizedek as an Eternal Divine being but by way of **Eternal Sonship** 

and its UC, Christ Consciousness, its Neo Platonism and Gnosticism woven into the very fabric of the evidence of ER

How?

The Eternal Son defines the Trinity as a second personage.

The Bible however when establishing the point of likeness is seen in

Hebrews 2

<sup>17</sup> Wherefore it behooved him **in all things to be made like unto his brethren**, that he might become a merciful and faithful high priest in things pertaining to God,

And that explains and confirms that Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God.

### In the likeness has two facets.

1. It's the likeness of the Son of God being the slain Lamb in the Heavens which determines who in reality is **Eternally righteous** Jesus Christ or the Beast? The decision of the earthly inhabitants is taken to that point in time, in the heavens; yet not to the cross. The Cross is explained in the Great city by the Two Witnesses Testimony. This is why the **Third Angel is Eternal R** in verity; but in reality relates to the death of his previous nature as **Alpha** and the retaking of his

**former glory** that retains the name in the Book of Life of the Lamb that was slain **prior** to David in the heavens.

Folk confused this with Sonship and understood the correction in reference to Romans 1:3 which annuls sonship in the heavens prior to the Incarnation.

Then

2. Then the Word is Made like unto the Son of God involves the literal aspects of Romans 1:3 and Romans 1:4 and then with Acts 13:30-33 defining both verses.

You can see that the summary is very clear

it's the same with Law of One, Neo P, Gnostics, occult mysticism

but worse Laodicea with its Trinitarian FB#2 and God the Eternal Son FB#4 or the Arian version –

annuls the First Angels warning

right?

Because all have embraced the mystery of mysticism = the Omega of DHs

and it's no different to what Elijah encountered with Baal worship.

18:21 And Elijah came unto all the people, and said, How long halt ye between two opinions? if the LORD [be] God, follow him: but if Baal, [then] follow him. And the people answered him not a word.

Let that sink in.

Why?

It's all about the First Angels warning and ER of the LSFBTFOTW = Rev 13:8.

So, who was the eternal Melchizedek?

Why is Christ our Great High Priest in His Omega status after the "order of Melchizedek"?

Now you know!

)
,
Swift Messenger
)

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust.  $Edition): \underline{www.5agendas.com}$ 

Man in Linen videos: https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".