

Ephesians

4.14

Every wind of doctrine

At the time

of the seal of Gd ~ Revelation 7

The forums

When one considers the many forums today, and that includes millions of vids the whole pot of pottage and then while even sitting in the pews within Laodicea, every wind of doctrine exists.

You see, read, or hear:

We have the truth; no, we have the truth; no, the truth is over here; no, we support this truth = wind = polemics and rhetoric + demanding dialectical insinuations and

then its endlessly surrogated because they all say we know there is 1g in whatever version you can name that exists and on it goes, and yeh some seem articulate, and very pointed with the Scriptures and the attenuations they present.

Their own personal truth.

But what of it?

Paul was correct -

Till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ:
4:14 That we [henceforth] be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men, [and] cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive;

4:15 But speaking the truth in love, may grow up into him in all things, which is the head, [even] Christ:

The first thing one must recognise with Pauls Letter to the Ephesians – Paul knew well ER, the Eternal Identity of Father #2 and there were Two Divines when he spoke to the Philippians. As you proceed you will recognise very few hold a sufficient awareness of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man

Don't kid yourself Paul was NOT a Duffus when it came to the First Angel and ER and also ERxF = LSFBTFOTW.

All His letters form a cohesive tapestry about ER that give light and enshrines the First and Third Angels warnings as valid. But who would be bothered to know?

Is it the 'few' of Matt 7:14?

Have YOU ever noticed, no one on-line or when you get to speak face to face can accurately respond or advance why Christ is the A&O and how this brings the knowledge of HWM in respect to the First Angels warning?

Forget about Laodicea, they had the greatest opportunity and today are as absurd as all the others by lulling the laity to sleep with **FB 2,4** of the **purple woman**. MBMOHs.

There is a <u>Lily Jay</u> the Muslim convert who is being refuted by other Christians on forums, is the supreme example of what it means to be a silly sister.

She asks the question of what is the fastest **growing** religion, as well as what is the fastest **diminishing** religion?

Well, it's Islam, = the fastest and Christianity = diminishing. But most Christians including Laodicea, would answer

LJ in another erroneous way.

Whereas, those who see the ER of HWM know that the reason why these winds exists is because there exists the <u>operation</u> of evil *after* the fulfillment of Luke 21:24.

After the truth has been proclaimed as a witness to all nations, **every conceivable power of evil will be set in operation**, and minds will be confused by many voices crying, "Lo, here is Christ, Lo, he is there. This is the truth, I have the message from God, he has sent me with great light." see <u>RH Dec 13, 1892</u>

Neither side of all the three Abraham religions would understand this concept of Jesus in Lk 21.

Why?

Wait for the surprise

This is a prime example of what HWM said

"straight is the gate, narrow is the way and **few** be they that find it"

= Matt 7:14

The "few" = 144?

Think that thru.

Then, there is the full-on frontal attack by the 1g guys against the TTD and guess what - they're both wrong.

There are all sorts of questions hurled at Christians which would overwhelm the so called spiritually literate, and **illiterate**.

To sum up the attack of the one g advocates.

Is that they

- don't properly define the Alpha scenario, only the Omega of submission and that this was Jesus glorying Eternal # 1 on earth,
- > yet not seeing that Eternal #1 is glorying Jesus,

at the Transfiguration with the glory that He had with the Father,

prior to the foundation of the world.

= Rev13:8, John 1:1, John 8:58 etc

That glory at the Transfiguration **cannot** be defined as an emanation since John 1:1 = the Beginning.

That means

The whole concept of "Before Abraham, "I am", cannot ever be placed in doubt, since the leadership picked up stones.

Right?

Ultimately speaking, this phrase "Before Abraham, "I am" entails the very beginning as in John 1:1.

= "I Am"

Then, there is the message of the **First Angel** and its requirement. Goodness! Even Pagans realize that they have to worship Eternal # 1 in some form.

That is obvious to everyone.

So, the fact is that the **First Angel**, is pointing to the not so obvious,

which is what?

ANSWER

It is the Incarnation and what is stated in **Isaiah 9:6-7**.

A child is born = confirmed by the Incarnation,

in combination with

a **Son given** which is **Romans 1:3.**

When you present **Isaiah 9:6-7** in relation to **Romans 1** Everyone and it includes Laodicea – every last one of them all have trouble with HWM being called "**Everlasting Father**."

Do your own test and find out for yourself.

And guess what

Everyone goes into cognitive dissonance as if they heard shocking heresy. Only goes to show how much influence **FB 2,4** has had over a generation on

Laodicean padres and elders and laity without exception, bar none.

Houston we have a problem. Right in the midst of Laodicea.

Jesus defined **EVERLASTING FATHER** as when He said = being "I Am".

This SIMPLE concept of HWM = a son is given and being also Everlasting Father,

is properly addressed in **John 12** and its link with **Isaiah 6.**

Isaiah 6 is the **GOTY** of the **Transfiguration**.

Then there is that New Testament verse of the Son being in the bosom of the Father. **John 1:18**

This is none other than, **Zecharia 3:7-9,** the Father # 2 within Jesus.

The 1g guys got it all wrong since they cannot see the Two Eternal Fathers of John 1:1 and the second lowering himself, into human genetics,

with the bosom of the father, being the **Two in one** being of **Revelation 5**,

in the literal sense of the word

considering that **Eternal # 1** never lowered himself,

but as all in all he can be in Jesus bosom without Eternal # 1 lowering himself. It's the story of the **submission of the Omega to the Alpha,**

this would include both aspects of **Eternal 1 and 2** who were both **Alpha prior** to the Incarnation.

Also, as Priest of God there was the intimate relationship of John 1:1 and the ministration of the concept of the slain Lamb,

prior to the Incarnation and this bosom relationship was of the **Alpha**,

both understanding that one day there would be a painful separation,

upon the lowering of Eternal # 2 into human genetics.

But **Melchizedek** as in priest of God who ministered in **Everlasting R** on account of "no father no mother" = **John 1:1**,

as well as the glory that Eternal 2 possessed alongside # 1 prior to the Incarnation = Jn 1:1 is equivalent to Exodus 23:21,

but not in the way the Larlas and silly sisters see the verse, as the imposition of the Omega on the Alpha, - AODH

and which brings us back to the second century AD and Philo and his <u>Dabar</u> emanation concept.

Muslims mock and say that Jesus (ISSA) never said he was God, and this is in fact <u>false</u> theology,

since in the wilderness Jesus said to Satan "thou shalt not tempt the Lord thy God".

So, who was this talking about?

Eternal # 1 was **never** tempted by Satan. What this showcased was the Divine-human combination being tempted by Satan, with the fulness of the Godhead residing bodily in Jesus Christ it couldn't be in any other way.

It was the Fulness of Christ in the flesh as God

- = Eternal. # 2
- = Before Abraham "I Am" that was tempted.

Exodus 23:21 doesn't appear to use the expression bosom

but my name is in him.

What is not understood is that this is also a Messianic prophecy of **Zecharia 3:7-9**. The name is the BRANCH Messiah, whose time had not yet arrived,

until his birth in Bethlehem. the city of David for the express purpose of **Romans 1:3.**

Then there are the emanationists touting the Nicene Creed or whatever it's called as being **begotten** prior to the ages.

Well guess what

Acts 13:30-33 shuts that down

as well as Psalm 110:1 was prior to the sent Rod.

It is from this reasoning in relation to **John 1:18** that they former, quote John 1:1,

when it should be the other way around

not Omega to Alpha

but Alpha to Omega.

This point has been emphasised for some time now

See A&O series -here.

But also -

The A& O as well as the prerequisites of the **Order of Melchizedek cannot be surpassed** by the Argy-bargy sophistries of the 1g theology whatever the version one idolises.

Why?

because they invert the Order of Melchizedek into emanationism.

Laodicea is not ready to deal with this problem.

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. $Edition): \underline{www.5agendas.com}$

Man in Linen videos: https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".