

Isaiah 2:6

Stretching
Hands across
the Gulf,
grasping the hand of
Spiritualism

The 1885 Quote by EGW

This discussion is presenting the important, vital background to even begin to behold the extent of the profound power of **spiritualism** which continues its ancient objective against the Godhead of John 1:1. Be it known Spiritualism is far more than the state of the dead and immortality of the soul and the NSL.

It must be noted, the timeline of EGW spoke about "Old Jerusalem would never be built up in 1858" was adjusted with Christ's prophecy of Luke 21 in 1901. This is discussed and is applicable to our decisions and the very life decisions today; but then, this discussion is provided to give you a semblance of what entails when it comes to the evolving Biblical concept of "stretching forth hands across the gulf grasping spiritualism" that has its foundation in Isaiah 2:6 that all pastors in Laodicea have absolutely, no concept.

Why? For without the Scriptures, one cannot see, one is blind, let alone hear the changes of the timeline of prophecy; or why a very unique quote from EGW of 1885 even exists, and then you will see how the context was adjusted for the unfolding events of the 1890's when the same quote was adjusted for NSL. But "grasping the hand of spiritualism" was not made clear. Why? Then came the Alpha of deadly heresies in 1901. Yet, spiritualism is an evolving concept and was validated by EGW when she said the "Omega of deadly heresies" would be received and she trembled.

If you cannot by now see how the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies contributes in its vast and deceptive objectives with the stretching of hands across the Gulf grasping the hand of spiritualism – your full attention is required because spiritualism is an evolving concept as prophecy unfolds – that even as Jesus said, **would deceive, if possible**, in Matt 24.

Here is the unique **1885** quote by EGW:

When Protestantism *shall stretch her hand across the gulf to grasp the hand of the* **Roman power**, when she shall reach over the abyss to clasp hands with spiritualism, ... that time has come for the marvelous working of Satan and that the end is near.--5T 451 (1885).

This quote has further references, and this study will reveal how the concept of "hands across the gulf grasping spiritualism" evolved, over a period of time **prior** to EGW, as well as **afterwards**, and culminates **at the very end of the study**;

which will reveal a "FALSE DUALITY",

whose **final objective** is to obliterate the original Duality and also the Deity of Ho Logos of John 1:1 and replace this with Luciferianism.

That false duality, is The Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies. And involves the Fourth B, was, is not and yet is.

Best you pay close attention as this discussion proceeds, and while it's not everyone's cup of tea, largely due the complexity of Scriptural detail involved, the entire fact is that today, almost everyone has been thoroughly DUMBED DOWN to the point they don't even know "Arthur or Martha".

Don't be fooled because you know a bit about the state of the dead, the non-immortality of the soul, and that you are "all good" to proceed. You "do not know the depths of Satan" (Rev 2:24) and the abomination to deceive.

Any further references to the use of the EGW **1885** quote as a heading, simply imply it as an evolution of the 'stretching forth the grasping hands' of spiritualism concept, **up to** the very end.

Essential background

As a certain so called monotheistic religion gains strength, leading to the events of the year **2030**, it now becomes apparently clear to what the Abraham accords are leading up to.

Some saying that it will be the commencement of the "Messianic era," as well as the fact, that we are presently being told, that we will be living in a multipolar world;

and **that** no one nation will be superior to another, since war will be a thing of the past.

Question

Does this imply that World War III will be fought prior to 2030?

Let's, just leave it, at that.

We are already being told that China will be ready for war by 2027-28.

Well!

One might recall <u>Albert Pyke</u>, once stated that there would be **3 wars** on planet earth, and that the **3rd** one, would usher in the New World Order.

So, the world has witnessed WW1 and WW2 and the Third appears to be brewing in the Middle East, and <u>Daniel 11:36 -40-45</u> gives us quite a bit of information, regarding the players in the War.

Yet, it appears that Iran, as well as Europe will be major players in this upcoming war. The main protagonists are mentioned in prophecy as the "King of the North" and the "King of the South."

This leads us to believe that its these two leaders, one representing Europe = the King of the North, as well as the other, representing an Islamic block or Caliphate of some sort known as the King of the South, will soon clash in a major war which will bring in the New World Order as predicted by Pyke.

The Golden era: Is it of God or of Men?

The present administration, while at the same time promoting the 'Golden era' is trying to bring about a Herculean effort to tighten the spending screws of government by way fiscal accountability, and if it cannot be accounted for, it will be shut down and laid off.

As good as it seems, this appears to be opening a Pandora's box, which is being called out by the opposition. It has always been known that specific problems usually lead nations into Totalitarian systems whose initial problems consist of economic problems, war, as well as political instability and moral decay.

These often lead nations into either religious fanaticism, or scenarios of a Totalitarian character.

Many outside the world of Laodicea, see these matters and are concerned about the Heritage Institute's so-called plans in the wake of the return of a Republican party victory, and this already is past history.

This is speaking in reference to the Heritage Institute and what appears to be a 'blue print' for the loss of liberty, from a conservative perspective. Both the secular world of the freedom loving, and the Laodicean world view, - see the Heritage think tank as dangerous to the Rights of the American people.

SHIFTING TIMELINES

The Laodicean viewpoint

The Laodicean viewpoint ventures further into the belief that this will finally bring in the dreaded "**Sunday Law" legislation**.

So, all Laodicean eyes appear to be on this matter - but could this be an erroneous assumption?

The answer is yes!

It's on account of **shifting timelines** which shall be discussed further on in this discussion.

The opposition and the present time leadership:

During the period that they reigned (opposition), they were doing the same thing, and now want to appear as the benefactors. Yet, what is all this left and right tugging and pulling leading to?

It's all the **same** thing.

Best way to describe it is as a mechanism to bring about the demise of a once great power which was respected by the world and now as each day passes it appears to be losing that great respect and now the prophecy of the book of <u>Joel 3:10</u> appears to be taking place.

"Let the weak say I am strong".

Grasping the hand of Spiritualism

Laodicea's point of view of the end.

Laodicea's point of view has always been that Protestantism in the new world would grasp the hand of CATHOLICISM

and that, based on the

doctrines of Sunday observance, and soul immortality, that this would produce the final movement, which would lead to global apostasy,

when a **National Sunday law** would be enforced in the US. For years, faithful Laodiceans have been touting this doctrine as the final sign.

But, is it truly the final sign?

The concept of hands across the gulf is nothing new,

in fact, it is found in Jesus' Fig tree warning of Luke 21, such

to the fact, that we today, <u>live</u> to see this, as the metaphorical prophecy of Summer drawing near.

This being the "**END OF THE AGE**" and Jesus returns as King of Kings and Lord of Lords.

Striking hands with foreigners

In order to understand the concept of **STRIKING HANDS WITH FOREIGNERS** in **Isaiah 2:6** (=grasping hands across the gulf with spiritualism), we must first understand,

how Laodicea arrived at the conclusion, that it would be Protestantism, in the New World.

The era of 1888 was the time frame that God gave Laodicea a message in preparation, to what most back then assumed to be an upcoming Sunday law scenario.

Yet, instead of the preaching of the Law in the form of the 10 commandments, God sent two messengers whose names were **Jones and Waggoner**, who unfolded the book of Galatians with the message of *Christ's Righteousness*.

Yet, for some mysterious reason,

the 1888 message was unsuccessful,

and the key, to understanding the failure, was not only on account of the rejection of the message by the Elders of the church, but it also entailed the **erroneous beliefs**, held by Jones and Waggoner regarding the **Godhead**.

They came to the erroneous conclusion that **there was a time** in which **"Christ was not"** and preached and believed,

that he was an emanation of some sort, from the Father,

in the form of the Eternal Son doctrine,

which is the Fundamental doctrine that defines the **Trinity doctrine** as the Father, Son and Holy Spirit construct, which is derived from the label of **Eternal Son**,

instead of the INCARNATION SONSHIP, as defined by ROMANS 1:3.

So, in turn, Waggoner & Jones contributed the **Omega of Deadly** Heresies. And so, the Sunday Law issue came and went, with **no Sunday Law**.

Years later, this massive upset was defined as a "ultimate victory" in the book "Movement of Destiny" by Leroy Froom. p. 242

Yet, EGW had things to say about the failure of 1888.

She stated in 1895

that God had sent a "most precious message" to the church (here)

and that

Jesus was crucified once again on account of its rejection.

She stated that "despite had been done to the Holy Spirit – here

that we would have to watch carefully, since the Devil had now been given access, in order to deceive the church, with false doctrine.

Now ask yourself: -Does doing 'despite, done to the Holy Spirit'

= Matt 12:31?

On the Horizon

So, what then was brewing on the Horizon?

Jesus himself had a particular time line which involved the teaching of **THE FIG TREE**. He stated that when we would see its branches turn green, then Summer would be near.

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to understand that Jesus was using Summer season as a Metaphor for the time of the end, the last days of earth's history.

Well, it just so happens that **EGW** redirected the church **back to** that **time line of Jesus**. = Luke 21.

Yet, to this day, the matter continues to be unperceived by the leadership of Laodicea, pastors and elders, as well as the Laity?

The Redirection of EGW:

In **1892** she wrote the following.

<u>After</u> the truth has been proclaimed as a witness to all nations, every conceivable power of evil will be set in operation, and minds will be confused by many voices crying, "Lo, here is Christ, Lo he is there. This is the truth, I have a message from God, he has sent me with great light."

Then there will be a removing of the landmarks, and an attempt to pull down the pillars of our faith. A more decided effort will be made to exalt the false Sabbath, and to cast contempt upon God Himself by supplanting the day He has blessed and sanctified. This false Sabbath is to be enforced by an oppressive law. Satan and his angels are wide-awake and intensely active, working with energy and perseverance through human instrumentalities to bring about his purpose of obliterating from the minds of men the knowledge of God.

<u>But while</u> Satan works with his lying wonders, the time 'will be fulfilled foretold in the Revelation, and the mighty angel that shall lighten the earth with his glory, will proclaim the fall of Babylon, and call upon God's people to forsake her. (Review & Herald, December 13, 1892)

In November of 1901

EGW stated that we would have to

"remain in this world many more years on earth on account of insubordination." Here

Then, 3 weeks from that letter,

in **December** of **1901**

she wrote in Counsels to Writers and Editors p_23-24

FOR FREQUENT APPEARANCE

In the 21st chapter of the Book of Luke Christ foretold what was to come upon Jerusalem and with it he connected the scenes which were to take place in the history of our world just prior to the coming of the Son of God in the clouds of the sky.

In 1896 from Tasmania she stated,

"my mind was carried to the future when the signal shall be given, "behold the bridegroom cometh, go ye out to meet him".

Here

She later defined this matter by stating that the "Laodicean condition" is defined by the 5 foolish virgins and **the call** was to go out from them.

1888-1896

So, what were the events that altered EGW's view of the **1888 - 1896** scenario that caused her **to warn of a new sign**

and **NOT** a Sunday law?

For one, it was the **rejection** of that 1888 message; yet, God had to be involved in her redirection - since it involved

Vol 5 – <u>The Seal of God</u> –

and

what she mentions in that Testimony of '**God having an account with the nations'** and the Prophet Ezekiel being taken to the future, in order to behold the <u>closing period</u> of that account with the nations.

The reason why she alerted us to the **study** of **Luke 21:24** was the word "Kairoi or Kairos" in that verse which translates as Probationary Time or the allotted "Times of the Gentiles."

The Kairoi would most definitely relate to the **closing scenes** of earth's history, since the Kairoi itself took place in **1980** with the declaration of Jerusalem becoming the Capital of a United Israel in <u>1980</u>.

Six Day War

One might recall that in the **1967** – **Six-Day War** – when

Israeli soldiers under heavy gun fire, annexed East Jerusalem, where the Temple mount stood.

1980

It was **1980** in the Sabbath School Lesson quarterlies that Swiss Professor Jean R. Zurcher **alerted** the church that a prophecy

had been <u>fulfilled</u>. <u>Here</u>

I know about this since I was newly baptized and was present in the lesson study. It was only years later that I came to understand the significance of what had taken place.

The prophetic implications of the prophecy were also linked with the fulfillment of the "Kairoi" of Luke 21:24.

The church (Laodicea) in that SAME YEAR -

published in its <u>27 Statement of Beliefs</u> **the very errors** of Jones and Waggoner which caused the failure of the 1888 Message.

These **errors** were the acceptance and placing of **the Eternal Son doctrine**, as well as the **Trinity**, into the **1980** Statement of Beliefs.

Institutionalising, the Belief that Jesus, was the **Eternal Son of God**

and not an

Everlasting Father # 2 as presented in Isaiah 9:6-7.

Along with the additional problem,

that if Jesus Christ was a High Priest according to the Order of Melchizedek, he could **not** be an eternal Son.

Why?

Because it is stated that the **Order of Melchizedek** has certain **prerequisites**, mainly that he had no Father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life.

This is clearly stated in John 1:1

The Word was present "in beginning".

He was alongside God and was God.

Later Jesus referred to himself as "I Am". "

Before Abraham " I Am"

This is the **true reason** as to why the Sunday Law matter was approached by God, by way of the message of Christ righteousness –

Yet, the matter goes even **deeper** since

the Root of Righteousness by faith

is Eternal Righteousness.

The fact that Jones & Waggoner's belief entailed the belief system of **Neo Platonism** and its **emanated Logos** which was borrowed by the Church Fathers in Rome, instantly **destroyed** the 1888 message

on account of the fact that if

He "Christ" was a Creation of God as, **in having a beginning** in the form of being created by the Father

or

emanating out of the Father, as a clone, would automatically

imply that his original life was **derived** from Eternal Father # 1.

Fact is, Isaiah 9:6-7 is not a figure of speech,

it literally defines

- a) "a child is born,
- **b)** a son given, and He was also
- c) Eternal Everlasting Father # 2.

For those who have poor hearing,

Here is once more Isaiah 9:6

⁶ For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given: and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, **The everlasting Father,** The Prince of Peace.

This, along with the prerequisites of the **Order of Melchizedek**, should be enough to prove that **Christ Jesus was the Alpha and Omega**.

ALPHA, as in root of David **always existing**; and as the offspring of David as in "**incarnation sonship**"

= Romans 1:3 = OMEGA.

Same can be stated **of Melchizedek** since **Alpha** defined = No father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life= Root of David and the **Omega** being that Melchizedek is made like unto the Son of God. **Not Eternal Son** but Incarnation Son according to the dictum of Romans 1:3.

Conclusion 1

Christ was Melchizedek prior to the Incarnation and at the incarnation the name given to him was Jesus Christ the Son of God.

This completes the whole picture of Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God.

See Romans 1:4 and Hebrews 6:19-20.

As well as, Acts 13: 30-33 and Romans 1:4. =

Designated, declared the "Son of God"

by the Holy Spirit by way of the 'Resurrection from the dead.'

Act 13: 30-33

³⁰ But God raised him from the dead: ³¹ and he was seen for many days of them that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people.

³² And we bring you good tidings of the promise made unto the fathers, ³³ that God hath fulfilled the same unto our children, in that he raised up Jesus; as also it is written in the second psalm, ^[a]Thou art my Son, **this day** have I <u>begotten</u> thee.

Conclusion 2

So, Jones & Wagoner's **mistake** was by way of <u>their</u> belief of the Godhead- since it detracted the **Eternal Righteousness of Christ**

and therefore,

Eternal Righteousness being the Root of Righteousness by faith

was a failure -

Why?

Since, there can be no true Righteousness without an Eternally Righteous, Ever- existent Being, backing up the latter.

EGW stated that we would have to watch:

So, it was the season after the **1888-1896** timeline that brought forth the **time line of the Fig tree** since, it was initially, cursed by Jesus;

yet, in **1896**

there was the <u>First Jewish Congress held in Basel, Switzerland</u> - whose objective was for the formation of a Jewish State.

Then came the year 1948,

which was the fulfillment of Genesis 9:27

which later led to the events

of the 6-day war of June 1967

and the later declaration of a United Israel in 1980.

All indicators, **now point** to **Isaiah 2**, as well as the "Great City" of Revelation 11 are underway, during what will be referred to

as the "Messianic era."

Striking the hand with Foreigners Isaiah 2:6

The word that Isaiah the son of Amoz saw concerning Judah and Jerusalem.

² And it shall come to pass in the latter days, that the mountain of YHWH's house shall be established ^[a] on the top of the mountains, and shall be exalted above the hills; and all nations shall flow unto it.

³ And many peoples shall go and say, Come ye, and let us go up to the mountain of YHWH, to the house of the God of Jacob; and he will teach us of his ways, and we will walk in his paths: for out of Zion shall go forth [b] the law, and the word of YHWH from Jerusalem.

⁴ And he will judge ^[c] between the nations, and will decide concerning many peoples; and they shall beat their swords into plowshares, and their spears into pruninghooks; nation shall not lift up sword against nation, neither shall they learn war any more.

⁵O house of Jacob, come ye, and let us walk in the light of YHWH.

⁶ For thou hast forsaken thy people the house of Jacob, because they are filled *with customs* from the east, and *are* soothsayers like the Philistines, and they strike hands with the children of foreigners.

⁷ And their land is full of silver and gold, neither is there any end of their treasures; their land also is full of horses, neither is there any end of their chariots.

One writer, whom I have always respected has stated that **the Law** already went forth from **Mount Sinai**;

so, what is this specific Law that goes forth from Jerusalem on Jesus' Timeline of the Fig Tree?

The answer to that -

Are, the 7 Noahide Laws.

One cannot get bogged down on what the 7 Noahide laws represent in the American Justice system which is based on Constitutional law.

One must be only concerned with the first Law of the **7 Noahides** which states the following. "Thou shall not blaspheme the <u>oneness</u> of god. And its penalty of capital punish for transgressors.

Just recently, President Trump received a <u>letter</u> from the nascent Sanhedrin, urging for these Laws which are supposed to represent an alternate version of the 10 commandments, which are supposedly for the Gentiles or anyone, who doesn't want to be completely Jewish.

Yet, in the Book of **Exodus,** it states that there is only **one law** for the Citizen, as well as the stranger, within thy gates

and that Law is the **10 commandments**.

Whereas the first of the 7 Noahides comes

from the commentaries and traditions of Rabbinic Judaism in the Talmud, and **not from the Bible,** which states that there is only one law for citizens and foreigners.

Take note of the fact - that when Jesus was on trial, in

[Matt 26:57-66]

he was accused of "blasphemy" because he quoted Daniel 7:13-14. "

"Behold, One like the Son of man coming in the clouds of the sky over to the ancient of days and he was granted a Kingdom."

When Jesus mentioned this prophecy, the High Priest tore his robes and stated that they who were present had heard the "blasphemy."

In other words, Jesus denied the 7N. Right?

It was rather obvious, that Caiaphas the High Priest denied the implications of his own religious book, which in this case

was the book of **Daniel chapter 7:13.**

The same can be stated about the disciple **Stephen** (Acts 7) when being stoned he had a vision of Jesus Christ at the **right hand of the Father** and he was stoned to death.

Why would it be so hard to grasp this concept of the **DUALITY** of the Godhead, since see it clearly in **Genesis 1:26**

"Let **us** create man in **our** image and likeness.

Both Elohim, speaking in Unison.

Elohim in the original Hebrew is translated as Gods plural.

But, then, the cry is heard, O' you believe in the gods of the nations,

Well, that is nonsense, since from the time frame of the second century before Christ, it was a well-known fact there was a mystery in the Godhead, and that there were

TWO INDIVIDUAL PERSONAGES

in the Godhead.

The invisible God and the spokesman whom scripture refers to as the Angel of the Lord.

There was actually an individual by the name of **Alan Segal** who wrought about the <u>Two</u> <u>Powers</u> in the Old Testament. But he has been attacked as some sort of person who is not considered righteous or moral enough to expound on this subject matter.

The facts, regarding the **Two Divines** is real, regardless of whether this individual Alan Segal is righteous or not. Thats irrelevant.

There is nothing wrong with a correct scholarly observation.

Why?

Truth, is truth and any accusation against the individual is worthless, since it's not only his observation, but the observation of many others on account of the initial **plurality** as read in Genesis 1:26.

It cannot be Angels, since Angels are not creators.

The problem of combining Jewish Cultural identity with the Occult and what are its implications:

Well, it is a historically known fact that original Judaism as a system of truth as presented in the Torah as well as the Prophets presents the Matrix of the Creator = Elohim in ways that it cannot be altered.

One of the first alterations came by way of <u>Philo of Alexandria</u> who was a **Neo Platonist Jew** who borrowed the concept of emanations and applied it to the second personage of the Godhead known as the Angel of the Lord.

This took place during the second century BC.

So, the Angel of the Lord was **perceived** as being an **emanation** or **extension** of **the so-called** One true God.

By the time we reached the **3rd Century AD** the early **Catholic** fathers were influenced **by Philo**, and, they formulated what is referred to as the **Eternal Son doctrine**

that Jesus Christ was **begotten**, not created, prior to the ages.

This statement as seen in the **Creeds** flies in the face of the obvious declaration of John 1:1.

"In beginning was the Word and the Word was alongside God and the Word was God.

In John 1:1 there is **no hint** of emanationism or sonship.

John 1:1 reveals **exactly** what Jesus stated about himself being the "I Am" in the form of Eternal Father # 2.

Philippians 2:

Philippians 2:5-10 clearly presents the same concept of the equality between Eternal Fathers # 1 and # 2.

"Though existing in the form of God he (Christ Jesus) considered it **not robbery** to be **equal** with God but lowered himself in the slave form = human genetics.

Then, it mentions further on that every knee shall bow and every tongue shall confess that Jesus Christ is Lord.

Bottom Line

So, the bottom line is if **Noahide Law #1** is put into place, it will

- remove the rights of Christians everywhere to worship Jesus Christ as Eternal Father
 # 2, as well as
- the Son of God since 7 N #1 teaches that God = Hashem has no partners and that the Messiah is the Son of David.

Yet the scripture teaches the contrary.

It is rather easy to see how such an **imposition** of the **"Law"** of **Isaiah 2:3** could wreak havoc in a Constitutional Republic as the 'oppressive Law' - that EGW spoke about

in December of 1892 -

That **after** the Gospel has been preached as a witness to all nations ... an **Oppressive Law** would be brought in. <u>here</u>

WE ARE NOW NEARING THIS TIME FRAME.

The evidence is found in the <u>Letter</u> that the nascent Sanhedrin sent to Donald Trump promoting the 7 Noahide Laws.

The Great Controversy, within the Great Controversy:

This would be new for most Laodiceans not realizing that the events, that were written in Great Controversy, were for the era of years commencing with **1888** up to **1896**.

It was in the year 1896 that a new prophetic scenario began

to unfold. Here

It is quite unfortunate, - that the Laodicean world - has **not** been able to perceive the shift **in EGW's prophetic timeline about Jerusalem**,

that in fact **actually aligns with the Biblical prophetic timeline** that Jesus presented in his warning about learning the Lesson

from the FIG TREE in Luke 21.

What was that specific lesson?

For one, that it was cursed; and it was stated that **never again** would it bare fruit. Jesus also stated that their house

would be left unto them desolate.

Matt 23:38

We have shown above that in **1896** the "first sign" arrived that "summer" was drawing near - as in a metaphor - for the end of the age.

What was the message that God was trying to tell his people?

It was about <u>His Eternal Righteousness</u> – **not** the Sunday Law issue.

It is obvious, the mainline theologians of Laodicea have not perceived this subtle shift and neither has the Protestant world which accused EGW of false prophecy regarding her statement that

"Old Jerusalem would never be built up."

While this statement is from **1858** it was unfortunate on her part, **but she did correct** the matter!

How?

In 1901

she redirected the church back to the study of Luke 21

in CWE p24

and by doing so, this would reconnect

with Genesis 9:27, with Isaiah 2 and Revelation 11

for those, who would be awake.

Genesis 9:27

The reason was on account of the mysterious prophecy of Genesis 9:27

It is now a reality that this prophecy totally aligns with the Timeline of Jesus regarding his pronouncements of **learning the lesson of the Fig Tree**.

So, what was this specific lesson

that had to be learned and why did Jesus tell his disciples to be careful with the wine = teaching of the Pharisees?

The answer is that this wine would be re-introduced in the "latter days" of history, within the time frame of Jesus warning.

Well, first and foremost, Genesis 9:27 states the following:

God enlarge Japheth,
And [a]let him dwell in the tents of Shem;
And let Canaan be his servant.

As far as we know, this specific prophecy regarding a Japhetic people living in the Tents of the original Semitic people, did not take place until **1948**.

What most people confuse about **1948**, is the belief that God restored the Jewish people to their land in 1948.

But was this true?

The answer is - no it wasn't.

1948 marked the **first time in history** when **a Japhetic people** from **Europe**, actually came to dwell live in the territory which was historically designated as **the land of Shem**, since Hebrews were originally a **Semitic people** who were gradually replaced by the **Edomites** during the era of King Herod.

It was the **Edomites**, who under **Herod** ruled when Christ was born. It can be argued that Edomites were a Semitic people, since Esau was their father. Yet the Bible states that they were enemies of Israel. (here)

The truth of the matter is by the time the original

Semites were **expelled** from the land, and since 70 AD Jerusalem had been trodded down by gentile nations, is just **as Jesus** stated in **Luke chapter 21.**

It was in **1948** that European Jews of Japhetic descent which included polish Jews and others of more Eastern extraction whose origin is purported to be from the area of Kazakstan

then migrated into Palestine, after the events of Nazi Germany.

Would the Semites eventually return to the land?

Well, the blessings and cursing's are an eye opener.

The cursing's of **Deut 28**, stated the following.

and thy life shall hang in doubt before thee; and thou shalt fear night and day, and shalt have no assurance of thy life.

⁶⁷ In the morning thou shalt say, Would it were even! and at even thou shalt say, Would it were morning! for the fear of thy heart which thou shalt fear, and for the sight of thine eyes which thou shalt see.

⁶⁸ And YHWH will bring thee into Egypt again with ships, by the way whereof I said unto thee, Thou shalt see it no more again: and there ye shall sell yourselves unto your enemies for bondmen and for bondwomen, and no man shall buy you.

Conclusion 3

Based on this text, there would **never** be a return, in a form of a restoration, based on the 1948 argument, as the fulfillment of prophecy.

Yes! 1948,

it's the fulfillment of Genesis 9:27

²⁷ God shall enlarge Japheth, and he shall dwell in the tents of Shem; and Canaan shall be his servant.

But, **not** the fulfillment of Deuteronomy 28:67-68.

Genesis 9:27's fulfilment is a **counterfeit Restoration** which includes the expansion of greater Israel, at the present time. (<u>Here</u> and <u>Here</u>)

Yet, the "**70 weeks**" prophecy of Daniel 9 which began in the Fall season of 457BC up to 34AD; in the book of Acts 8 completely shuts down the argument of an Unconditional Abrahamic Covenant in reference to ownership of the land.

Why?

Jesus stated in Matthew 23:38-39 that **their house** as in "Your House shall be left Desolate unto thee"

and no longer again will you see me.

The fore going you have considered today is essential background, to even begin, to behold the extent and power of spiritualism and its objective against the Godhead of John 1:1,

Let alone how the timeline of EGW was adjusted with Christs prophecy of Luke 21 applicable to our time today, and then even, begin to give you a semblance of what end events how they transpire, but entails when it comes to 'stretching forth hands across the gulf grasping spiritualism".

Why?

Without, a solid Bible foundation of Christ the Chief Cornerstone, the I AM, True Alpha & Omega,

one will not perceive the changes, let alone believe **His timeline**, or why the **1885** quote from EGW is quite unique and exists for a baseline purpose of the Fourth Beast;

or, perceive

why it is stronger in principle and accuracy to the later versions many have believed about the NSL of 1890s.

But then, who of you, have even begun to see why the Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies contributes to evolving concept of the Stretching hands across the gulf, grasping the hands of **Spiritualism**?

Jesus did say this grasping the hands across the gulf = spiritualism

is a SNARE

For as a **snare** shall it come on all them that dwell on the face of the whole earth.

21:36 Watch ye therefore, and pray always, that ye may be accounted worthy to escape all these things that shall come to pass, and to stand before the Son of man.

To be continued.



The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. $Edition): \underline{www.5agendas.com}$

Man in Linen videos: https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".