



Psalm 110:3 YALDUT

FOUR DICTUMS of SONSHIP

With Isaiah

48:16,

¹⁶ Come ye near unto me, hear ye this; I have not spoken in secret from the beginning; from the time that it was, there am I: and now the Lord God, and his Spirit, hath sent me.

This text is not a one size fits all advocacy for the One Gd Trinity doctrine.

Neither is the Proverbs verse another source of Arianism's.

And Why?

They are Messianic prophecies whose Root is John 1:1

And both prophecies present the necessity for that temporary **"Heavenly Trio"** from the timeframe of Bethlehem = the monogenes theos into humanity =Gen 3:15 with Luke 1:35

and then to

Rev 22:17 -

when the spirit and bride say "come"

Therefore, when one sees – the "Heavenly Trio" –

Notice what this expression is not

a) NOT Trinitarian or a Triune Gdhd

is for - the express purpose

b) 'calling many sons to glory'. <u>Heb</u> 2:10-17

And with that summation of the purpose of the '**Heavenly Trio**' then comes the question – So, how do you prepare for the 'abomination of desolation' the 1290 days of Matt 24:15 that includes the false Christ's and false prophets of Matt 24:24?

Well, God has set it out

it's all about the First Angels warning in the context of the Alpha & Omega = John 1:1, Revelation 22:13,16

and that is the preparation as set out by Gd which is to prepare for the warning which HWM said would deceive, if possible, the very elect!

So how do you prepare?

You have to return to the First Angels Warning of Revelation 14 of the command to worship HWM

Why?

FOUR FUNDAMENTAL DICTUMS about sonship exist

If God in Old Testament time didn't speak through "sonship" then it is in New Testament times that he speaks through 'sonship' based on FOUR fundamental SONSHIP dictums.

- 1. A child is born, a son, is given ISAIAH 9
- 2. Son of God according to the flesh, according to the seed of David Romans 1:3
- 3. Jesus words, I am the Alpha and Omega, the offspring of David. Rev 22:13,16
- 4. Psalm 110:1-4 "David's Lord" was already present alongside Eternal # 1 prior to the decree of the 'sent rod' which issued forth and would be fulfilled

and the difficult third verse.

110 The Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

² The Lord shall send the rod of thy strength out of Zion: rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.

³ Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauties of holiness from the womb of the morning: thou hast the dew of thy youth.

⁴ The Lord hath sworn, and will not repent, Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

Time to discuss PSALMS 110

in its rightful context

of the Alpha & Omega

With the four Biblical Dictums of sonship, it is now imperative to discuss **Psalms 110**

Psalm 110:3 and The Translators

There are translators whose commentaries <u>here</u> on Psa 110 "float-away" with the poetic genre and miss the

uniqueness of the application of the entrance of the monogenes theos of John 1 –

- into humanity -

by applied metaphors and their connections to

the 'decree' of Psalm 2:7

= Romans 1:3.

Also, it must be kept in mind -

the credal doctrines of the Trinity and

the 1 gd Arian Neo Platonist concepts - makes

Psalm110:3 very difficult to translate,

let alone make any sense.

It's the same with **Proverbs 8** and the metaphor of wisdom.

What the misapplications do - is this -

they leave a series of big problems, rendering any sound conclusion concerning Melchizedek impossible;

no wonder why so many stumble unto ignorance,

to the purpose of the **Order of Melchizedek** = Psa 110:4 and how that applies to Heaven's genius

of the **Alpha & Omega.** Revelation 1:8,11; 21:6; 22:13

Get that wrong = no Key of Davd and everything otherwise = A&O of deadly heresy = doctrines of devils and before cringing yes that is contrary to the vast popular opinions handed down by the traditions of the elders.

But all the same – you have to explain John 1:1 in light of Psalm 110:1-4

Psalm 110:3

YALDUT

ײַלְדֻתֶּי דְ :	טַל	יָ ל ָדָ	מִשְׁחֵֻר	זם
yǎl·dǚv·tê'·kā	^{ָּנְאַן} ׳	^{ו•kַ} ā'	miš·ḥār'	mē∙r
יַלְדוּת • אַתָּה	טַל	לְ• אַּתָּה	מִשְׁחָר	⊐Ü
yǎl·dût' · 'ǎt·tāh'	țăl'	l · 'ăt∙tāh'	miš∙ḥār'	min' ·
youth · you	dew	to · you	dawn	from
youth your	the dew of	[is] to \cdot you	[the] dawn	from · [th
NCFSC · RS2MS	NC-SC	P \cdot RS2MS	NC-SA	P · N

Psa 110:3

³Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauties of holiness from the womb of the

- 4 -

morning: thou hast the dew of thy youth.

In Psa 110:3 **Yaldut** mistranslated as <u>begotten</u>, and the <u>NCB</u> when in fact is Child of Isa 9:6, and would verily be proven in its completeness of the Condescension –

and so, Psa 110:3 has to be aligned with John 1:14, as well as from Isaiah 9:6-7

child born, son is given.

Right?

Psalm 110:1-4 has to set the stage for Psalm 2:7.

Psalm 110:1-4 fulfills Romans 1:3

and Psalm 2:7 fulfills

Romans 1:4

Acts 13:30-33

³⁰ But God raised him from the dead: ³¹ and he was seen for many days of them that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people.

³² And we bring you good tidings of the promise made unto the fathers, ³³ that God hath fulfilled the same unto our children, in that he raised up **Jesus**; as also it is written in the second psalm, ^[a]Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.

COMMENT

Acts 13 records that **Jesus** in His Omega presence refers to Psalm 2 and <u>not</u> Christ, or pre-incarnate Christ in His Alpha state, and so Acts 13 as to who is referred to as the son in the second Psalm establishing the correct meaning of **YALDUT** in Psa 110:3

³ Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauties of holiness from the womb of the morning: thou hast the dew of thy youth.

What is the correct meaning for the words - "womb" "begotten" and "morning" in the context of thy youth = **YALDUT** in Psalm 110:3?

The matter of **YALDUT** in Psalm 110:3 shows commentators such as <u>Sammy</u> <u>Shameonu</u> **are clearly wrong** using - 6 -

Psalm 2 and Psalm 110 – attempting to prove 'begotten' **prior** to the Incarnation; as well as begotten, all the while Two Divines are holding a conversation, hich is ludicrous reasoning.

It's the **same Omega of deadly heresy problem** that leaves everyone unprepared for awareness of the First Angels warning in its fullest context

and so - they, are

applying the Omega begotten in

humanity scenario

upon the Alpha scenario.

And in Christ's Alpha identity,

there was no beginning.

As you have seen - The simplest things of Scripture like the Incarnation and Christ is the Alpha & Omega exists

and are to be cherished;

yet the commentators are contorted with presuppositions and assumptions of the church Fathers s in a Trinity or 1 gd and that bias means they could not apply the analysis of 'yadult' from Psalm 110 correctly in context

AND WHY?

Because Psa 110:1-4 is answerable only to

- Psa 2:7,
- o Rom 1:4 &
- o Rev 22:16

but also, for the fact, the context in Psa 110:1-4

features the A&O and Eternal Righteousness.

And Here is the problem.

The Trinity doctrine campaigners persist to link Psa 2:7 as a preincarnation text and they also want to apply the "dawn and womb" of Psalm 110: to Neo Platonism concept

but the problem is

that Acts 13

gets in the way by association the matter of "begotten",

in Psalm 2:7 with Romans 1:4.

"dawn and morning and youth"

The "dawn and morning and youth" apply to John 1:14 = light coming into the

world from the womb, as well as Romans 1:4,

resurrection morning and Romans 1 verse 4

relates contextually to the **Order of Melchizedek after** the resurrection as seen in Hebrews 6:19-20.

Seems that the LXX translation has the problem in relation to the interpretation of Psalm 110:3.

The Peshitta translation presents it best

'according to' the previous link provided.

Thy people ^[a]offer themselves willingly In the day of thy ^[b]power, ^[c]in holy array:

Out of the womb of the morning ^[d]Thou hast the dew of thy youth.

American Standard Version Public domain

Sammy Shameonu's use of pre-incarnate begetting is based on wrong [papal Neoplatonist] use of Psalm 2:7 and wrong use of Psalm 110 :4 = Order of Melchizedek; and

since the key to unlocking the former, with the latter,

is the contextual time frame of verse 4 = Hebrews 6:19-20.

Psalm 2:7's contextual timeframe is based on Acts 13:

Acts 13

³⁰ But God raised him from the dead: ³¹ and he was seen for many days of them that came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are now his witnesses unto the people. ³² And we bring you good tidings of the promise made unto the fathers, ³³ that God hath fulfilled the same unto our children, in that he raised up **Jesus**; as also it is written in the second psalm, ^[a]Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.

The use of **Jesus** in Acts 13 is important because it doesn't say Christ = Alpha and since the common people heard Him gladly in Mark 12,

it means the same issue of HWM's eternal identity and being the Messiah, = God-man

The Deal Breaker

But of course what is not to be forgotten - the Pharisees resented the

-7-

common people understanding theology and then came the deal breaker

--- which was over Dan 7:13 ----

and was the ultimate for Caiaphas to vent his outrage for blasphemy against HWM in Matt 26 and it also means back then-

the issue was over Alpha & Omega – it existed then,

and exits today;

and exists at the end

during the Abomination of Desolation

= the 1290 days of Matt 24:15.

The reason that this is correct is because the common people of <u>Mark 12:35-37</u> understood the EQUALITY of the Messiah with HWM there and then. The others did not.

Jesus totally reversed the understanding of Messiah Ben David.

There is **no such thing as an emanated sonship** since the Messiah was seen as the son of David and He was Davids Lord.

This is recorded in Mark 12.

Psalm 110's Divine Conversation does not reveal subservience; but Divine Equality as presented in Philippians 2:5-7. As well as the fact that the pre-existent conversation defines Melchizedek

and the order of Melchizedek and is defined by the womb, before dawn which reveals the YALDUT = child that is born, the son that is given.

= Romans 1:3-4.

The dawn = Omega, not the Alpha scenario.

There is no other explanation as to why the common people in <u>Mark 12:35-37</u> rejoiced.

Why?

It could only be the equality factor as Messiah, being prior to David;

but then the emanationists stepped in by placing the deadly heresy

emanation scenario

prior to the Alpha - thus making

it an **Omega of sonship in** eternity

which is false - it's the Alpha & Omega of deadly heresy.

Two things –

- 1) The obliteration of HWM Eternal Identity - who was Gd and was with Gd – John 1:1 and
- 2) destroys the root of RxF which is ERxF.

What about this?

It then **destroys** the First Angels warning of Revelation 14.

The true Alpha & Omega of John 1:1,14

is confirming it is impossible for any of the four dictums of sonship to exist till the dawn and morning of youth = Bethlehem.

Same as Melchizedek –

no mother father no beginning of days = Heb 7:3.

But what about the sealing time of Revelation 7?

That will be discussed later.

YALDUTH of Psalm 110 brings the **FOUR dictums of sonship** into conformity with the Eternal Verity of Christ being the Alpha & Omega

as well as Acts 13, which = Romans 1:4.

--)-----Swift Messenger --)-----

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer. Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen. Email: <u>maninlinen@protonmail.com</u>

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA. In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas</u>

Any portion of WWN–Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".