

# **Key of David**

## The Alpha and Omega of deadly heresy prior to EGW and Harvey Kellogg

The emanationists over at Laodicea don't realize that Laodicea's emanationism from the historic perspective appears to fall into line with the Arian view = a created being who is assigned all the prerogatives of God; whereas the Laodicean "Eternal son" Trinitarian advocates, have an eternal son - who was begotten eternally, not created or so they say.

The 'key' they say to understanding this heresy is that Christ the Logos always existed as Son and they say he is "also god" = eternally existent son; yet the organization from which Laodicea borrowed the theology of the Eternal Son = Nicaean Creed states that Jesus Christ was begotten prior to the ages.

That arrangement was in order to distance themselves from the Arians and so they say "begotten, not created".

This latter statement (prior to the ages) presents a point in time with regard to the 'begetting process' since it assigns a point in time and at the same time, they state that he is the Eternal Son; and that, in and of itself presents a massive contradiction — since, if the material world wasn't created at that point in time, then the 'thought' = word, had not yet issued forth.

The issuing forth is an offspring and still has a beginning - whichever way you want to slice the proverbial theological pie.

It is a classic phrase that comes from the teachings of Neo Platonism which have nothing to do with the Bible.

Yet the early Catholic Church fathers were heavily influenced by the teachings of Philo of Alexandria a Jewish Neoplatonist scholar who lived around 20 BCE- 50 CE.

The last statement (begotten prior to the ages) can only be from Neo Platonism - which states that the void of the Universe prior to all created matter issued forth a **Thought or logos** and in turn this thought or **logos created the material world.** 

#### This is stated both in

the Catholic and Eastern Orthodox creeds and amazingly spiritually consummated in 28 FB's # 2.4.

#### **Point in time**

The 'Begetting' had to take place at some point in time and they themselves provide the answer which was "prior to the ages" which implies prior to the time of all created matter.

If, created matter came into being, this would in turn provide evidence for the commencement of the ages and would imply that prior to this- there was no Logos - since the world of matter was not created and therefore there was no "thought" that emanated from the supposed void of the Universe which came to be personalized or personified as the Father.

As previously stated, there is no difference between the Eternal Son advocates and the Arians both are stating the <u>same thing</u> in different ways. And yeh, it's in 28 FBs 2,4.

So, in this last instance the 'prior to the ages' statement is defined from the time the logos had emanated as a thought or clone. The fact that he was labelled as an "eternal son" was because - it was the 'thought' that contained the essence of

the Father's being and therefore an eternal son.

Whereas the **Arians** believe that a created being was given all the prerogatives of god, as well. As Waggoner and Jones related from 1888 fame – said Christ was born twice contrary to John 1:1, Isa 9:6. For example

Not only so, but in Him all things were created. He is the first-born of all creation; when He was begotten, "in the days of eternity," all things were virtually created, because all creation is in Him. The substance of all creation, and the power by which all things should be made to appear, were in Christ. This is a statement of the mystery that only the mind of God can comprehend. {1900 EJW, EVCO 26.9}

#### **Pantheism and Personalization**

Neoplatonism - as a pagan system of belief, is **Pantheistic** and was and continues to be a theological advocate for Universe religion.

Meaning that the Universe had two forms of god. The first, is the empty void; and the second, is the Logos or thought which is referred to as Noos that created the rest of the material world.

**Personalization** deals with the fact that the early Catholic Church fathers got caught up with the teachings of Neoplatonism and personalized this Pantheism by redefining the **empty void**  **Universe** as the <u>Father</u> and the **Material Universe** as the <u>logos</u> that issued forth as a thought from the Void universe.

That is the way the Creed of the Catholic and Orthodox churches define the matter of the "Begotten son of God".

#### So, what does the Bible have to say?

It states just the opposite of both -

since in John 1:1 it is stated that the Word was present "in beginning". Nowhere does John 1:1 state that the Logos had emanated from the Father as some type of clone.

John said "In Beginning was the Word". Always existent in an Eternal Transcendental state alongside God, and was God.

The book of John - chapter 1 - clearly presents the Everlasting God aspect of the Word by stating that he was present "in Beginning" a Transcendental period of Time <u>and not</u> as the Creeds teach as an emanation from the father, prior to the ages.

John 1 states that the Word= Christ was alongside God and was God. There is no hint of emanationism as seen in the Catholic and Orthodox Creeds when compared to the statement in John 1:1. That is why we can say with confidence

that the early Catholic fathers personalized the teaching of Neoplatonism, <u>hiding the fact</u> that it was originally Pantheistic.

Whereas the Key of David states that he, the Logos, was always an "Everlasting Father" prior to the incarnation.

#### **Isaiah 9:6-7**

The **Key of David** recognizes the <u>Everlasting God</u> aspect of this 'child to be born' as well 'as son to be given' prior to the child being born.

This is defined by way of the names given prior to being born and declared the son of God since a son is also given.

Prior to the child being born and a son being given is the context of Isaiah 9:6-7 and Psalm 110:1-4 which = John 1:1 alongside Romans 1:3 which completes the Alpha and Omega scenarios of Revelation 22:13-16.

**Wonderful** = Angel of the Lord, and it is recorded Manoah Samson's dad encountered the Angel of the Lord and asked his name and the Angel responded, "is my name too Wonderful?

**Counsellor** = 2 Corinthians 3:16-17. The Holy Spirit is Jesus Christ New Testament identity as Allos= another of the same

category of Jesus or another comforter of the same category of Jesus yet distinct. Just as the Holy Spirit appeared to Moses in the Burning Bush but was in fact the Angel of the Lord. Everlasting Father = Eternally existent and creator of all things.

As well a "El Gibbur" = Mighty God.

It is a clear fact that the 'Angel of the Lord' in the Old Testament is clearly defined as being God by way of various texts - but especially Exodus 3.

And this "two powers" concept was known back in the 2nd century before Christ but when Jesus arrived on the scene - it was rejected by the establishment.

## The interchangeable nature of the Two Eternal Fathers that send:

In presenting it this way – but notice - Zechariah 3 which was prior to Revelation 4 - presents it as - the 'Angel of the Lord' - sending the Messiah. [vs 5,6,8]

<sup>5</sup> And I said, Let them set a fair mitre upon his head. So they set a fair mitre upon his head, and clothed him with garments. And the angel of the Lord stood by.

<sup>6</sup> And the angel of the Lord protested unto Joshua, saying,

<sup>8</sup> Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou, and thy fellows that sit before thee: for they are men wondered at: for, behold, I will bring forth my servant the Branch.

The Lord that sends = **Eternal Father #**1 as depicted in <u>Revelation 4</u>, the next scene, the Lord that sends = **Eternal Father # 2** as depicted in <u>Zechariah 3:8-9</u> and the third = <u>Malachi 3</u> since the Lord of Hosts is speaking and the Lord of Hosts = former glory of Jesus Christ prior to the Incarnation is speaking,

and will be the one that sends John the Baptist - as well as the Messenger of the Covenant that arrives at the Temple in Jerusalem which is Himself speaking in the former glory of Jesus Christ.

3 Behold, I send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord, whom ye seek, will suddenly come to his temple; □and the □messenger of the covenant, whom ye desire, behold, he cometh, saith YHWH of hosts.

'YHWH' of Hosts or 'Lord of Hosts' is speaking here and Isaiah was told in chapter 6 that this was the glory of Christ prior to the Incarnation.

#### Now let us go to John 12:

These things spake Jesus, and he departed and whid himself from

them. <sup>37</sup> But though he had done so many signs before them, yet they believed not on him: <sup>38</sup> that the word of Isaiah the prophet might be fulfilled, which he spake,

Lord, who hath believed our report? And to whom hath the arm of the Lord been revealed?

<sup>39</sup> For this cause they could not believe, for that Isaiah said again,

He hath blinded their eyes, and he hardened their heart; Lest they should see with their eyes, and perceive with their heart, And should turn, And I should heal them.

<sup>41</sup> These things said Isaiah, because he saw his glory; and he spake of him.

#### In Malachi 3

3 Behold, I send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord, whom ye seek, will suddenly come to his temple; and the messenger of the covenant, whom ye desire, behold, he cometh, saith YHWH of hosts. But who can abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner's fire, and like fullers' soap: and he will sit as a refiner and purifier of silver, and he will purify the sons of Levi, and refine them as gold and silver; and they shall offer unto YHWH offerings in righteousness.

This in fact is saying that the **Lord of Hosts** is sending John the Baptist and Jesus Christ - who himself is the **Angel Messenger** of the Covenant that would appear in the Temple and attempt to cleanse it in the form of a conditional prophecy.

The outcome was revealed in <u>Matthew 23</u> with the House being **left desolate**.

But, the human genetics of Jesus Christ were **not** present when the Lord of Hosts = Christ himself dictated the prophecy. It would be himself coming in the flesh of humanity as a third entrant.

## This is what needs to be understood.

There were never "3" in the heavens as believed by the Trinitarians since John 1:1 as well as the Holy Spirit overshadowing Mary – Luke 1 presents the concept of the original **Eternal Two fathers** –

and later the arrival of the **third entrant** being born in human flesh but having the Spirit = Christ himself as his father = Isa 9:6 as well as Eternal Father # 1.

#### Isaiah 48

<sup>16</sup>Come ye near unto me, hear ye this; from the beginning I have not spoken in secret; from the time that it was, there am I: and now the Lord YHWH hath sent me, and his Spirit.

https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=lsaiah+48%3A16&version=KJ21

Here is the scenario that we see at Baptism why?

Because of Revelation 5.

The **Lamb** with 'marks of slaughter' that has the '7 Spirits of God' = an inclusive relationship of Jesus with the Holy Spirit - which is Himself in another form.

<u>2 Corinthians 3:16-17</u> states that "the Lord is the Spirit".

#### **ALLOS / HETEROS**

The Trinitarians try to make the **Holy Spirit** a <u>heteros</u> - a 3rd person, when in fact - **He is the <u>same</u> individual** with the human genetics - as proven by Malachi 3 and Rev 5:6 = Lamb, with marks of slaughter that has the 7 eyes = 7 Spirits of God.

The word for the Holy Spirit as being "another Comforter"

= Allos Parakletos = John 14:16.

'Another' In the Greek is <u>Allos</u> and it implies that the Holy Spirit is of the <u>same</u> category of Jesus Christ, but distinct.

Whereas Heteros implies that the Holy Spirit is a totally different third person which is what the Trinitarians believe.

#### YHWH of hosts

and the Lord, **whom ye seek**, will suddenly come to his temple; <sup>[a]</sup> and the <sup>[b]</sup> messenger of the covenant, whom ye desire, behold, he cometh, saith YHWH of hosts.

American Standard Version public domain

**YHWH of hosts** is Isaiah 6 whom John 12 states was **Jesus Christs former glory** - as the "Lord of Hosts" prior to the Incarnation.

The Lord and the Spirit send the Messiah = The order of Revelation 4. And as you know 2 Corinthians 3:16-17 states that the Lord Jesus Christ is the Spirit.

2 Corinthians 3:16-17 states that "the Lord is the Spirit".

## The interchangeable nature of John 1:1

Notice that "in beginning was the Word". The verse is not presenting Eternal father number one for a specific purpose and that purpose = the Exaltation of the Word as an Everlasting father.

Later, Eternal father #2 is referenced as being alongside Eternal father #1.

The context of the lowered position of the Word contextually begins from verse 14. "And the Word became flesh and dwelt amongst us". This contextually aligns with Isaiah 9:6-7 "a Child being born a Son given."

As well as with Romans 1:3, Son of God according to the flesh according to the seed of David.

## Is there an "Eternal Son doctrine" based on Hebrews 1?

8 but of the Son he saith,

And the sceptre of uprightness is the sceptre of wthy kingdom.

Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity;
Therefore God, thy God, hath anointed thee

With the oil of gladness above thy fellows.

<u>Psalm 110:1-4</u> resolves this conundrum by correctly presenting in verses 1-4 the phrase the Order of Melchizedek.

110

The Lord said unto my Lord,
Sit thou at my right hand,
until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

<sup>2</sup>The Lord shall send the rod of thy strength out of Zion: rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.

<sup>3</sup> Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauties of holiness from the womb of the morning: thou hast the dew of thy youth.

<sup>4</sup>The Lord hath sworn, and will not repent, Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

If the Messiah was sitting at the right hand of God - as depicted in Psalm 110:1 then this psalm which was used by Jesus in Mark 12 to confirm that the Messiah was not in reality the son of David - as believed by the religious teachers, was in fact "David's Lord" meaning that he existed prior to David.

The important detail of **sitting at the right hand** is revealed in John 1:1. David's Lord was Christ in John 1:1 sitting at the right hand of God and was God.

## Now consider what Paul stated in Hebrews 7:1-3

**7** For this Melchizedek, king of Salem, priest of God Most High, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings and blessed him, <sup>2</sup> to whom also Abraham divided a tenth part of all (being first, by interpretation, King of righteousness, and then also King of

Salem, which is, King of peace; <sup>3</sup> without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God), abideth a priest continually.

The sitting at the right hand of God without beginning of days nor end of life is the Melchizedek who appeared to Abraham and who is none other than Christ himself –

## who was never a Son - prior to the Incarnation

but was in fact Melchizedek.

There **never** was any sonship in reference to Melchizedek - since Paul stated that he had no father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life. John 1:1 states the same thing in reference to the Eternal Two.

John 1:1 makes absolutely no reference to Personifications like fathers or mothers or sons or daughters, John just describes and defines the Two separate and Independent Individual Beings, self-existent and ever existent - that do not derive life from one another.

#### **Psalm 110**

The only thing that we are not told in Psalm 110 is that verse 1 is in fact Melchizedek since in the place where the Two Divines sat, there were no human genetics in reference either of them.

It is only from the context of verse 2

<sup>2</sup>The Lord shall send the rod of thy strength out of Zion: rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.

the sending of **the rod** that rules over his enemies **is when sonship comes into play** as in the "incarnation"

and verse 3

<sup>3</sup> Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauties of holiness from the womb of the morning: thou hast the dew of thy youth.

references the virgin birth in poetic terms and then finally the revelation of the Order of Melchizedek

<sup>4</sup>The Lord hath sworn, and will not repent, Thou art a priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

whose main difference is human genetics acquired from the time that Mary was overshadowed by the Holy Spirit.

That is when the human genetics or the order of Melchizedek came into play. This then fulfilled what Paul had written in reference to Melchizedek in **Hebrews 7:3** in the latter part of the verse.

3 without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God), abideth a priest continually.

#### Psalm 110

YHWH saith unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand,

### Until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

<sup>2</sup>YHWH will asend forth the brod of thy strength out of Zion: Rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.

<sup>3</sup>Thy people coffer themselves willingly In the day of thy draw; willingly In the day of the womb of the morning Thou hast the dew of thy youth.

<sup>4</sup>YHWH hath sworn, and will not repent: Thou art a priest for ever After the gray order of Melchizedek

#### **Conclusion**

When Laodicea uses the **God the eternal** son to prove Hebrews 1 which is a reference to the Son, being greater than the universe, they don't realize that prior to sonship there was Melchizedek - as David's Lord = Mark 12 and after the Incarnation he became the Son of David or Order of Melchizedek.

After rising from the dead - Jesus Christ retook his former glory of Melchizedek and then applied it to his human genetics as stated in <u>Hebrews 6:19-20</u>

<sup>19</sup> which we have as an anchor of the soul, *a hope* both sure and stedfast and entering into that which is within the veil; <sup>20</sup> whither as a forerunner Jesus entered for us, having become a high priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.



The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <a href="www.5agendas.com">www.5agendas.com</a> Man in Linen videos: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas">https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas</a>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".