

Alpha & Omega

Of deadly heresies

Lesson 2, 3 – *Oct 5-18, 2024

Signs of Divinity

https://www.adultbiblestudyguide.org/assets/public/files/lessons/2 024/4Q/SE/PDFs/EAQ424 02.pdf

The Bible is clear that Jesus Christ is **the eternal Son**, one with the

Father, underived and uncreated. Jesus is the One who created

all that was made (John 1:1–3). Thus, **Jesus** has always existed;

there never was a time when He didn't exist. Though Jesus came to

this world and took upon Himself our humanity, He always kept His

divinity. And at specific times, Jesus said and did things that revealed this divinity.

The back Story:

The Prologue

https://www.adultbiblestudyguide.org/assets/public/files/lessons/2024/4Q/TE/PDFs/ETQ42403.pdf

Memory text

"In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God" (John 1:1).

When on examines the memory text for the worldwide SS Lesson #3 which is John 1:1 and then compares back to Lesson 2 and the opening paragraph – you are greeted with a huge anomaly of eternal proportions. This is not an overstatement.

Lesson 2 is following the Nicene Creed formulation of Christ which = FB #4 OFFICIAL DOCTRINE. John 1 does not say anything that Lesson 2s opening paragraph is stating.

The initial reaction one should reasonably expect is the memory text emphasis is the core of the subject of the lesson and therefore is to address the Godhead.

The sneaky part of this lesson quarterly is the papal terminology of Trinity is not used. Likely to be by design because the issue of the Trinity in the NAD is a festering problem for the theology dept, pastors, elders and laity at large. Though of course would not admit and rather not so say.

Back Story?

What is important is getting the "Back Story" of the Prologue Christ's divinity correct.

FBs 2,4 are not the Back Story regardless of a slew of texts presented.

Wrong back story wrong assumption and everything is papal.

Ask yourself -

How can <u>FBs 2,4</u> be correct all the while using Papists Credal theology of the Triune God <u>and</u> **God the Eternal Son heresy**. = The central doctrine of the Little Horn?

This has been discussed in depth in this series of A&O. here

In other words, get the wrong "back story" as is the case with FBs 2,4 and this new SSQ you are meddling with Papal mysticism which has been so successful people do not know they are cherishing the Alpha & Omega of DEADLY HERESIES and since Lesson 2 is applied unto John 1:1 and you the laity then have serious spiritualism problems - especially when you are parroting the 1 gd and it is full blown mysticism = the Omega of Deadly Heresies.

This should not be surprising, just as EGW said

"The Omega will follow and will be received" – here

Summing up the matter of 4Q Lesson 2 & 3 –

The wise will recognise the following:

- Assumed error of 3 In Beginning, (John 1:1) which sets the stage for the Trinity since the "Eternal son" is the root of the many differences in the Trinity.
- 2. There is no emanation decreeing sonship in the Alpha or Eternal sonship in John 1:1.
- 3. Sonship is presented as a dictum in Romans 1:3 whose contextual time frame is John 1:14
- 4. All other verses pertaining to preincarnate sonship are false assumptions.
- 5. There is no uniquely begotten aspect in pre-incarnate sonship since the requirement requires a union of the Divine Spirit with human genetics. Pre-incarnate sonship

does not follow the dictum of Romans 1:3 as well as Jesus definition of this dictum as in Revelation 22:13-16.

- pre-incarnate sonship violates the requisites of the Order of Melchizedek.
- = No mother or Father, No beginning of days nor end of Life and made like unto the Son of God.

the latter being confirmed in Romans 1:3 and Jesus Alpha Omega Statement, (Rev 22) the latter being the offspring of David.

Conclusion

John 1:1 - Defines a duality of Two Individual Beings both being Eternal Fathers

Eternal # 2 = Christ and is in fact the Holy Spirit

based on the word "allos" as well as

2 Corinthians 3:16-17 which contradicts the Trinity

The Trinity also states that the Son is not the Father and vice versa Jesus clearly stated that he is the "I am" and Isaiah defines the child that is born and son given as an Eternal Father # 2.

And John 14:9 and Psalm 110:2 place the finishing touches on the matter.

The Messiah proceeds from the Father as the "Sent Rod" after their conversation in John 1:1

The statement of <u>Psalm 2</u> of being "begotten on this day" was fulfilled in <u>Acts 13:30-33</u> and involved <u>Romans 1:4</u>.

The vehicle of the Incarnation consists of The Lord of Hosts = Angel of the Lord lowering

himself into the slave form of human genetics. = Philippians 2

Conclusion

Zecharia 3:7-9 reveals that the Lord of Hosts aka "Angel of the Lord" sends the "Branch" Messiah.

The Son or Branch Messiah - proceeds from himself as the Lord of Hosts = Everlasting father # 2 since Isaiah 6 and John 12:37-41 state that the Lord of Hosts was the former glory of Jesus Christ as Logos, also God.

The vehicle for his descent was the Holy Spirit being the Lord of Hosts himself in another form.

Just as Jesus spoke about himself in relation to the Holy Spirit using the word "Allos" meaning as the same category as himself but distinct and not **Heteros** which implies a totally different

individual.

This is contrary to the Trinity which states that the son is not the Allos and vice versa.

BECAUSE the SSQ lesson denigrates the Eternal origins of HWM by saying as the Little Horn says — Christ was God the Eternal Son = FB #4 then it comes to this

This is a terrible abrogation of John 1:1-3 and in fact when you eventually realise the error is so great, that it achieves Isa 14:14 only then will you

³⁰ But God raised him from the dead:

³¹ And he was seen many days of them which came up with him from Galilee to Jerusalem, who are his witnesses unto the people.

³² And we declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers,

³³ God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children, in that he hath raised up Jesus again; as it is also written in the second psalm, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.

³ Concerning his Son Jesus Christ our Lord, which was made of the seed of David according to the flesh;

⁴And declared to be the Son of God with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead:

realise it is in its own category of blasphemy and an utter abomination, and what does that mean? Huh? What?

Well - It means you cannot give the First Angels warning at the time of the Loud Cry and this SSQ joins the chorus of voices giving unity of the one gd, the common faith of the WCC in the Trinity and God Eternal Son regardless of quoting John 1:1. FB 2,4 and saying you believe otherwise. It means your mouth is full of GUILE and uncleansed in the DoA.

This abomination = A&O of deadly heresies is a very deadly heresy and has you to dispute UNWILLINGLY and unknowingly the eternal Righteousness of HWM = Rev 13:8 even after He said He was A&O - Root and OFFSPRING of David in Rev 22.

CONCLUSION

Means you do not have the Key of David

KoD not given to Laodicea
but Phillies
see Rev 3

--)------Swift Messenger --)----- The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com Man in Linen videos: https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".