


“Watchman,  
what of the night?”

“The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,  
the hour and the end!” Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



# Alpha & Omega

Of deadly heresies

**God in His great mercy sent?**

SSQ Lesson 3

*\*Oct 12-18, 2024*

John 1:1

“In the beginning was the Word, and the  
Word was with God,  
and the Word was God” (John 1:1).

It must be said from the commencement  
of this discussion – “The Lord in His great  
mercy sent a most precious message”  
two times previously –

- 1) 1888 the message of RxF [\[here\]](#)  
and
- 2) in 1980 the fulfilment of the  
Gospel having gone to the nations  
with fulfilment of Luke 21:24 [\[here\]](#)  
and now

3) to His people with this week's SSL concerning the Doctrine of God. [Oct 2024 [here](#)]

So, each and every one of you, if you have eyes to see and ears to hear, should remove every distraction and pay attention.

Because Egw did say -**The track of truth lies close beside the track of error, and both tracks may seem to be one to minds** which are not worked by the Holy Spirit, and which, therefore, **are not quick to discern the difference between truth and error.** [{Here}](#)

Ironically, this counsel about the track of truth /track of error was a special warning about MYSTICISM of the Alpha of deadly heresies. But because there is SUBTLE ERROR woven into this week's SSL -yes, even without using the controversial terminology of the Trinity of the RCC they never once disputed **God the eternal Son.**

The editorial staff for this SSL have removed the lightning rod of controversy in respect to any reference to the Triune Trinity but still included the root of that doctrine ~ **God the eternal Son.**

What was Gods mercy?

This lesson however, provided enough information for every minister, all the way down to the laity to see what comprises the **Omega of Deadly** Heresies that Egw warned and trembled.

For those struggling to figure it out, the Omega of Deadly Heresies it's **Painted in yellow** for those with eyes to see, whose hearts have not waxed fat.

### **SDA Trinitarian Christians = FB 2,4.**

But regardless of the cosmetic theological adjustments that have been applied to tackle a huge controversy in Advenitism today – the GDHD - the thing that hasn't changed and this means you still have **SDA Trinitarian Christians = FB 2,4.**

So, the issue has not been fully addressed. Right?

Read on to find out why EGW said in 1892 – one would be in "peril".

Must read [here](#).

### **SDA Arian Christians = FB 4**

Further, The Two Messengers of 1888 while presenting a most precious message of Christ and His Righteousness, in fact wove into that precious message the emanated sonship of Greek Philosopher Plato who is mentioned on Mondays Lesson and so you have **SDA Arian Christians.**

And [FB 4](#) – **God the eternal Son** is their stronghold who feel very safe in the teaching of Christ and His Righteousness from **1888** and the “Father Son” motif because they don’t tolerate the Triune Trinity.

Sounds ridiculous right?

Nope, Its true.

## Forewarning 1

Have you begun to notice [FB 2,4](#) are huge theological problem existing in the most precious message?? Fact is – The Trinity and God **the eternal Son** –is contrary to John 1:1.

## Forewarning 2

If you miss the simplicity of the memory text - John 1:1 – in what it says and not says, and have not yet realised its connection to First Angel of Rev 14 which is not mentioned in the SSL then is John 1:1 text correctly seated in your memory. Egw was correct you will be in peril.

The SSL

In the beginning the Divine Logos

In the phrase “the Word was with God,” the term “God” has the definite article, thus, pointing to a particular individual,

the Father. And the Word was with the Father.

**In the phrase, “and the Word was God,” the term “God” does not have the article, which, in this setting, points to the characteristics of divinity. Jesus is God—not the Father, but He is still the divine Son of God, the second Person of the Godhead.**

## **God the eternal Son** or **Everlasting Father #2?**

Which?

**Isaiah 9:6-7** Child born, son given and Isaiah defines that Child born is Everlasting Father #2.

John said - The Word [Ho Logos] was also God, since there was no uniquely begotten aspect in the heavens involving human genetics prior to the incarnation. This confirmed by Paul in **Romans 1:3**.

John in **John 14:9** = Jesus states that he is the Father as well.

Or don’t you believe Jesus claiming to be also the Everlasting Father as is confirmed in Isaiah 9:6? Hello?

## **True Sonship**

True Sonship is established from Bethlehem, not **in eternity as God the eternal Son**. Fact is, the true Omega

perspective is from Romans 1:3 and Isa 9:6.

## **True Sonship is determined from Hebrews 7**

How?

Melchizedek had no father or mother, therefore the statement in Hebrews 7:1-3 is in relation to **Sonship in the Omega** and the "son creator" of the worlds is based on the Order of Melchizedek which ties Jesus pre-existent nature to Melchizedek.

Since the Order of Melchizedek prior to the Incarnation was Melchizedek himself, which was the **Alpha scenario** - involving no father or mother genealogy or beginning of days nor end of life.

Whereas the Omega scenario entailed genealogy [genetics of Mary] **as well as** the final prerequisite of rising from the dead as in Romans 1:4. = Order of Melchizedek.

**From the following questions – there is sufficient information to establish the Omega of Deadly Heresies still exists in the SSL.**

**How does the Eternal son doctrine differ from Jesus' own Alpha Omega statement in Revelation 22:13?**

## **A simple question, opens the topic of John 1:1.**

What is the difference between an emanated logos

And the existent Logos?

Where are they located?

Or where does each stem from?

How do each relate to the First Angel?

How do the emanated and existent logos relate to the

First angel's message?

How do the emanated and existent logos relate to Isaiah

9:6-7

How do both relate to Romans 1:3 Hebrews 7:1-3 or John 14:9?

How do the requirements of the Order of Melchizedek differ from the emanated logos?

How does the ever-existent Logos fulfill the 'Order of Melchizedek' requirements?

**Why do both the SDA Trinitarian Christians and the SDA Arian Christians teach The doctrine of the emanated logos?**

# Revelation 17

## AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH.

### MYSTERY BABYLON THE GREAT THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS

#### Her Abominations and filthiness

#### Imposed upon John 1

#### diminishing the Divinity of Christ

### SITUATION

In a **special sense** Seventh-day Adventists have been set in the world as **watchmen and light-bearers**. To them has been **entrusted** the last warning for a perishing world. On them is shining wonderful light from the Word of God. They have been given a work of the most solemn import, — the proclamation of the first, second, and third angels' messages. There is no other work of so great importance. They are to allow nothing else to absorb their attention. [\[Here\]](#)

Now as you well know - God describes the scarlet woman in Rev 17 in less than flattering terms ... she is ...

arrayed in purple and scarlet colour, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of **abominations** and **filthiness** of her fornication:

And upon her forehead [was] a name written,

### MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS

Rev 17 MYSTERY BABYLON THE GREAT's central doctrine which is the

Trinity and God the eternal Son,

= begotten, not made [Creed] = SDA Trinitarians

and another daughter of the MOTHER has it officially said - the Son was emanated born in eternity [SDA Arian Christians]

but when FB 2,4 are in comparison with John 1:1

you have ventured into the abyss of a huge PROBLEM

when it comes to Christ and His Righteousness

and that grist of the mill is the MOTHER OF HARLOTS being the Trinity doctrine of God and God Eternal Son

it becomes IMPOSSIBLE solving the Omega of Deadly heresies

ALL Despite the importance of the GDHD Doctrine brought out in the SSL and the fact is the Bible proves the Doctrine of God of God is so important to establish the Eternal Identity and therefore the Eternal Righteousness of HWM = Rev 13:8 as to what is the ONLY MEASURE of ALL righteousness and holiness and the First Angels warning ...

Fact remains - Since 1980, with Trinity and God the eternal Son doctrine = FB

2,4 these doctrines remain as official doctrine despite every editorial effort to remove the extremely difficult confirmations of scarlet terminology.

So, what has been presented is the SSL - hasn't changed anything in respect to the **A&O of deadly heresies** - yet God in His great mercy has supplied sufficient information to figure it out.

– but the SSL has deceived the laity to believe Laodicea have a different version of the Trinity (sic)

by giving cosmetic treatment to the doctrine of God to smooth it out there is to be no comparison to MYSTERY BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND THE ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH.

Again, for the most part of the SSL it can be said God in his great mercy has provided enough information to identify the Omega of deadly heresies.

– but at the same time the SSL doesn't change the reality of FB #2,4 that ecumenical unity is far for important = playing the harlot about the GDHD and the SDA church remains officially and in full agreement with

**MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT,  
THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS  
AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE  
EARTH**

when it comes to FB #2 **Trinity** and FB #4 **God the eternal Son**.

It's time to discuss the level of mysticism invoked within the Omega of Deadly heresies that SDAs have gotten herself into ...

1. Why would SDA church have the same Godhead doctrine as the woman known as MBMOH's, and yet dispute she is not one of the MOTHER'S daughters? = Isa 1:21 & [8T250](#) = another must read.
2. Why is it necessary for Gd to use this abominable WOMANs main doctrine about God in Adventism, when God calls her the epitome of filthiness?
3. Why does God explain this mystery of mother / daughter an abomination in Rev 17?

**Concerning MYSTERY BABYLON, THE GREAT MOTHER OF HARLOTS doctrine of God - why is SDA church, with FB 2,4 not in the same theological territory of the Beast -that Was, and is not, and will ascend?**

And this discussion has not even begun to discuss the 8<sup>th</sup> KING of Revelation 17 = the AC and what's install for the unity about the doctrine there is one God the



Triune Trinity of God and God the eternal Son

More questions

That Laodicea is today very inclusive and is it all about acceptance of different segments of Social issues?

Is it 'New movement' oriented as stated by EGW? [\[here\]](#)

What is the logo of Laodicea? [\[here\]](#) Is it BEM? [\[here\]](#)

Is [BEM](#) = Lima Document about unity?

Is unity the opposite of the 3 angels?

Why is the doctrine Gd superimposed on this unity?

Are the 3 wavy lines of the Laodicea logo related to

Other New Age logos? What is the [Omega point](#)

Of the French Jesuit Pierre de Chardin?

## SUMMARY

Why should SDA ministers and elders consider it a privilege to join the Mother of Harlots of Revelation 17 –

because they infer God passes a blind eye over the MOTHER OF HARLOTS central doctrine = the mystery of iniquity - **but only - when it comes to the doctrine of God?**

Why do SDA ministers endorse the OMEGA of deadly heresies FB 4 and not

know it? EGW knew the Omega would follow and "would be received" and she "trembled"? [\[here\]](#)

For those sleeping virgins who say the doctrine of God is beyond reach and too difficult. Well, that's one thing but are they saying it is impossible for God to raise men and women with keen intellect for the glory of God and with the guidance of the Holy Spirit to solve the A&O of deadly heresies?

## FULNESS OF THE GODHEAD

Colossians

2:8 **Beware** lest any man spoil you through philosophy [Plato] and vain deceit, [FB 2,4] after the tradition of men, [MOTHER] after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ.

2:9 For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily.

Paul states that the **fulness of the Godhead** resides

Bodily in the **Omega scenario** which implies that it is also

Present in the **Alpha** as revealed in the memory

Text of John 1:1.

Fact remains –

The Gnostics attacked that "fulness"

In the flesh of Romans 1:3

and the Omega of Deadly heresy attacks the

prerequisites of the Alpha in the Order of Melchizedek

which has to be Root of David or Melchizedek himself.

My people are destroyed [deceived?] for a lack of knowledge?

Mat 24 -

**<sup>24</sup> For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect.**

--)------  
Swift Messenger  
--)------

---

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.  
Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.  
Email: [maninlinen@protonmail.com](mailto:maninlinen@protonmail.com)

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.  
**In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN** (Aust. Edition): [www.Sagendas.com](http://www.Sagendas.com)  
**Man in Linen videos:** <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line — "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".