

Alpha & Omega WE BELIEVE

In Defence of the First Angels warning

We confess that John 1:1 is the TRUE SHEMA DECLARATION for modern Israel in the 21st Century which explains the <u>Great Declaration</u> of Deuteronomy 6:4

and along with that confession: -

We believe that the word "**Elohim**" is plural and relates to the true nature of <u>John 1:1</u> where "in beginning" was a Transcendental era in which the Word always was, and was never begotten, and was in fact eternally existent and equal to God and alongside God prior to the ages for John 1:1 defines **Two Divines** in beginning = <u>en arche.</u>

We base this on Philippians 2:5-7 as well as Hebrews 7:1-3

We believe that prior to the Incarnation there were Two Everlasting Fathers, The Elohim and one of Them lowered Himself by taking the slave form of human genetics see <u>Philippians 2:5-7</u>, John <u>14:7-9</u> and <u>Isaiah 9:6-7</u>

We believe that the Elohim were present in <u>Genesis 1:26</u> when they created man in their image. We do not believe that Angels were somehow related to the Creation process since Angels are not Creators but are in fact

ministering spirits that assist those who will inherit eternal life.

We believe confess that the Revelation of John 1:1 reveals Two (2) Individual Anthropomorphic Beings, who were alongside each other and were Individual Beings with their own form, in space and time and beyond space and time, since the latter were in fact their creations of which King Solomon declared that the highest heaven cannot contain thee. 1 King 8:27

Two Divines

We believe that the original Two Divines John1:1 were not a compound unity but an Association based on agreement and mutual love and understanding.

The best word used to describe this 'oneness' or understanding of Two Divines co-existent in beginning is the word <u>Echad</u> which reveals - one and another, or unified of two or more - as being "<u>one</u>" - just as the multitude at the Tower of Babel <u>Genesis 11</u> aspired to be 'one' in agreement in opposition to God but not as in a singular individual which would be based on the word <u>Yachid</u>. **Yachid** implies a **single** individual.

CASE IN POINT:

Abraham had <u>only one son</u> of the promise. The word for his literal "one son" in Hebrew is <u>Yachid</u> since it was his only son of the promise even though he had Ishmael who wasn't of the promise.

We believe that the one known as the Word -John 1:1 and later was born as 'Jesus Christ' at the incarnation had life unborrowed and underived prior to the incarnation and was inherently Eternally righteous, since he being the Word of God = Spokesperson - as well as, God, - was also the Priest of God and mediatorial intercessor who was known by way of the name Melchizedek of which Paul states in <u>Hebrews</u> <u>7:1-3</u> that he had 'no father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life.' We believe that there are two scenarios in relation to Christ and Jesus Christ.

- Christ had an Eternally transcendent state as declared in John 1:1 "In beginning" constitutes what is being referred to as the ALPHA SCENARIO and
- Christ by lowering himself and being born in humanity as Jesus Christ contextually constitutes the OMEGA SCENARIO or sonship of the seed of David.

Alpha & Omega

We believe that these two scenarios Alpha and Omega (A & O) provide a dictum in which all New Testament references about Christ being equal to the Father or being seen as **Everlasting Father # 2** alongside the statements of Jesus Christ being submissive to the Father and placing himself under the Father is the means of which the nature of Christ, both in the heavens prior to the Incarnation, and on earth after the incarnation, both constitute the Alpha & Omega and therefore the basis for <u>a</u> <u>trueful testimony</u> and solid defence of the First Angel's warning = <u>Revelation 14:6-7</u>.

We believe that the scenario of the Alpha and Omega also explains and provides the basis for the proper understanding of who is Melchizedek and what constitutes the "Order" of this Melchizedek.

We believe that in heaven prior to the Incarnation that Christ = Melchizedek was <u>not</u> a Son in the original scenario of Heaven - which the Bible and Christ Himself reveals as being the Alpha or Root of David scenario of which Jesus spoke about in <u>Mark 12:35-37</u> where he also quoted <u>Psalm 110:1-4</u> – and also where the Order of Melchizedek – <u>here</u> authenticates the Omega scenario in the form of "sonship" of the throne of David in verse 4 of that specific Psalm. We believe that Jesus also defined the Alpha scenario prior to the Incarnation - since Jesus was very clear and told the scribes that David called the Messiah his Lord and for this specific reason the Messiah was prior to David and that is why there could <u>not</u> be any sonship prior to the Incarnation since 'Sonship' is Biblically defined as being the Throne of David on planet earth Luke 1:32 as defined by the first original prophecy of <u>Genesis 3:15</u> as well as <u>Romans 1:3</u>.

Melchizedek

We believe that Christ as Melchizedek was the Alpha = The Root of David

We believe that Jesus Christ was of the Order of Melchizedek = Omega because he lowered himself of His Alpha identity by taking upon himself human genetics. John 1:14,18

We believe that document <u>11Q13</u> of the Dead Sea Scrolls clearly establishes the fact that **Melchizedek** was Deity and we are told that he conducts the **Final Atonement** for his people on the 10th Jubilee

- thus, confirming the fact that he is indeed the Great High priest which would be classified as being of the Order of Melchizedek which to all intents and purposes is in fact 'Jesus Christ' as stated in <u>Hebrews 6:20</u>
- unlike spurious interpretations that say Melchizedek was Shem who in fact had a genealogy from his father Noah and all the way back to Adam.
 We <u>reject</u> any form of thesis which downgrades Melchizedek's eternal Identity
- unlike as to somehow being an ancient Canaanite King of righteousness who is symbolic of an

office since the Apostle Paul in Hebrews 7:1-3 is not talking about an office but of person who was greater than Abraham and

 who brought out bread and wine the emblems of Passover thus revealing his true identity as the Bread who would come down from heaven to give his life. The wine being a metaphorical symbol of his blood.

PSALM 110:1-4

We believe that Psalm 110:1-4 establishes the revelation of the Alpha scenario since "David's Lord is the Messiah" or "Root of David"

and the second part of Psalm 110:4 establishes the revelation of the **Order of Melchizedek** as being the **Omega** scenario or **offspring of David**.

TWO DIVINES

We believe that "in beginning" which is quoted from John 1:1 there is <u>no proof</u> of a Triune or a 'Blessed Trinity' or one solitary God. John defined Two Divines.

We believe that the Incarnation impacted the Godhead and the birth of Jesus produced a third entrant since "Jesus Christ" being divine also had the human genetics of his mother Mary.

We believe that the appearance of the Three (3) after the Incarnation was on account of the birth of the child who was to be born, being the Son that - is given - as mentioned in Isaiah 9:5-7.

This **third entrant** was to be called **Everlasting Father** at some point in time after defeating all of his enemies and establishing the Throne of David on planet earth specifically in the New Jerusalem city of God.

True Sonship

We believe that upon resurrecting from the dead Jesus reclaimed his former Eternal glory of Melchizedek and thus completing the statement of being the Alpha and Omega and it is at the Omega point of the sonship of David where he rose from the dead in the form of Divine and human genetics being glorified, he retook his former of Melchizedek but now in form of the God-Man.

Thus, fulfilling the statement of Paul in Hebrews 7:1-3 **Melchizedek** "made like unto the Son of God" thus revealing God's true program in reference to the true definition and what constitutes true sonship and therefore **the True Son of God doctrine.**

The answer being <u>Hebrews 7:1-3</u> latter part which = Melchizedek 'made like unto the Son of God' and declared to be at the resurrection. See <u>Romans 1:4</u> and <u>Hebrews 5:8-9</u> and <u>Hebrews 6:20</u>

The Duality of the Godhead

We believe in the main Biblical revelations of the **Duality of the Godhead** – <u>John 1:1</u> and the pre-existent Glory of Christ, who was also God.

- What was stated in <u>John 12:37-41</u> about Isaiah seeing the **glory** of Christ as the suffering servant as well as the Lord of Hosts in <u>Isaiah 6's</u> vision.
- At the end of the 70 weeks prophecy of Daniel 9, Stephen the Martyr was given a vision of Jesus Christ at the right hand of the Father - <u>Acts 7</u> - thus indicating the Dual nature of the true Godhead. This Duality is also a confirmation of <u>John 1:1</u>
- 3. The Two Witnesses of Christ pre-existent glory were Moses and Elijah and were

present in the vision of the Transfiguration and this witness will be seen and heard in the near future by way of the Two witnesses in Revelation 11 who will be killed and resurrected.

- The conversation that Jesus had in <u>Mark</u>
 <u>12</u> in reference to the "**one God**" was explain by Jesus after he told the scribe that he was not far from the Kingdom of God, yet Jesus didn't say he had arrived at the Kingdom of God.
- 5. Yet, later when Jesus presented the **Duality** of <u>Psalm 110:1-4</u> it came immediately after the first theme of the 'one God'. And Jesus mentioned the very same belief of the scribes which was the one Gd and the Messiah being the 'son of David' and this was the reason why he told the scribe in his prior conversation that he wasn't far from the kingdom but later in verses 35-37 Jesus again presented the duality of Psalm 110:1-4 with verse 4 stating that the **Messiah** was **of the Order of Melchizedek**.
- 6. But when Jesus had mentioned the fact that the Messiah was **before** David since David called him his Lord, we were able to witness the reaction of the people. These people who heard Jesus arrived at the Kingdom of God, since they rejoiced and in contrast the scribes didn't talk any further about the matter.
- 7. When Jesus was taken before the High Priest, he quoted <u>Daniel 7:13</u> which presents the **duality** of the Messiah approaching the Ancient of Days and being given a Kingdom - here again this is synonymous with <u>John 1:1</u>
- 8. The throne of God and the Lamb of <u>Revelation 22:1</u> is synonymous with <u>John</u> <u>1:1</u>.

- 9. The question is where is the throne of the Holy Spirit in <u>Revelation 22:1</u>, the answer being "Christ in you the hope of glory". <u>Col</u> <u>1:27</u>. This is based on <u>2 Corinthians</u> <u>3:16-17</u> as well as the word that Jesus used in relation to the word "Another " Comforter when speaking of the Holy Spirit he was speaking of himself. <u>John</u> <u>14:16</u>
- 10. Since the Holy Spirit is the Counsellor and is depicted in <u>Isaiah 9:5-7</u> Counsellor being one of the names of the Messiah. In <u>John 14:16</u> the word that Jesus used "
 Allos " of the same category but distinct as opposed to the word Heteros = totally different third person and explains Isaiah's use of Counsellor; yet the mystery of why the Holy Spirit being God is not mentioned in Scripture as being worshiped constitutes a mystery that can be explained in the following way.
- 11. Many have failed to explain the reference in Isaiah 9:6-7. So, if the child that is born, a son is given applies to Jesus who also stated "I Am" why do so many ambiguously deny that reference of Everlasting Father as applying to Jesus Christ in Isa 9:6-7? Doesn't the Order of Melchizedek require not having father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life? Which fulfills what is stated in John 14:9?
- 12. John 1:1 does not reveal sonship. Sonship began in John 1:14 which presents the proper context of John 3:16.
- 13. At the present time we do not worship Melchizedek but we do worship Christ of the Order of Melchizedek as our Great High Priest. (*) <u>Hebrews 1</u> also addresses the matter of when God introduces the Firstborn as in preeminence, he tells all the Angels to worship him in the flesh of humanity and not in his former nature. This would indeed explain that the 'fulness of the

Godhead residing bodily in Jesus Christ' as stated by Paul in <u>Colossians 2</u>.

- 14. This being an indicator that the Holy Spirit was his pre-existent form or nature which is synonymous with the Word = Logos and the Holy Spirit - yet at the incarnation there appears to be the matter of the second death. When Christ died on the cross, he commended his Spirit unto the Father and died the second death to what he previously was - thus becoming one with our humanity and taking the form of humanity and glorifying it in the form of the Order of Melchizedek which constitutes the retaking of his former glory but now in the form of the glorified human nature which in him became the Monogenesis Theos. = The uniquely begotten son of God in the Omega scenario.
- (*) see next discussion

--)-----Swift Messenger

--)-----

Alpha & Omega

CHRIST of the Order of Melchizedek

At the present time we do not worship Melchizedek but we do worship Christ of the Order of Melchizedek, our Great High Priest.

The discussion was brought up in reference to being misunderstood by others. Yes! This could be a real issue since back in the first century and today people misconstrued the writings of Paul.

At the present time we do not worship Melchizedek but we do worship [CHRIST OF] the Order of Melchizedek as our Great High Priest

Yet He, Jesus Christ - is the **Alpha and Omega** and Melchizedek was the Alpha prior to the fulfillment of the Omega which can be summarized by what Paul stated as being the last facet of what defines Melchizedek. That last facet would be, "Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God". Yet the **Everlasting Righteousness** still applies to both the **Alpha and Omega**.

- 1. because of the slain Lamb prior to the foundation of the world Rev 13:8 and
- because Daniel 9's 70-week prophecy states that Eternal Righteousness was ushered in with the birth of Jesus Christ and then accomplished its final goal of Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God = <u>Heb 7:1-3</u> which is

by way of Romans 1:3-4.

The matter resides in Hebrews 5 where

Melchizedek had to lower himself of His Eternal Identity to qualify.

Whereas previous to that Melchizedek provided Eternal Righteousness as Priest of God in reference to being slain prior to the foundation of the world. <u>Rev 13:8</u>

When it was time for Satan to prove that he could **be like the "Most high"** by ascending to the Northern Universe, <u>Isaiah 14:13</u> - he failed, since he and his Angels, were cast down.

So, the time would come for **Melchizedek** to prove his 'Eternal Righteousness' which would have to be victorious in two aspects. The second which was proven when "Michael" being the Pre-incarnate Christ himself defeated Lucifer in the Angelic war of Revelation 12. Previous to that it involved his decision to rescue the fallen human race after Adam sinned.

Yet this was planned prior to the foundation of the world.

When it was Satan's turn to **disprove** Christ's eternal righteousness this came about by way of

the fall of Adam. Fact is that if Christ turned down the matter of rescuing the fallen race, Satan would boast that Christ's eternal righteousness did not have the fulness of agape love which is another facet of Eternal Righteousness - thus making him [Satan] the first fallen being to question Gd's eternal righteousness by having been able to secure a planet, as well as life for himself and his fallen angels as well as the fact that he would own everyone born on planet earth after Adam's fall.

It was this reasoning [wanting to be like the Most High] that made him believe that Christ would not challenge him and come to this dark world in order to pour out his life in Agape love for the fallen race.

Yet, the promise of <u>Genesis 3:15</u> would stand out as a prophetic witness that one day someone would arrive from the heavens and challenge the dominion of the evil one.

Yet, this fateful decision involved a **<u>qualification</u> <u>process</u>** of

a) overcoming sin in the flesh [<u>Rev 3:21</u>] as well as

b) being born of the flesh according to the seed of David.

This is the basic Dictum of the Alpha & Omega as presented in <u>Romans 1:3</u> and needs to be read by those who would claim that 'Sonship' was in the heavens - prior to the Incarnation.

Michael the Archangel

Many dismiss the matter of Michael's qualification as being the pre-existent Christ not realizing that in the Jewish writings Melchizedek and Michael were closely intertwined and practically the same and prayers Liturgies were

offered up - until Rabbinic Judaism put a stop to this, and labelling it as blasphemous.

In Daniel 12, "Michael" is the chief arbiter of the resurrection at the end of the age, yet he is revealed as Michael standing up.

So, who other than Christ can possibly stand up from his place of authority which in Psalm 110:1-4 is presented as David's Lord sitting at the right hand of God being also God.

A good place to begin is by asking the Evangelicals why Michael is mentioned in Daniel 12 as arising from a place of authority and dealing with the topic of the Resurrection which is purely an act of the Creator and not that of a mere Angel or powerful Archangel?

If Michael's role is misunderstood

then there is a major theological issue on account of two important facts.

- 1. In Daniel 10 the Angel Gabriel tells Daniel that Michael is Daniel's Prince which is usually a Messianic term.
- Michael appears as the arbiter of the resurrection and his role in ancient Israel was that of Lawyer or advocate and when you transfer that title over to the New Testament it's the Holy Spirit as in 2 Corinthians 3:16-17 as well as being the Prince or Prince of Peace a Messianic title which =

the present Kingdom of Grace.

Thus fulfilling 2 Corinthians 3:16-17 Young's Literal translation

¹⁶ and whenever they may turn unto the Lord, the vail is taken away. ¹⁷ And the Lord is the Spirit; and where the Spirit of the Lord [is], there [is] liberty; - 8 -

Evangelicals use the book of Jude as a punching bag for negating Michael as the Pre-incarnate Christ. Not realizing that in the book of Zechariah 3 the Angel of the Lord who is recognized as being the pre-incarnate Christ repeats the same phrase as mentioned in the book of Jude.

The following verses reveal that the Angel of the Lord is Yah # 2

Zechariah 3

3 And he showed me Joshua the high priest standing before the angel of YHWH, and ^[a]Satan standing at his right hand to be his adversary. ² And YHWH said unto Satan, YHWH rebuke thee, O Satan; yea, YHWH that hath chosen Jerusalem rebuke thee: is not this a brand plucked out of the fire? ³ Now Joshua was clothed with filthy garments, and was standing before the angel.⁴ And he answered and spake unto those that stood before him, saying, Take the filthy garments from off him. And unto him he said, Behold, I have caused thine iniquity to pass from thee, and I will clothe thee with rich apparel.⁵ And I said, Let them set a clean [b] mitre upon his head. So they set a clean ^[c]mitre upon his head, and clothed him with garments; and the angel of YHWH was standing by.

⁶And the angel of YHWH protested unto Joshua, saying, ⁷ Thus saith YHWH of hosts: If thou wilt walk in my ways, and if thou wilt keep my charge, then thou also shalt judge my house, and shalt also keep my courts, and I will give thee ^[d]a place of access among these that stand by. ⁸Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou and thy fellows that sit before thee; for they are men that are a ^[e]sign: for, behold, I will bring forth my servant the ^[f]Branch.⁹For, behold, the stone that I have set before Joshua; upon one stone are seven eyes: behold, I will engrave the graving thereof, saith YHWH of hosts, and I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day. 10 In that day, saith YHWH of hosts, shall ye invite every man his neighbor under the vine and under the fig-tree.

Jude

⁹ But Michael the archangel, when contending with the devil he disputed about the body of Moses, durst not bring against him a railing judgment, but said, The Lord rebuke thee.

Jude provides a clue as to why Michael stated the Lord rebuke thee in reference to Satan trying to hold on to the body of Moses. He" Michael" being the arbiter of the Resurrection and Priest of Eternal Righteousness

had **not** yet died on the cross.

Here again many do not see the connection between <u>1 Thessalonians 4:16</u> the Trumpet of the Archangel and its relationship to <u>Daniel 12</u> when "Michael stands up".

The one who stands up and who is sitting at the right hand of the Father is Jesus Christ previously known as "Michael" the advocate of Israel.

Hebrews 5:6-10

6 as he saith also in another *place*,

[a]Thou art a priest for ever After the order of Melchizedek.

7 Who in the days of his flesh, having offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto him that was able to save him [b]from death, and having been heard for his godly fear, 8 though he was a Son, yet learned obedience by the things which he suffered; 9 and having been made perfect, he became unto all them that obey him the [c]author of eternal salvation; 10 named of God a high priest after the order of Melchizedek.

American Standard Version public domain

In dealing with the matter of Melchizedek King of Righteousness, the real topic is the Eternal Righteousness of the Lamb which was slain prior to the foundation of the World and who was David's Lord

prior to the Incarnation, Psalm 110:1-4,

as well as the fact that this Individual,

who lowered Himself = Philippians 2:5-10

to take the slave form of human genetics, was ushered in as **Eternal Righteousness** as depicted in **Daniel 9's 70-week prophecy**

and is the **uniquely begotten** Son of God

who **fulfilled the concept and reality of true Sonship** on planet earth which consisted of one who would sit on the throne of David and who fulfills the requisites of the **Order of Melchizedek**.

That is why it is stated that - **a child is born, a son is given and he shall be called "Everlasting Father".**

The reason being that this child who is born and is given as a Son – He is not believed to be an **Everlasting Father** by many and the expression is seen by them in poetic terms and this is for no simple reason, since the basis of this error stems from a wrong interpretation of the Shema of Deuteronomy 6:4 where the oneness of God is interpreted as being a singular individual which excludes the references

to the Word "Elohim"

which implies plurality as in Genesis 1:26.

They assume the usage of the wrong word - implying that God is Yachid, "a singular individual" yet for some reason they use the word "Echad" which implies "oneness" but in more than one.

Yet they refuse to accept this fact and assume that both words literally mean one singular individual thus rejecting all the available evidence from its usage in the Old Testament itself.

The second reason is the assimilation of Neo Platonism doctrine by way of the early Catholic church fathers which also involved the teachings of Philo of Alexandria that the Universe emanated a son out of itself. This later became the **doctrine of the Eternal Son** and defines the so-called second person of the godhead as the eternal son of god. = FB #4

Everlasting Father of Isaiah 9:6-7

And many people tend to take this statement from Isaiah lightly, since they believe in the concept of the existence of solely one god as in Rabbinic Judaism thus applying it Hezekiah, or as a poetic term to describe either the Father or some other construct of their minds not

realizing that the reason as to why he has to fulfill that verse is because the **Order of Melchizedek** requires it, since in order to qualify for that **Order**, the Messianic King that will sit on the throne of planet earth

has to fulfill

the requisites of each of the characteristics of the Order

as listed in Hebrews 7:3, as well as he names mentioned in Isaiah 9. But to make things short for now we will focus solely on **"Everlasting Father"**.

The Apostle Paul tells us in Hebrews 7:3 the following.

Hebrews 7:3

³ without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God), abideth a priest continually.

So, if the Messiah is to be sitting on the throne of planet earth by displacing

Satan who wanted to be like the "Most High," then the Messiah himself had to be like the "Most High" = Philippians 2:5-10 and the requirement is found in

the requisites of the **Order of Melchizedek** ...

No father or mother or genealogy

and not having beginning of days

nor end of life

and results in the Messiah = Everlasting Father #2.

The **Order of Melchizedek** banishes any ambiguity in reference to the title of **Everlasting Father** as given to the Messiah in Isaiah 9:6-7 which is confirmed in Psalm 110:1-4.

Whereas, some view the conversation taking place in this verse as being proof of Pre-incarnate Sonship, **nothing could be further from the truth**.

Since the pre-requisites of this "**Order**" totally annul this <u>false construct</u> of pre-incarnate sonship

and establishes the Everlasting nature of Melchizedek

as well as establishes forever His lowering of himself in order for the process of qualification of this **Order** to take place in the form of

the flesh of the human genetics which previously was Spirit

and then at the Incarnation became a combination which is referred to as Monogenesis Theos, "the uniquely Begotten" son of God who possessing the **Eternal Righteousness of Melchizedek**

then brings in the qualification process of Melchizedek Himself in the form of the Monogenesis Theos combination which results in the overcoming of sin in the likeness of human flesh and condemning it in the flesh.

This is why Jesus was tempted and offered all the Kingdoms of this world by the evil one.

Romans 1:3

In a unique form Christ was born as "Jesus Christ" which fulfills the dictum of Romans 1:3 which is Son of God according to the flesh and then Romans 1:4 the retaking back of his former glory of Melchizedek - but now in the **Godman** combination of which the human side

while present, is swallowed up by the **Eternal power of the original Melchizedek**.

Thus, completing what Jesus stated about himself in Revelation 22:13-16.

I am the Alpha and Omega which means the First and the Last.

The Eternal Righteousness of the Lamb with Marks of slaughter who is the root of David = the First or the **Alpha**.

The Root of anything is always the **First**.

Whereas the **Omega** is referred to by Jesus as the offspring of David. This would be the **Last**.

Since he is the final heir of the "throne of David" as stated in Isa 9:7 and is to sit eternally on that throne of God which is seen in Revelation 22:1 and described as "the throne of God and the Lamb" and this is in fulfillment of Isaiah 9:6-7.

MICHAEL

Up to now, neither Rabbinic Judaism nor Catholicism nor Protestantism have figured out the role that the names in Isaiah 9:6-7 have to do with Jesus Christ in relation to Michael who is Daniel's Prince in Daniel 10,

and the Angel of the Lord who appeared to Manoah, Samson's father.

This Angelic figure asked and answered Manoah at the same time by stating "Is my name too Wonderful"? There is also the **Wonderful Numberer** in the book of Daniel whose name is "**Palmoni**" which is translated as the **Wonderful Numberer**.

Counsellor reveals the role of Michael in the Old Testament as the advocate of Israel but is also revealed in the New Testament as Michael who battled Satan's fallen Angels - as well as the fact, that he came to the aid of the Angel Gabriel who was being held up for 21 days by the Prince of Persia.

This harks back to the Angelic war of Revelation 12 in which Michael defeats Lucifer and his Angels.

These references appear to make Michael the Captain of the Lord's armies in the book of Joshua 5:13-14 or the "Lord of Hosts" in the vision of Isaiah in <u>chapter 6</u> which the book of John 12 states as being the former glory of Jesus Christ as the "Lord of Hosts".

Captain of the Lord's armies:

¹³ And it cometh to pass in Joshua's being by Jericho, that he lifteth up his eyes, and looketh, and lo, one standing over-against him, and his drawn sword in his hand, and Joshua goeth unto him, and saith to him, `Art thou for us or for our adversaries?'

¹⁴ And He saith, `No, for I [am] Prince of YHWH's host; now I have come;' and Joshua falleth on his face to the earth, and doth obeisance, and saith to Him, `What is my Lord speaking unto His servant?' It was in the final description of the **Melchizedek** that Satan's claim of wanting to be like the "Most High" was proven to be false. Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God.

Conclusion

Hebrews 5 proved that his mission was successful and the 'prince of this world was cast out.' John 12:31.

Eternal Righteousness was ushered in with the birth of Jesus Christ and then accomplished its final goal of Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God

Ushering in everlasting or Eternal Righteousness

This is such an important point "ushering of Eternal Righteousness' it's overlooked and neglected from Daniel 9 and the 70wks.

For **Eternal Righteousness to be ushered** in it had to have existed from the eternal age's and be without beginning - of and from - an individual without any deviation or alterations like emanation since Eternal Righteousness cannot have a beginning.

Daniel 9 doesn't convey Eternal Righteousness is only of the Ancient of Days and that's a spoiler alert for the 1 Gdrs. Therefore, Eternal Righteousness = Alpha and does not = monotheism, or Trinity.

The True Christ said **He was Alpha** of John 1:1 'without beginning'.

Ushering in of Eternal Righteousness at Bethlehem = Jesus Christ = Alpha & Omega. Eternal Righteousness could only be ushered = via Mary and human genetics. That's the Foundational Truth of the A&O and its purpose with the First Angels warning.

The anointing of the Most Holy of Dan 9 could only authenticate one thing - the MHP was anointed by High Priest after the **Order of Melchizedek** = **Omega**.

--)-----Swift Messenger --)-----

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer. Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen. Email: <u>maninlinen@protonmail.com</u>

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} \text{In-depth pictorial analysis \& back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): } \underline{www.5agendas.com} \\ \text{Man in Linen videos: } \underline{https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas} \end{array}$

Any portion of WWN–Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".