

The Alpha & Omega

Christ or the Universe

Biblical Cosmology confirmed by the microwave image

Book of Hebrews states that the universe gets old and that the heavens perish and are folded like a garment by the Lord and the context is John 1:1 for it states in <u>Hebrews 1:10-12</u> (In the beginning) which appears to confirm a starting point for the heavens and the earth whereas John 1:1's (In beginning) stresses a transcendence which stipulates that there was **never** a point in time when Christ as the **Logos** of John 1:1 didn't exist. He always was.

<u>Hebrews 1:10</u> further reveals the "in the beginning aspect" of the **prior** universes, as well as that of our present era which commenced with the creation of planet earth <u>in Genesis 1</u>.

https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-11837869

The link above describes the prior universes and the imprints left behind after each Big Bang.

The Biblical perspective is that the current universe or universes will be done away with as stated by Paul using the pronoun, they = will perish.

"**But you remain**" meaning that Christ remains while <u>Hebrews 1:11</u> states that the universe grows old. This implies that the Pantheistic theories of those who believe that - the universe is god - cannot be, so since the universes = plural, wear down and are folded like a garment until the next Aeon where the book of Revelation presents a new heaven and a new earth. They even state in the article about the different ages.

Quote from link

"In the scheme that I'm proposing, you have an exponential expansion but it's not in our aeon - I use the term to describe [the period] from our Big Bang until the remote future. "I claim that this aeon is one of a succession of such things, where the remote future of the previous aeons somehow becomes the Big Bang of our aeon."

The statement appears to be implying what is already stated in <u>Hebrews 1:10-12</u> as well as <u>Revelation 21-22</u> New Heavens and a New Earth. The remote future that he is talking about is located at the end of the Millennium in <u>Revelation 21</u>. Yet from <u>their</u> perspective God isn't included.

Yet in another article not present in this link, they are acting as observers but they themselves realize that they don't possess the present tools in order to prove their points of view and they state that this matter requires a <u>New Physics</u>.

Whereas the Bible <u>already</u> presents this same Cosmology without the so-called scientific explanations of the observers. Here, we now witness, how Paul's statement comes into line with what he mentions in <u>Romans 1:20</u> ²⁰ For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:

2 Peter 3:10-11

¹⁰ But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night; in the which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up.

¹¹ Seeing then that all these things shall be dissolved, what manner of persons ought ye to be in all holy conversation and godliness,

The above verses may very well imply - **two** cleansings.

The first, which is our present planet earth and its atmosphere is most definitely involved in the process, whereas the second is not so clear yet the statements in <u>Hebrews 1:10-12</u> and what science is now telling us about the expansion of the universe as well as the facts regarding the <u>law of Entropy</u> are now telling us that the universe itself is wearing down and a new Aeon approach.

Whereas, the Bible states - that in the Aeon that is approaching - sin and death will be done away with - and this will entail a new beginning for our universe in what can be termed the "Age of <u>Reconciliation</u>" between the heavens and the earth.

In the book of Job, the Devil states that the heavens are not perfect in God's sight and

<u>Hebrews 1:10-12</u> backs the statement and the contrast is presented in these verses in relation to the eternity of the **Alpha** scenario which runs from Hebrews 1:10-12 with emphasis on verse 12 - since universes have a starting point and an ending point.

Yet the Son, who is of the **Order of Melchizedek** - had **no** commencement point in the **Alpha** scenario of **eternity** <u>prior to</u> the **Incarnation which = no sonship see** <u>Hebrews</u> <u>7:1-3.</u>

<u>Hebrews 1:10-12</u> is clearly in reference to John 1:1's transcendent revelation of "In Beginning". Then <u>Psa 102:27</u> "But you are the same and your years will not fail.

The Revelation of the heavens

²⁰For since the creation of the world God's invisible qualities—his eternal power and divine nature—have been clearly seen, being understood from what has been made, so that people are without excuse.

The microwave imprint of prior universes <u>is a</u> <u>testimony</u> of what Paul is speaking of in <u>Romans 1:20</u>.

The Revelation of Jesus Christ

He who made the heavens - the Universe.

The paradox.

As far as the Son's - Divine Nature, the Creator of the Universe - scripture clearly states that the universe changes but that the Son - remains the same. And <u>Psa 102:27</u> "But you are the same and your years will not fail.

So how can this paradox be explained - since Jesus Christ is now the Son of God and since 'sonship' involves a commencement period or a starting point as in Begotten?

The answer is found in Revelation chapter 22.

Christ said "I am the Alpha" = $\underline{\text{Rev } 22:13}$ and He establishes His Eternal Divine status and this is synonymous with the root of David = $\underline{\text{Rev } 22:16}$ meaning He has no beginning or end. He is the Divine Identity the First Angel said to worship = The Alpha & Omega - He who made – Rev 14:7.

Furthermore, John 1:1 presents - **no fathers or mothers or sons or daughters without genealogy** and this is clearly reflected in the apostle Paul's statement in <u>Hebrews 7:1-3</u>.

Christ's role as Melchizedek:

For this Melchisedek, king of Salem, priest of God Most High, who did meet Abraham turning back from the smiting of the kings, and did bless him,

²to whom also a tenth of all did Abraham divide, (first, indeed, being interpreted, `King of righteousness,' and then also, King of Salem, which is, King of Peace,) ³without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, and being made like to the Son of God, doth remain a priest continually.

The Revelation of and the true definition of Sonship

The context of sonship.

Romans 1:3 = **Son of God, according to the flesh, the seed of David.**

Contextually speaking, '**Sonship'** is the throne of God on planet earth by way of Adam who being planet earth's genetic father handed over our planet to Satan. Christ being the Logos or Word of John 1:1 being equal to God as stated in Philippians 2:5-7 - lowered himself by taking the slave form of human genetics. John 1:18.

Here is where the uniqueness aspect comes into play - since we are talking about the merger of the Divine with human genetics and according to <u>Revelation 22:13:16</u> we refer to this as the Omega scenario of Sonship which = The Throne of God on planet earth. <u>Heb 1:8</u>

This is clearly stipulated in <u>Psalm 2:7</u> which is the throne of David on planet earth and the specific King is of the order of Melchizedek as seen in <u>Psalm 110:4</u>.

Notice that the context of sonship has nothing to do with an Eternal sonship

in the heavens prior to the Incarnation – but, is in relation to the instalment of God's Messiah/King - Jesus Christ on Zion and the context of the opposition are the nations and Kings of planet earth. Note carefully Psalm 2.

Psalm 2

The King on the High hill of Zion:

Why have nations tumultuously assembled? And do peoples meditate vanity?

²Station themselves do kings of the earth, And princes have been united together, Against YHWH, and against His Messiah:

³`Let us draw off Their cords, And cast from us Their thick bands.'

⁴He who is sitting in the heavens doth laugh, The Lord doth mock at them.

⁵Then doth He speak unto them in His anger, And in His wrath He doth trouble them:

⁶ And I -- I have anointed My King, Upon Zion -- My holy hill.'

⁷I declare concerning a statute: YHWH said unto me, `My Son Thou [art], I today have brought thee forth.

⁸Ask of Me and I give nations -- thy inheritance, And thy possession -- the ends of earth.

⁹Thou dost rule them with a sceptre of iron, As a vessel of a potter Thou dost crush them.'

¹⁰And now, O kings, act wisely, Be instructed, O judges of earth,

¹¹Serve ye YHWH with fear, And rejoice with trembling.

¹²Kiss the Chosen One, lest He be angry, And ye lose the way, When His anger burneth but a little, O the happiness of all trusting in Him!

Young's literal translation Public domain

The Priest of God

Melchizedek was an Eternal Being = The Word = John 1;1= no Father, no mother, no beginning of days, nor end of life.

This is a clear description of **Christ in the Alpha scenario** prior to the Incarnation- which contextually is in relation to the unique aspect of the Incarnation which is the melding of his Divine nature with the human genetics of Mary= Romans 1:3 and therefore this is in the **Omega scenario**.

This has <u>nothing</u> to do with Greek **emanation** theories of Christ the Word or the Greek Logos being birthed at some point in eternity prior to the Incarnation. That is **falsehood** and it stems from the Neo Platonism school of the Jewish theologian Philo of Alexandria who formulated the theory of an emanated Logos, whose 'father' was the universe.

So here comes the final question.

How then was Melchizedek 'made like' unto the Son of God?

The answer is simple and it's found in the **Mount** of the Transfiguration [Matt 17] where the splendour of Christ's pre-existent glory of the **Alpha scenario** is revealed to his disciples on the Mount - but the difference being that - it took place in **the Omega scenario** <u>of Sonship</u>. Our final verse <u>Romans 1:4</u>

Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God relies upon the fact that at the resurrection from the dead Jesus Christ took upon himself all the former glory of Melchizedek= his Eternal Transcendence as stated by Paul without Father or Mother or Beginning of days nor end of life and placed it upon the uniquely begotten God man human genetic combination.

That is the reason as to why the book of Hebrews states the following

> ⁸and unto the Son: `Thy throne, O God, [is] to the age of the age; a scepter of righteousness [is] the scepter of thy reign;

It brings to completion what Jesus had told the scribes about the Messiah being before David and therefore wasn't the Son of David since he was before David in the **Alpha scenario.** Jesus was quoting <u>Psalm 110:1-4</u>.

The **Order of Melchizedek** is found Psalm 110 in <u>verse 4</u>.

In order to prove this point - is the fact that **Son** of **David** and **Son of God** are <u>synonymous</u> with each other according to what is stated in Romans 1:3 **Son of God according to the flesh**, **the seed of David**.

So, if Christ was before David, **he was NOT an 'Eternal Son' in the Alpha scenario** -because from John 1 He was <u>also</u> God or **Eternal Father # 2.**

Why?

Because the prophecy of <u>Isaiah 9</u> states that **a child is born** and a **Son is given** and one of the names of the Messiah who would sit on the throne of David would be "**Everlasting Father**".

So here we have a major contradiction with the Trinity since Trinitarian doctrine teaches that the Son is not the Father. <u>Here</u>

So, the fact is that this new Revelation of Christ being **Eternal Father #2** = Isa 9:6 cripples the Catholic teaching of **God the Eternal Son** which has engulfed the Christian world by way of the teachings of the Creeds in both the Catholic and Eastern rite churches and Protestants - that Jesus was begotten by the father prior to the ages, in some form of mystery, that they cannot explain.

Of course, they cannot explain it!

Since the 'theology' came from the Greek mystical theology of <u>Neo Platonism's</u> <u>**Pantheistic universe**</u> which emanated a Logos, and in turn, this emanated Logos, created everything else in the universe.

The early church Fathers being heavily influenced by Philo of Alexandria removed the impersonal elements and personalized the universe as the father as well as the Logos as being the Eternal Son which would then be the creator of the material world.

So, the original blue print of the "Eternal Son" doctrine

came from Neo Platonism

and not from the Bible.

Conclusion

The facts revealed in relation to the <u>microwave</u> <u>imprint</u> of former universes reveals what the Bible states in Hebrews 1:10-12 about the transcendental state of Jesus Christ prior to the Incarnation as also God or **Eternal Father # 2**.

And how this **Everlasting Existence** translated over into the matter of Sonship by Jesus Christ retaking his former glory **prior** to the Incarnation and placing it upon his body which after the Incarnation was a combination of the Divine spirit of <u>Revelation 4 and 5</u> and <u>here</u> in the form of the <u>Lion of the tribe of Judah</u> who is the root of David which = the **Alpha scenario**

And is <u>depicted as the Lamb= human genetic</u> factor with the 7 Spirits of God as well as marks of slaughter a two in one being which implies the <u>unique aspect of the begotten</u> at the correct point in time which was when the Holy Spirit overshadowed Mary.

So contextually speaking - this confirms the point in time of the uniquely begotten. Whereas the advocates of the Trinity doctrine as well as the Arian emanationists would have you believe that this took place prior to the Incarnation.

And the conclusion to this study at this juncture reveals that **most religions are now in opposition to the First Angel's message** including Laodicea with Fundamentals 2 & 4. Whereas the **First Angel** which tells us to worship - He who made the heavens and the earth was His Original Identity = **Alpha scenario.**

--)-----Swift Messenger --)----- The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer. Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen. Email: <u>maninlinen@protonmail.com</u>

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA. In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas</u>

Any portion of WWN–Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".