

Alpha & Omega of Deadly Heresies

What did EGW really mean why did she tremble? What really is it?

This discussion commences a detailed series on the Alpha & Omega.

But first –

What did EGW mean by the Omega of deadly heresies would be of a most 'startling nature' and the Omega would be '**received'** and then she "**trembled**" for the people. She said ...

The omega will be of a most startling nature. Here

<u>I knew that the omega would follow in a little</u> while; and I trembled for our people. <u>Here</u>

Alpha & Omega of deadly heresies

- what really is it?

Everyone in the Laodicean world assumes that the matter of the **Alpha & Omega of deadly heresies** relates to – Pantheism - which is only a superficial way of seeing what EGW stated which is rather obvious.

EGW was not supposed to give you the answer to the puzzle of the A&O, she was only giving you the <u>warning</u> and it would be up to you, with the guidance of the Comforter to figure out what she was speaking about.

That fact, is true.

So, in hindsight, what was written when she warned about the **Alpha of heresies** in relation to **Harvey Kellogg** and the book "Living Temple" was rather obvious. People within the Church still speak about that and even recognize certain elements of spiritualism such as seances or **centred prayer and contemplation** from time to time show up in the sermons or beliefs of the individual, or church leaders, within Laodicean circles.

But does that solve the mystery of the A&O of deadly heresies?

Obviously not.

There was a particular YT channel that was speaking about this matter and they had a massive audience and were actually promoting the error of the Omega all the while at the same time using EGW to describe it! Ask yourself the question, why is the Omega of deadly heresies of a startling nature?

The reason might be - that it cannot easily be detected.

So, how could this be, since the superficial aspect that everyone knows about can easily be seen, such as those who promote the impersonal nature of God?

Also, what she stated regarding "sparks of their own kindling," does that provide a clue?

The complexity of the A&O problem is given absolutely little if no regard. There are many elders and pastors in Laodicea who assert they know the issue and provide spiritual 'advice'.

But here is the problem ...

Nowadays, no one outwardly in Laodicea promotes the idea that God is impersonal, or so it is believed.

Lately, I have seen Pastors slowly transitioning into an impersonal mindset about God by stating that the human aspect was only provided for our sake.

Question is, is this true? The answer is no - no it's not! Since man was created in the 'image of God' and when you see the vision of Ezekiel 1, the vision of God as seen by Ezekiel is that of an Anthropomorphic being = humanoid in appearance. From the waist down appears as fire and from the waist up is humanoid.

God also told Moses that he had a face which = an Anthropomorphic description that Moses was not allowed to see. Yet the glory that Moses beheld was synonymous with the brightness of his face after the encounter with God.

The concept of Godhead in 2024

Most church goers, both SDA, as well as all the others– if not all - clearly define the concept of God as the **Father** and the **Son** and the **Holy Spirit.**

The question is - **if** this [Father, Son, Holy Spirit] was true **prior to the Incarnation** and the answer is that it was <u>**not**</u> true ...

What then?

So, is there a secondary clue that the Bible provides in reference to the **Omega** that can be seen from a <u>First century</u> perspective of the **Alpha** of heresies rather than just delegating the matter it was solved at the time of Harvey Kellogg as Pantheism? The answer is – Yes, there is a secondary clue and it's in the Bible.

Read Colossians 2

⁸ ^[e]Take heed lest there shall be any one that maketh spoil of you through his philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the ^[f]rudiments of the world, and not after Christ: ⁹ for in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily,

Now notice where EGW 'sparks of their own kindling' appears in Paul's narrative yet is unrecognized in present Lao theology.

¹⁸Let no man rob you of your prize "by a voluntary humility and "worshipping of the angels, "dwelling in the things which he hath "seen, vainly puffed up by his fleshly mind, ¹⁹ and not holding fast the Head, from whom all the body, being supplied and knit together through the joints and bands, increaseth with the increase of God.

First Century heresy

The first century <u>heresy</u> of **Gnosticism**, along with the mother teaching of **Neo Platonism**, taught that the **Universe** was once a **void** and that this void produced a thought or Word which in the Greek was referred to as the Logos. In turn, this Thought or Logos created the material world.

So, the early heresy of **Emanationism in both Gnostic** and **Neo Platonism** fundamentally taught that 'souls' or 'Angels' were emanations that came forth from the Universe as 'sons' that were begotten, not created since they issued forth as sparks from the Universe.

These so-called emanations were supposedly manifestations of their unknowable god. Same concept is found in Hinduism with their demigods.

This was the reason as to why Paul stated that the **fulness of the Godhead** resided bodily in Jesus Christ - since the heresy also taught that once these pure souls were 'born' or 'entered the world of matter' that this material world was the tomb of the universe, since the soul lost sight of the world of the spirit supposedly = its unity with god.

Today, the average New Ager would say its "unity with the Universe" or as they will say its "Consciousness which pervades all things which is your soul or higher self."

Wrong!

So, the Gnostics taught that the world of matter or material world was evil and that the spirit was good. This presents a theological problem since Genesis stated that all that God created was good. Later 1 Timothy 4:1 repeats the same warning.

4 But the Spirit saith expressly, that in later times some shall fall away from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and of demons, ² through doctrines the of men that speak hypocrisy lies, ^abranded in their own conscience as with a hot iron; ³ forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God created to be received with thanksgiving by them that believe and know the truth.⁴ For every creature of God is good, and nothing is to be rejected, if it be received with thanksgiving:

As you can see the original **Alpha of deadly** heresy is in your Bible and arrived in the **First Century** and the disciples had to deal with it, face it head on, and expose it.

1 Timothy 4 provides information regarding how the Gnostics were antagonistic towards the material world by way of prohibitions regarding eating this or tasting that or abstaining from marriage.

They were antagonistic to the concept of possessing both the Father and Son and were antagonistic to the fulness of the Godhead in Jesus Christ. In **Colossians 2** Paul makes it a highlight that when Christ entered the material world, he **possessed the fulness of the Godhead bodily** in reference to the material world which God said was good from the time of Genesis.

Our Lord Jesus Christ never lost sight that he had come from above and his followers were from below. John 8:23

²³ And he said unto them, Ye are from beneath; I am from above: ye are of this world; I am not of this world.

Paul also stated that He had or possessed the 'fulness of the Godhead bodily.' <u>Col</u> <u>2:9</u>

⁹ For in him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily.

This was a tremendous blow to Gnostic theology, since they claimed that Jesus was phantom, as was his body on the cross. They claim that his spirit flew away at Calvary.

They referred to this matter as Docetism.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Docetism

So, these are just the fundamental aspects of the **First century -Alpha apostasy.**

It was during the **Second Century** that the chickens came to roost. The early

Catholic fathers were heavily influenced by **Philo of Alexandria**

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philo

and borrowed much from **Neo Platonism** with regard to the theology of Neo Platonism in relation to the "Sparks" that EGW spoke about.

Neo Platonists believed the Universe produced a 'Thought' which = an emanation, and that this emanation produced what the Greeks referred to as being the "logos."

So, this first century depiction of the **Alpha of deadly heresy** basically produced an **emanation** which in turn created the rest of the material world thus moving away from the clear statement of John 1:1.

The early Catholic fathers then altered the terminology and transformed the "Universe" into God the Father and then the Logos into God the eternal Son.

Later this **doctrine of the Son emanating from the Father** was referred to as the <u>Eternal Son doctrine.</u>

How do we know this?

Both the Creeds of the Catholic Church as well as the Eastern Orthodox Church state the following. That Jesus Christ was Begotten not created prior to the Ages.

https://www.christianity.com/wiki/jesuschrist/jesus-begotten-not-made-nicene-creed.html

those statements are classic examples of Neo Platonism.

So, what is the difference between these two terms.

Begotten means to issue forth, as a son who basically derives his existence from the original Source, since he comes forth, as a clone. Whereas 'created' means implies that there was no coming forth from the original source that the original source created the Son at some point in time.

Whichever way one might want to slice the theological pie of **Trinitarianism** or **Arianism**, they are both the flip side of each other, since the term <u>begotten</u> implies sonship.

So, the reasoning of **Neo Platonism's Eternal son teaching** is that it's an eternal process of some sort and demons by way of books on Reincarnation have stated on record - that Jesus Christ was the first emanated son. I recall reading that in a book which promoted Reincarnation.

That statement from a demonic source was clearly in alignment with the tenants of Neo Platonism as well as Gnosticism as well as the Two creeds of both the Eastern and Western Catholic Churches.

The Bible exposing the lie and revealing the truth

what does John 1:1 say?

1 In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.

John 1:1 presents no evidence for a created or emanated Logos and clearly states that during that Transcendental period of time, the Word always existed alongside God and was God.

- No where is it stated that "In beginning was Father, Son and Holy Spirit.
- No where is it stated in John 1:1 that the Son was emanated.

Fact is there is no reference to Sonship in John 1:1 and no reference to emanated Sonship since Both Eternals were alongside each other and both were Gods which in Genesis 1:26 = Elohim = Gods plural.

What was the context of Sonship and where did it begin?

The context begins from John 1 verse 14.

¹⁴ And the Word became flesh, and adwelt among us (and we beheld his glory, glory as of "the only begotten from the Father), full of grace and truth.

The context of Sonship Romans 1:3

Now here is the clincher regarding the context of Sonship Romans 1:3

³ concerning his Son, who was born of the seed of David according to the flesh,

I AM Alpha & Omega

Jesus stated that he was the **Alpha and Omega.**

Both these terms have special meaning and expose many contradictions.

Alpha is synonymous with the root of **David** and **Omega** and is synonymous with the offspring of David which is synonymous with <u>Romans 1:3</u>, which is <u>sonship</u> according the flesh.

Since Jesus Christ is the second Adam, He could not be the first Adam prior to the Incarnation.

The doctrine of God the Eternal son presents a contradiction to <u>Romans 1:3</u>, as well as a contradiction to the words of Jesus "I am Alpha and Omega" <u>Rev 22:13</u>

So why is this?

The answer is the following

there was **Never** any Eternal Son that emanated from an original source.

Why?

Both were Eternal Father's.

The importance of John 1:1 is of the highest calibre in exposing this historic error, since it unmasks the **deceit** and gross deception of deadly heresies which entails the matter of the **Eternal Son doctrine** and its chief alter ego of a begotten emanation which is in relation the emanationary sparks that EGW warned about.

So, what then is the **ALPHA AND THE OMEGA OF APOSTASY?**

So, what then is the ALPHA AND THE OMEGA OF APOSTASY that **EGW didn't clearly define** – but said it was the deadliest of heresies but also stressed was taking place at the end of the age in relation not only to Adventism, but to the world in general.

Just as stated in <u>1 Timothy 4:1-4</u> which applied to the First Century whose problem was the **Gnostic** heresy which tried to defame the Incarnate Sonship of Jesus Christ of the seed of David as **not** having the fulness of the Godhead bodily of Col 2:9 in the **Omega** scenario of Romans 1:3.

What is the deadliness of the Alpha & Omega heresy?

Here it is in summation.

• The deadliness of the Omega of Deadly Heresy is ascribing 'sonship' to the Alpha scenario of John 1:1.

The **Alpha Scenario** is clearly synonymous with the **Root of David** and this presents **a major contradiction**, since Sonship is presented Biblically - as being of the flesh or seed of David.

Otherwise, Romans 1:3 makes no sense.

³ concerning his Son, who was born of the seed of David according to the flesh,

The whole issue of the **Alpha and Omega** shows up in Mark 12 which is in an upcoming topic in relation to the <u>Demiurge</u> and especially what took place in the 3rd century, and yes the attack was upon the Father and Universe religion by the 'RCC Church Father's.'

Melchizedek takes the stage during the Alpha & Omega

Melchizedek takes the stage - as **Alpha**, because He had **no father no mother no beginning of days nor end of life.**

Whereas, "Made like unto the Son" = **Omega scenario**.

The first Angel warning or Alpha warning is about Melchizedek as is stated in Document <u>11Q13</u>.

= "your Elohim reigns"

Paul defined why "Made like unto the son" is the Omega scenario.

7 For this Melchisedec, king of Salem, priest of the most high God, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings, and blessed him;

² To whom also Abraham gave a tenth part of all; first being by interpretation King of righteousness, and after that also King of Salem, which is, King of peace;

³**Without** father, without mother, **without** descent, **having neither** beginning of days, nor end of life; but made like unto the Son of God; abideth a priest continually.

Therefore, Melchizedek is equivalent to John 1:1.

The Son retakes his former glory from the **Omega** back to the **Alpha** by way of the <u>Order of Melchizedek</u>. = The designation of Romans 1:4

⁴ And declared to be the Son of God with power, according to the spirit of holiness, by the resurrection from the dead:

The contradictions are also resolved by the existence of the throne of God and Lamb.

Revelation 22:1

And he shewed me a pure river of water of life, clear as crystal, proceeding out of the throne of God and of the Lamb. Yes, The Lamb of Rev 13:8 = synonymous with "Root of David" <u>Rev</u> <u>22:16</u>

Hebrews 5 and 6:19-20

⁷ Who in the days of his flesh, having offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto him that was able to save him afrom death, and having been heard for his godly fear, ⁸ though he was a Son, yet learned obedience by the things which he suffered; ⁹ and having been made perfect, he became unto all them that obey him the author of eternal salvation; ¹⁰ named of God a high priest after the **order of Melchizedek.**

²⁰ whither as a forerunner Jesus entered for us, having become a high priest for ever after **the order of Melchizedek.**

There is no Eternal Son, only, the Eternal Melchizedek

In the throne of God and Lamb, there is no reference to Sonship. See Rev 22.

As for the incarnate 'Son' being 'Eternal' it's because of only one thing - the **human genetics** = Rom 1:3; Luke 1:35 and that Divine Spirit now retaking its former glory of Melchizedek. Thereby Eternal = Heb 7:1-3

Hebrews 1

Notice what is stated about the 'Son' in Hebrews 1

⁸ but of the **Son** he saith,

Keep in mind that the Omega is about 'Melchizedek made like unto the Son,' and the following verses do NOT convey Sonship in the Alpha scenario.

[k][]]Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever;

And the sceptre of uprightness is the sceptre of [m]thy kingdom.

⁹ Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity;

Therefore God, thy God, hath anointed thee

With the oil of gladness above thy fellows.

¹⁰ And,

^[n]Thou, Lord, in the beginning didst lay the foundation of the earth, And the heavens are the works of thy hands:

¹¹They shall perish; but thou continuest:

And they all shall wax old as doth a garment;

¹² And as a mantle shalt thou roll them up,

As a garment, and they shall be changed:

But thou art the same, And thy years shall not fail. ¹³ But of which of the angels hath he said at any time,

^[o]Sit thou on my right hand, Till I make thine enemies the footstool of thy feet?

¹⁴ Are they not all ministering spirits, sent forth to do service for the sake of them that shall inherit salvation?

John 3:16

It's appropriate for a short mention of John 3:16 - God gave His uniquely begotten son from the context of John 1 verse 14.

https://www.christianity.com/wiki/jesus-christ/jesusbegotten-not-made-nicene-creed.html

When Laodicea utilizes John 3:16 it is from the **creedal begotten** perspective – and certainly not from John 1:14.

This is because <u>FB #4</u> that states **'GOD THE ETERNAL SON'** is a begotten, not made, and that is a creedal Neo Platonist doctrine.

The No Basis Rule

When it comes to the Trinity <u>FB #2</u> - **no basis exists for John 1:1.**

When it comes to God the eternal son <u>FB</u> <u>#4</u> - **No basis exists for Christ and His righteousness.**

Why?

There is no basis, no Divine Identity of "God eternal son" prior to the Bethlehem.

The Departure Call – concerns the A&O of apostasy

EGW vision of 1896 from Tasmania Australia where she said

"My mind is carried to the future when the signal will be given, "Behold the Bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet Him." <u>Here</u>

This vision brings the authenticity of the **deadliness** of the Alpha & Omega of deadly heresies because it brings about a decision time and that means **departure** from Laodicea.

Why?

Treacherous Spiritualism results in what EGW said would happen Laodicea would be "weighed in the balances of the Sanctuary and found wanting"

Would it not make sense why EGW **trembled** for the people was because spiritualism had made its presence within Laodicea and that the vision of 1896 in Tasmania that when the signal would be given by God – and it was announced - in the worldwide SS in 1980 that Luke 21:24 was fulfilled and that meant the kairoi = the close of the probationary times of the nations had arrived.

By the fact of EGW vision which was when the signal would be given 'go ye out to a meeting of Christ' would be why she **trembled** for the people because very few would heed the admonition let alone believe it to be true?

That the fulness of the A&O of deadly heresies had breathed its demonic spiritual stench [called light] upon the once faith church? Due to the A&O of deadly heresies she trembled about is Laodicea is weighed in the balances of the sanctuary and found wanting. <u>Here</u>

Spiritualism is extremely deadly -

because it relates to the lukewarm **Laodicean condition** = 'rich, and increased with goods, and have need of nothing; and knowest not that thou art wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked:

spiritualism is the real peril and danger of remaining in any church where of the A&O of deadly heresies exists and what's worse is to refuse to buy of me [True & Faithful Witness] gold tried in the fire, that thou mayest be rich; and white raiment, [ERxF] that thou mayest be clothed, and that the shame of thy nakedness do not appear; and anoint thine eyes with eyesalve, that thou mayest see.

Those with spiritual eye-salve to see to the departure is the EGW vision of 1896 from Laodicea especially when it is about the deadliest of heresies of spiritualism regarding the "Kairoi" of nations closed = Probationary time as in corporate probation = Lk 21:24. EGW also said every evil will be set in motion.

Christ and His Righteousness –

"Most Precious message"

From the 1888 Conference session emerged the famous statement that "Christ and His righteousness" was the "third angels message in verity" and was described as <u>a most precious message</u>.

The problem that led to the **failure** of 1888 was that ETERNAL RIGHTOEUSNESS BY FAITH = **ERxF** was **NEVER** understood by Laodicea on account of ERxF being the

'root of RxF' and the fruitage of the failure of 1888 eventuated with the God the Eternal son doctrine FB #4 in 1980 and that messed everything up for Laodicea.

What was not seen was the root of the most precious message which is **Eternal Righteousness** for the simple reason the church was already aware of RxF.

Weiland and Short were absolutely correct in saying that Laodicea was infatuated with a "false RxF" ... See [A WARNING AND ITS RECEPTION p.103]. A Must read.

Note once more that the <u>Lima text</u> agreed by all churches in the plenary session of 1982 was just after fulfilment of Luke 21:24 in 1980 when Laodicea declared <u>FB 2,4</u> in her 27 FBs and turns out to be the same **'common witness'** of the Trinity and God eternal son of the RCC.

This is a supernatural achievement and can be nothing other than the influences of Spiritualism.

Startling results of the influences of spiritualism

• Fact is the 3 Angels from Gods viewpoint are gone.

- The BEM spiritualism logo took centre stage. <u>Here</u>
- The 3 Angels logo <u>here</u> was removed and replaced with common witness logo <u>Here</u>

The First Angel of Rev 14 says to worship He who created the heavens and the earth. At that point in time - **He [Christ]** was **NOT an Eternal Son**, for that is spiritualism and besides Isaiah 9:6-7 states He [Christ] was an **Everlasting Father.**

With the inclusion of the Trinity and God the Eternal son doctrines the Everlasting Gospel departed since the doctrine of God the Eternal Son which runs contrary to John 1:1 set the stage in defining the Trinity in relation to the inclusion of an "Eternal Son" which runs contrary to Romans 1:3 and John 1:1 which presents the Word not as an Eternal Son but as God - since sonship began from the context of John 1:14 at **BETHLEHEM** and not prior. God the eternal son is official doctrine in Laodicea.

LIMA TEXT

An essential reiteration. The Lima text was signed in 1982 and Laodicea officially acknowledges the common witness of the Trinity. Fact is for that the **3 Angels are gone** and for a generation ever since the common witness of the Trinity and God eternal son = FB #2,4 meant the spiritualism of the BEM logo has centre stage. And again - what is the deadliness of the A&O of deadly heresies? – it is Spiritualism.

There is Spiritualism in the Alpha scenario and there is Spiritualism involved in the Omega Scenario.

Deadliness of the Omega.

What then did EGW really mean by the deadliness of the Alpha & Omega of deadly heresies?

Paul unequivocally stated - the deadly heresies are doctrinal in their relationship to the GODHEAD.

The A&O of deadly heresies tremendous success can only be understood as a spiritual '**unity'** under the **'God the eternal son'** doctrine of the unity of churches and goes back to antiquity with Plato and its Neo Platonism and its in the BEM Lima Text and the 27FBs.

Keep in mind, the final goal of A&O of deadly heresies goal is the false recognition of the Godhead = 'sparks of their own kindling' which is another EGW expression.

It's why she trembled for the people because she said it would be startling.

The A&O of deadly heresies all originating from the realm of spiritualism, of the 1 gd doctrine or as they say the "Source" of the Universe.

So, as you now see this emphasis of 1 gd - is what they believe is 'eternal righteousness' or 'law of one' or 'Christ consciousness' and is in relation to karmic law and the final removal of all empirical content of the spiritual nature = the Nirvana of the Hindus or what is called 'bliss consciousness' of the Buddhists.

In other words, a **False ERxF** = false eternal righteousness which is far worse that what Wieland and Short described of a **false RxF** from the failure of 1888.

Spiritualism is the A&O of deadly heresies.

Alpha & Omega of deadly heresies

To be continued

--)-----Swift Messenger --)-----

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published throughout the month by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer. Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen. Email: <u>maninlinen@protonmail.com</u>

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA. In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas</u>

Any portion of WWN–Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".