

The "unsearchable riches of" "the Man in linen" –

*The First Angel's Message—
"The Everlasting Gospel"*

USHERING ETERNAL RIGHTEOUSNESS

The Alpha scenario of
Two eternally transcendent Beings
&

The Omega scenario of One
Transcendent Being

Implications

Part 1

From the very beginning [Genesis 1:26](#) presents the Duality of [John 1:1](#) = Two independent singular beings who do not derive life from each other.

Since they both reside in the realm of the Alpha and are eternally transcendent. That is why John 1:1 translates as "**In beginning**" which is an indicator of this eternally transcendent time frame prior to the foundation of the world and prior to the existence of any physical or created being in the universe.

Rather than utilizing the teaching of Neo Platonism's idea of the universe being a void that emanated a "Thought" which the Greeks termed as the Logos.



The Bible provides a totally distinct concept which declares that the Logos of John 1:1 **never had** a commencement period; but was actually present in a state of Transcendence and alongside the Other eternally transcendent Being that is referred to as God and scripture defines both of them as being God.

The New Testament letter of Paul to the Philippian church mentions that these two eternally existent beings were equal.

Philippians 2:5-6

⁵Have this mind in you, which was also in Christ Jesus:⁶who, existing in the form of God, counted not the being on an equality with God a thing to be grasped,⁷but emptied himself, taking the form of a^aservant,

John 1

1 In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.²The same was in the beginning with God. American Standard Version public domain.

From the very beginning Genesis 1:26 presents a plurality by way of the statement "Let us create Man in our image and likeness".

The main problem that this verse presents for both Jews and Muslims lays in the fact that Angels are not Creators and so the personage that was alongside God during the creation process **was not an Angel** since Angels don't create.

Revelation 19:10 states the following.

¹⁰And I fell down before his feet to^aworship him. And he saith unto me, See thou do it not: I am a fellow-servant with thee and with thy brethren that hold the

testimony of Jesus:^aworship God: for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy.

Neither, was it one God, talking with himself, as a plurality of which Muslims refer to as being a Majestic Plural since it is abundantly clear in scripture that the Angel of the Lord is Deity and represents the hidden God which we can refer to as Eternal Father # 1.

Here is the verse in Exodus 3 which proves that the Angel of the Lord was in fact also God or Eternal Father # 2.

²And the angel of YHWH appeared unto him in a flame of fire out of the midst of a bush: and he looked, and, behold, the bush burned with fire, and the bush was not consumed.³And Moses said, I will turn aside now, and see this great sight, why the bush is not burnt.⁴And when YHWH saw that he turned aside to see, God called unto him out of the midst of the bush, and said, Moses, Moses. And he said, Here am I.⁵And he said, Draw not nigh hither: put off thy shoes from off thy feet, for the place whereon thou standest is holy ground.⁶Moreover he said, **I am the God of thy father, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob.** And Moses hid his face; for he was afraid to look upon God.

Here in these verses starting with verse 2 it clearly identifies the personage as being The Angel of the Lord then it states in verse 4 that the Angel's name is Yahweh and that God called unto Moses stating "here am I."

The Angel of the Lord then tells Moses the following:

I am the God of thy father, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob. And Moses hid his face; for he was afraid to look upon God.

The fact is that the word Angel only means messenger, yet the explanation given by this [Angel](#) is that **he is the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob**. Whenever you present these verses to Muslims and Jews, they turn a blind eye and try to create some other explanation which contradicts the plain and clear statements of scripture.

A denial of Two Gods of the Old Testament and John 1:1 does not make any sense considering the fact that all throughout the Old Testament it was the **Angel of the Lord** that communed the messages of the invisible God to the Prophets and the Angel of the Lord was also God according to his own statements and God doesn't lie.

It was common knowledge during the second century BC that there was a mystery of **more than one God** in the Godhead - but when Jesus Christ arrived on the scene - this Old Testament revelation of the Two Eternal fathers was not taken into consideration since the Academia of Rabbinic Judaism denied the revelation of their own scriptures.

That is the reason as to why the use of the words **Echad**= (two or more that are one) and *Yachid* (one singular one) make sense in reference to the revelation of the Two Yahweh's of the Old Testament.

One eternally transcendent being who hasn't revealed himself to fallen mankind and the other being also eternally transcendent who designated himself as the messenger of the covenant who had revealed himself in

representation of the invisible one in Old Testament times to the Prophets.

These two independent singular beings equal the one God Echad.

If Muslims and Jews would recognize this fact from the plain as can be sheer evidence of the invisible God **and** the Angel of the Lord who reveals him -there would never be a problem with the Shema of Deuteronomy 6:4 - since it would clearly align with John 1:1 and Isaiah 44:6.

Meaning Two singular Beings that are Elohim and = one God=Elohim =plural word which translates as (Gods) and Echad = oneness in duality as depicted in the Shema of John 1:1 as well as the union of a man and a woman that become one Echad or at the rebellion of the Tower of Babel where the great multitude stated "let us be one".

This would in fact be in opposition to the Elohim that scattered them. Scripture indicates that both Elohim went down to see what the people were doing.

<https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Genesis+11%3A6&version=OJB>

Notice the use of the word **Echad** in reference to the oneness of the people that was comprised of a great multitude

Eternal Father # 2, the Angel of Lord, or Messenger of the Covenant

So, the question is what does the term Angel of the Lord imply? the answer is "the messenger of God" but not a created Angel such as the Angel that appeared to John in the book of Revelation.

The next question is why does the Angel of the Lord being the messenger - call himself God?

These two revelations present the reason as to why the word Elohim which translates as "Gods" is used in the Old Testament.

The Rabbinic Jews misconstrue the matter to imply that the word Elohim is used in reference to the gods of the nation's when clear evidence abounds that there are two Gods in the Old Testament.

One who remains unseen to humans and the other who has revealed himself as the Angel of the Lord which translates as The Angel of the unrevealed God= his messenger who is also God. Here is what the New Testament has to say about the Angel of the Lord. It states that God spoke to the Prophets in different ways and manners. Evidence reveals that the speaker himself was at the same time God as well as the Messenger of another God.

When we read the New Testament Book of John it basically reveals the same arrangement that is mentioned in the Old Testament which was that of Two Eternally transcendent beings. That is the reason as to why Jesus stated that he was the Alpha. During that point in time there was no father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life since Christ alongside God was also God as stated in John 1:1.

The Omega Scenario of one of the Elohim Ushering Eternal Righteousness

Hebrews 1 repeats the same theme and reveals the fact that Christ was God in the Old Testament in what is called the Alpha scenario and then later the matter of Sonship or the Omega scenario enters into the picture at the birth of Christ in which the Holy Spirit being Christ himself= Allos= Greek word for (another) of the same category but distinct over shadows

Mary and by assuming the form of the Holy Spirit he descends into the human genetics of Mary - - and later what begins to grow within the human Mary is the [uniquely begotten](#) [Son] of [God](#).

That is the **monogenes theos** – the uniquely begotten God

NOT - **monogenes hyios** - or **hyios ho theos** = uniquely begotten son

The human name given to him would be Jesus Christ. The name Jesus representing his humanity and the name Christ represents him as the pre-incarnate Messiah.

The second scenario of the Incarnation represents the **Omega scenario of Sonship** more commonly known as the [offspring of David](#).

Yet, Paul says of Christ in the Alpha scenario that he had neither father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life see [Hebrews 7:1-3](#).

Then the Apostle Paul goes on to say that **Melchizedek is made like unto the Son of God.** = **hyios ho theos**

Is this contradicting [John 1:18](#)?

No.

So what Paul is saying is that at the time frame of the Incarnation Christ [the Omega] He lowers himself from the Alpha scenario and assumes the role of Sonship in the Omega scenario and is born of the Virgin Mary.

Also, Christ being the pre-incarnate Melchizedek = Alpha - later assumes the role of Sonship [Omega] by being born on planet earth as a legitimate heir to the throne of David and

that is the reason as to why Psalm 110:4 states that the Messiah or David's Lord in the Alpha was actually Melchizedek himself or Christ.

Later when the Messiah takes upon himself human flesh, it is for the express reason of qualifying to become the Great High Priest **of the Order of Melchizedek in the Omega scenario** of Sonship since in this specific scenario, he is the Son of God according to the flesh, of the seed of David = offspring of David = The Gospel of God. See [Romans 1:3](#).

Whereas, when He rises from the dead, his former glory of Melchizedek is restored - but now, in the form of our humanity, He then fulfills the prophecy of Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God, thus **fulfilling** what **is stated in Psalm 110:4** as being of the **Order of Melchizedek** or Melchizedek made like unto the Son of God.

Hebrews 1 explains it best.

1God, having of old time spoken unto the fathers in the prophets by divers portions and in divers manners,²hath at the end of these days spoken unto us in^[a]his Son, whom he appointed heir of all things, through whom also he made the^[b]worlds;³who being the effulgence of his glory, and^[c]the very image of his substance, and upholding all things by the word of his power, when he had made purification of sins, sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high;⁴having become by so much better than the angels, as he hath inherited a more excellent name than they.⁵For unto which of the angels said he at any time,

^[d]**Thou art my Son, This day have I begotten thee?**

and again,

^[e]**I will be to him a Father, And he shall be to me a Son?**

⁶^[f]And when he again^[g]bringeth in the firstborn into^[h]the world he saith,^[i]And let all the angels of God worship him.⁷And of the angels he saith,^[j]Who maketh his angels winds, And his ministers a flame of fire:

⁸**but of the Son** *he saith,*

^[k]^[l]Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever; And the sceptre of uprightness is the sceptre of^[m]thy kingdom.⁹Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity; Therefore God, thy God, hath anointed thee With the oil of gladness above thy fellows.

¹⁰**And,**

^[n]Thou, Lord, in the beginning didst lay the foundation of the earth, And the heavens are the works of thy hands:

¹¹They shall perish; but thou continuest: And they all shall wax old as doth a garment;

¹²And as a mantle shalt thou roll them up, As a garment, and they shall be changed: But thou art the same, And thy years shall not fail.

¹³**But of which of the angels** hath he said at any time,

^[o]Sit thou on my right hand, Till I make thine enemies the footstool of thy feet?

¹⁴Are they not all ministering spirits, sent forth to do service for the sake of them that shall inherit salvation?

Verse 4 of [Psalm 110:1-4](#) states the following.

YHWH saith unto my Lord, Sit thou at my right hand, Until I make thine enemies thy footstool.

²YHWH will ^{1a}send forth the ^{1b}rod of thy strength out of Zion: Rule thou in the midst of thine enemies.

³Thy people ^{1c}offer themselves willingly in the day of thy ^{1d}power, ^{1e}in holy array: Out of the womb of the morning ^{1f}Thou hast the dew of thy youth.

⁴YHWH hath sworn, and will not repent: Thou art a priest for ever After the ^{1g}order of Melchizedek.

Notice how Jesus explained these verses by stating that the Messiah was David's Lord = **(Alpha scenario)**.

The rod of his strength translates as the **office of Kingship** which would be that of Priest and King which = the Order of Melchizedek. Out of the womb of the morning and the dew of thy youth is referencing his humanity and sonship which = (The Omega scenario) of the seed of David.

Then the sworn declaration that he the Messiah is a priest forever of the order of Melchizedek.

That last verse of [Psalm 110:4](#) fulfills the Apostle Paul's statement of "**Melchizedek made like unto the son of God**". See Hebrews 7:1-3

⁷For this Melchisedec, king of Salem, priest of the most high God, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings, and blessed him;

²To whom also Abraham gave a tenth part of all; first being by interpretation King of

righteousness, and after that also King of Salem, which is, King of peace;

³Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but **made like unto the Son of God**; abideth a priest continually.

Hebrews 6:19-20 defines who this High Priest is:

¹⁹which we have as an anchor of the soul, *a hope* both sure and steadfast and entering into that which is within the veil;²⁰whither as a forerunner Jesus entered for us, having become a high priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

Conclusion 1

The Muslim claim that God didn't have a son is a no and yes. In the Alpha scenario this statement is correct. In the Omega scenario of the throne of David it is incorrect for the mission of the Messiah being the son of God fulfills the perfect Righteous needed to rescue the first Adam and the rest of the human race meaning those who accept the Messiah as their Saviour and ruler over planet earth as evidenced from the prophecy of **Genesis 3:15**.

¹⁵and I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed: he shall ^{1a}bruise thy head, and thou shalt ^{1b}bruise his heel.

This prophecy is crucial in the matter of understanding what took place on planet earth in reference to the fall of the first Adam - who was also a Son of God - since he was created to administer the planet as its first genetic father.

His role was usurped by Satan who became the prince of this world after Adam ate of the Tree of the Knowledge of good and evil.

This matter has never been understood either by Islam and Rabbinic Judaism since both religious system - believe that as human beings, we can all stand on our own righteousness before the presence of God - when the Bible explicitly reveals that at the fall of Adam as ruler of planet earth, he became a captive of Satan, and **lost all of his inherent righteousness** and dominion over planet earth. = death.

The loss of his righteousness involved the decision that he made in reference to partaking of the fruit of the Tree of the Knowledge of good and evil.

Notice that it was Adam who was kicked out of the Garden and his wife followed him. God put an Angel with a flaming sword to bar the entrance to the Garden and the reason being so that he would not convert himself into an immortal sinner.

This barring from the Garden of Eden strikes at the heart of the doctrine of soul immortality which came from the voice of the evil one who passed down this information to the ancient mystery religions starting with Cain who built [Enoch city](#) which was to be a city of mysteries.

So, the majority of the Christian world is borrowing their theology of soul immortality not from the Bible but indirectly from Satan's statement to Eve.

God clearly told Adam that he would die if he would eat of the fruit of the tree and Satan stated the contrary in his conversation with Eve saying that she and her husband would not die but that their eyes would be opened and that they would know good and evil.

This fact alone of Adam's expulsion from the garden should register in the minds of Muslims and Rabbinic Jews that no one born on planet earth is inherently eternally righteous. This was clearly demonstrated in the book of Job which is mentioned later in this study.

Part 2

Sonship

The concept of Sonship **prior** to the Incarnation is more commonly known as the **Eternal Son doctrine of Roman Catholicism** which comes from the Greek mystical theology of Neo Platonism which teaches that the Universe sent forth a thought which they referred to it as being the Logos=Word of God and in turn this Logos according to them created all the material universe and was declared to be begotten yet not created.

Since according to this theological mess, the Logos proceeded from the Universe as a thought yet it wasn't created. Whereas the true Biblical teaching of the Logos is quite clear. "In beginning, the Word =Logos was with God = alongside God and was God."

The Biblical concept of the Logos is totally at odds with the occult teaching of Neo Platonism. The early Catholic church fathers borrowed heavily from Philo of Alexandria.

What the early church fathers did was basically relabel the Universe as God the Father and the Logos"= thought" as God the Son and the female principle the Holy Spirit.

While the latter is not apparently clear as in reference to the Holy Spirit and the role that Mary plays. The underlying **occult teaching** of

the Kabbala does reveal that Binah or wisdom is a female emanation.

Where this starts to become clear is the emphasis that the Roman church places upon Mary with respect to the doctrine of the Assumption of the Virgin Mary which mimics the resurrection of Jesus and goes beyond the role of Jesus by way of the doctrine of the Immaculate Conception.

That she was assumed to be free from what they refer to as original sin, she would then be able to protect Jesus within her so-called sanctified womb.

This flies in the face of Jesus Christ's Everlasting/Eternal righteousness which is set aside by way of these two doctrines which annul the concept of him and the Father alone possessing inherent Eternal righteousness by way of relegating to Mary the role of providing protection for Jesus from taking upon himself our fallen nature and defeating it in his flesh.

Whereas this is what the Bible has to say about this matter. **See Hebrews 2:**

¹⁴ Since then the children are sharers in [Ⓜ]flesh and blood, he also himself in like manner partook of the same; that through death he [Ⓜ]might bring to nought him that [Ⓜ]had the power of death, that is, the devil; ¹⁵ and [Ⓜ]might deliver all them who through fear of death were all their lifetime subject to bondage. ¹⁶ [Ⓜ]For verily not to angels doth he give help, but he giveth help to the seed of Abraham. ¹⁷ Wherefore it behooved him in all things to be made like unto his brethren, that he might become a merciful and faithful high priest in things pertaining to God, to make propitiation for the sins of the people. ¹⁸ [Ⓜ]For [Ⓜ]in that he himself hath suffered being tempted, he is able to succor them that are tempted.

Take note of verse 17 where it is stated that it behoved him in all things to be made like unto his brethren. This is clearly stating "all" things not just some "things".

Problem is that most theologians don't accept what scripture plainly states.

This verse has tremendous implications since it is basically stating that Jesus had to take upon himself our fallen nature and by doing so, he would defeat sin in the flesh which is the Lion's mouth.

Yes! Jesus would defeat sin within the Lion's mouth without committing sin.

Many modern-day theologians try to explain away these verses and still provide a false excuse without any theological evidence that Jesus was somehow protected and by doing this they give Credence to the doctrine of the Immaculate Conception.

Here is another verse which is white washed with the belief that the likeness of sinful flesh is not sinful flesh.

³ For what the law could not do, [Ⓜ]in that it was weak through the flesh, God, sending his own Son in the likeness of [Ⓜ]sinful flesh [Ⓜ]and for sin, condemned sin in the flesh: ⁴ that the [Ⓜ]ordinance of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the Spirit.

What needs to be understood is the fact that the slave form is the form of fallen humanity after the fall of Adam.

Adam did not have the slave form prior to his fall.

So, if Jesus came in the slave form of fallen humanity this now implies that he met sin on an equal footing and defeated it.

If he came with a nature like Adam's prior to the fall then that nature could not be referred to as being a nature of the slave form.

In certain instances, EGW claims that Jesus came with a pre-fall nature. The question is if a pre-fall concept is different from the Immaculate conception or are they saying the same thing granted that the Catholic teaching is much more fanciful and takes on a more occult approach which leans toward a Cabbalistic interpretation of the role of the Divine feminine.

So, if it behoved him in all things, this implies that he had to take upon himself our fallen nature yet without committing sin. "**All things**" implies – **ALL THINGS** and not just some things.

This is in fact Eternal Righteousness the fact that he could come into this world by assuming a nature like our own and defeating it within the Lion's mouth.

Some will say that Jesus wasn't 100% percent human since this would make him a fallen sinner and others would say that he wasn't 100% God on account of him not being able to represent us.

While these things appear to be true or are just human explanations in reference to Eternal realities of which our minds cannot totally comprehend, the matter of not being able to account for the body that God prepared for Jesus is pretty much summed up by the experience of Job who provided the universe with a special testimony but in the end, he too had to repent and acknowledge God's eternal righteousness is unfathomable.

EMANATIONS OF THE KABALAH

This would later be explained within the [THEOLOGY OF THE KABBALAH](#) = [10 emanations](#)

proceeding from the Void of the Universe which they refer to as [Ein Soff](#) which translates as "[nothingness](#)".

It is basically the same concept which is found in [Hinduism](#) or [Buddhism](#) which can be referred to as Pantheism meaning that everything in the universe is an emanation from the [god void](#). In the [Kabbalah](#) they believe that the first 3 emanations from the [VOID](#) are the [KETUR](#) or crown and then [BINAH](#) a female energy which is referred to as Wisdom and then [CHOKMA](#) a male energy.

So, when Christians claim to have a Trinity of 3, the Kabbalists say that they have 10 emanations.

This is the reason as to why all the religions of the world minus God's true remnant church will unite under the demonic teaching of the so-called [Law of One](#) which basically teaches that all living things, as well as inanimate things, whether physical or none physical, come from one source, or as they say, one super-consciousness.

The problem with this teaching is that it will be used to explain the **Shema of Deuteronomy 6:4** in an erroneous fashion which **CONTRADICTS THE REVELATION OF THE TWO GODS IN THE OLD TESTAMENT AS WELL AS THE SAME REVELATION IN JOHN 1:1.**

SIMON MAGUS

Regarding the female principle called [Binah](#) or wisdom, there was once a Sorcerer by the name of [Simon Magus](#) of [Samaria](#) who wanted to [buy the power](#) of the Holy Spirit when he witnessed the powerful miracles done by God by way of Philip one of the disciples of Jesus who was Baptizing people in Samaria.

Simon was what one would call today a Gnostic, [Gnosticism](#) is a very old heresy which can be

found in many world religions and was also prevalent during the first century AD and as a system of philosophy was also influenced by Neo Platonism. Being similar in certain aspects they parted ways.

Yet, there was a particular story about **Simon Magus** which states that he acquired a consort a local bar girl or prostitute whose name was [Helen of Tyre](#) and he changed her name to Sophia which back then was another name for Wisdom. Simon **began touting the false teaching** that Sophia was the female principle of Deity.

That is the reason as to certain Christian heresies teach that the Holy Spirit is feminine and they are actually getting this teaching from Kabbalistic sources. Since when **Jesus spoke** about the Holy Spirit - He stated that it was **himself** coming in a distinct form of the same category of himself - yet not a totally different third person - which would be the word Heteros and Jesus never used that word. See [John 14:16](#)

Jesus, used the word [Allos](#), as being himself. That is the reason as to why Jesus told his disciples that they knew the Holy Spirit since they had walked and talked to him not realizing that it was Christ himself.

That is the reason as to why [2 Corinthians 3:16-17](#) is so important on account of the fact that when one comes to know Jesus Christ - the veil is removed - and the unveiling reveals that the Spirit is Christ himself. See [John 14:18](#)

Conclusion 2

Both Islam and Rabbinic Judaism leave out the fact that there is a sin problem on planet earth and when Adam fell by way of eating of the Tree of the knowledge of good and evil, he was no longer righteous and could not redeem himself in order to have eternal life.

Whereas in the Garden of Eden he and Eve had conditional immortality which they lost upon Adam eating of this Tree thus handing over his dominion of planet earth over to Lucifer-Satan.

Fact is that both Islam and Rabbinic Judaism are devoid of a Redeemer who is eternally righteous and fulfills the prophecy of Genesis 3:15 as well as [Isaiah 53](#) suffering servant.

The same fact about the necessity of an Eternally Righteous Messiah Redeemer is revealed in the book of Job which is the oldest book of the Bible and in [Job 19:25-27](#) it is stated.

^{a]}But as for me I know that my^[b]Redeemer liveth,
And at last he will stand up upon the^[c]earth:
^{26[d]}And after my skin, *even this body*, is destroyed,
Then without my flesh shall I see God;
²⁷Whom I, even I, shall see,^[e]on my side,
And mine eyes shall behold, and not as a stranger.
My^[f]heart is consumed within me.

Fact is that both Islam and Rabbinic Judaism stand on their own righteousness which the Bible describes in the book of Isaiah as being filthy rags. Fact is that Jesus stated that there would be two resurrections, one of the Just and the other of the unjust.

²⁴Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that heareth my word, and believeth him that sent me, hath eternal life, and cometh not into judgment, but hath passed out of death into life.²⁵Verily, verily, I say unto you, The hour cometh, and now is, when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God; and they that^hhear shall live.²⁶For as the Father hath life in himself, even so gave he to the Son also to have life in

himself:²⁷and he gave him authority to execute judgment, because he is a son of man.²⁸Marvel not at this: for the hour cometh, in which all that are in the tombs shall hear his voice,²⁹and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have^ldone evil, unto the resurrection of judgment.

Inherent Righteousness and **ETERNAL RIGHTEOUSNESS**

Now notice what the book of Revelation has to say about those who claim to have inherent righteousness apart from the Eternal Righteousness that Jesus Christ has to offer. Here is the First resurrection which Jesus referred to as being the Resurrection of the righteous:

⁴And I saw thrones, and they sat upon them, and judgment was given unto them: and *I saw* the souls of them that had been beheaded for the testimony of Jesus, and for the word of God, and such as^lworshipped not the beast, neither his image, and received not the mark upon their forehead and upon their hand; and they lived, and reigned with Christ a thousand years.

Here is the second resurrection of those who are devoid of Jesus Christ's righteousness

Revelation 20

⁵The rest of the dead lived not until the thousand years should be finished. This is the first resurrection.⁶Blessed and holy is he that hath part in the first resurrection: over these the second death hath no^lpower; but they shall be priests of God

and of Christ, and shall reign with him^la thousand years.

⁷And when the thousand years are finished, Satan shall be loosed out of his prison,⁸and shall come forth to deceive the nations which are in the four corners of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to the war: the number of whom is as the sand of the sea.⁹And they went up over the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city: and fire came down^lout of heaven, and devoured them.¹⁰And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where are also the beast and the false prophet; and they shall be tormented day and night^lfor ever and ever.

¹¹And I saw a great white throne, and him that sat upon it, from whose face the earth and the heaven fled away; and there was found no place for them.¹²And I saw the dead, the great and the small, standing before the throne; and books were opened: and another book was opened, which is *the book* of life: and the dead were judged out of the things which were written in the books, according to their works.¹³And the sea gave up the dead that were in it; and death and Hades gave up the dead that were in them: and they were judged every man according to their works.¹⁴And death and Hades were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death, *even* the lake of fire.¹⁵And if any was not found written in the book of life, he was cast into the lake of fire.

Do you want to face God standing on your own righteousness? Your own so called inherent righteousness = self-righteousness? Don't think so!

Revelation 20:

and the dead were judged out of the things which were written in the books, according to their works.

After the fall of Adam **not one** human being is righteous in the eyes of God. **Job** was the most righteous person that lived on this planet - yet at the end he became **self-righteous** and had to repent since he could not stand on his own righteousness, when he witnessed the power and glory of God.

And was not able to answer the questions that God had presented to him since he believed that he was justified by his own righteousness in the presence of God.

This is what **Job** has to say today to Muslims and Jews who stand on their own righteousness.

42Then Job answered YHWH, and said,

²I know that thou canst do all things,
And that no purpose of thine can be restrained.

³Who is this that hideth counsel without knowledge?

Therefore have I uttered that which I understood
not,
Things too wonderful for me, which I knew
not.

⁴Hear, I beseech thee, and I will speak;
I will demand of thee, and declare thou unto
me.

⁵I had heard of thee by the hearing of the ear;

But now mine eye seeth thee:

⁶Wherefore I^[a]abhor myself,
And repent in dust and ashes.

⁷And it was so, that, after YHWH had spoken these words unto Job, YHWH said to Eliphaz the Temanite, My wrath is

kindled against thee, and against thy two friends; for ye have not spoken of me the thing that is right, as my servant Job hath.⁸Now therefore, take unto you seven bullocks and seven rams, and go to my servant Job, and offer up for yourselves a burnt-offering; and my servant Job shall pray for you; for him will I accept, that I deal not with you after your folly; for ye have not spoken of me the thing that is right, as my servant Job hath.⁹So Eliphaz the Temanite and Bildad the Shuhite and Zophar the Naamathite went, and did according as YHWH commanded them: and YHWH accepted Job.

¹⁰And YHWH turned the captivity of Job, when he prayed for his friends: and YHWH gave Job twice as much as he had before.

Job stated the following after his repentance and self-righteousness

²⁵^[a]But as for me I know that my^[b]Redeemer liveth,
And at last he will stand up upon the^[c]earth:

²⁶^[d]And after my skin, *even this body*, is destroyed,

Then without my flesh shall I see God;

²⁷Whom I, even I, shall see,^[e]on my side,
And mine eyes shall behold, and not as a stranger.

My^[f]heart is consumed within me.

Neither Islam nor Judaism are able to explain this paradox of the **Righteousness of God** by way of the Messiah Jesus Christ and the two scenarios of **Alpha and Omega** - as well as the **Duality of God** in John 1:1, as well as the Old

Testament revelation of the identity of the **Angel of the Lord** - which was Christ himself according to the Apostle Paul in 1 Corinthian 10:1-5.

Their 'one' god theology - is at a complete loss to reveal the true nature of God which is a Duality, whereas the book of Hebrews tells us in **Hebrews 1**

God, having of old time spoken unto the fathers in the prophets by divers portions and in divers manners,²hath at the end of these days spoken unto us in^[a]his Son, whom he appointed heir of all things, through whom also he made the^[b]worlds;³who being the effulgence of his glory, and^[c]the very image of his substance, and upholding all things by the word of his power, when he had made purification of sins, sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high;

What the Apostle Paul is basically stating is the fact that from hindsight meaning - that from the Omega scenario, going back in time - Jesus Christ is identified by the concept of Sonship - and was in fact, the same Personage who "created all things" in the Alpha scenario which was all prior to the sonship of the human genetics' scenario known as the Omega whose Biblical definition is "of the seed of David".

Strict monotheism runs into major problems in Exodus 23:21.

²⁰Behold, I send an angel before thee, to keep thee by the way, and to bring thee into the place which I have prepared.²¹Take ye heed before him, and hearken unto his voice;^[d]provoke him not; for he will not pardon your transgression: for my name is in him.

Notice that this Angel can pardon sin and according to the Old and New Testament - only God can forgive sin. Later the apostle Paul will elaborate on that statement by identifying this Angel as Christ himself prior to the Incarnation.

Now Exodus 23:21 is very interesting since it proves that there are in fact **Two Yahwehs** as stated in Isaiah 44:6 and we have seen that Exodus 23:21 states that the name of the invisible God resides within the bosom or heart of the Angel of the Lord.

This is also mentioned in the New Testament of the son being in the bosom of the Father but the way it is interpreted by Christians is also erroneous since in the preincarnate scenario of the Alpha as stated by Jesus himself in Revelation 22:13-16 there was no sonship but only the Root of David alongside Eternal Father # 1.

The term the root of David is another name for the Messiah being pre-existent before King David as stated by Jesus when he explained Psalm 110:1-4 and called the Messiah David's Lord.

MARK 12

Jesus clearly revealed this matter in Mark 12 where the initial conversation was based on the matter of the "one God" and one of the religious leaders spoke up and told Jesus that he was right and that God was to be loved with our whole, soul, heart, mind and strength.

²⁸And one of the scribes came, and heard them questioning together, and knowing that he had answered them well, asked him, What commandment is the first of all?²⁹Jesus answered, The first is,^[e]Hear, O Israel;^[f]The Lord our God, the Lord is one:³⁰and thou shalt love the Lord thy

God^{with} all thy heart, and^{with} all thy soul, and^{with} all thy mind, and^{with} all thy strength.³¹The second is this,^{Thou} shalt love thy neighbor as thyself. There is none other commandment greater than these.³²And the scribe said unto him, Of a truth, Teacher, thou hast well said that he is one; and there is none other but he:³³and to love him with all the heart, and with all the understanding, and with all the strength, and to love his neighbor as himself, is much more than all whole burnt-offerings and sacrifices.³⁴And when Jesus saw that he answered discreetly, he said unto him, Thou art not far from the kingdom of God. And no man after that durst ask him any question.

Now notice what Jesus told the scribe. He told him that he wasn't far from the Kingdom of God, but he didn't say that the scribe - had arrived at the Kingdom of God.

In the following verses notice where Jesus takes the conversation which was previously about the "one God."

³⁵And Jesus answered and said, as he taught in the temple, How say the scribes that the Christ is the son of David?³⁶David himself said in the Holy Spirit,

^{the} Lord said unto my Lord, Sit thou on my right hand, Till I make thine enemies^{the} the footstool of thy feet.

³⁷David himself calleth him Lord; and whence is he his son? And^{the} the common people heard him gladly.

These common people rejoiced and had arrived at the Kingdom of God on account of the recognition of the DUALITY of Psalm 110:4 where David's Lord was seen as being the

Messiah which to all intents and purposes was Eternal Father #2 whose name was Melchizedek as stated by the Apostle Paul in Hebrews 7:1-3.

What is not properly understood is the following:

Why was the transcendent Messiah of Psalm 110:1-4 who was prior to David, referred to as being of the Order of Melchizedek?

The answer to that question is in respect to both the **Alpha and Omega** scenarios - where Christ prior to the Incarnation, is purely God and is actually Eternal Father # 2 and was known by Paul to be Melchizedek the Priest of God which was Christ prior to the Incarnation. Of Melchizedek Paul stated the following.

For this Melchizedek, king of Salem, priest of God Most High, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings and blessed him,²to whom also Abraham divided a tenth part of all (being first, by interpretation, King of righteousness, and then also King of Salem, which is, King of peace;³without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God), abideth a priest continually.

So, this Eternally Transcendent Being known as Melchizedek = the Logos of John 1:1 who was present in Beginning - and - was with God, -or- alongside God, and was also God.

There were Two Individual Separate Singular Beings and not a compound unity or a Trinity or just one singular being. **Nor** is it Binitarianism two in one substance.

John 1:1 is very clear in reference to numerical definition which in this case its Two Gods as is Revelation 22:1 The Throne of God and the Lamb.

In [Revelation 5](#) it is stated that this **Lamb** is the **Root of David** meaning the [Alpha scenario](#) and is also of the [Omega scenario](#) which is the **offspring of David**.

Once we establish the roles of these two scenarios of Eternally transcendent Godhood and later Sonship we can then proceed to understand the reasons as to why Melchizedek being the same individual Christ, is also referred to as being of the Order of Melchizedek.

The Order of Melchizedek falls under the category of Sonship.

When Melchizedek aka Christ took upon himself our humanity he had to overcome and once he overcame sin in the human form where the first Adam had failed, he then qualified to be our Great High Priest of the Order of Melchizedek as stated in **Hebrews 5**

For every high priest, being taken from among men, is appointed for men in things pertaining to God, that he may offer both gifts and sacrifices for sins:²who can bear gently with the ignorant and erring, for that he himself also is compassed with infirmity;³and by reason thereof is bound, as for the people, so also for himself, to offer for sins.⁴And no man taketh the honor unto himself, but when he is called of God, even as was Aaron.⁵So Christ also glorified not himself to be made a high priest, but he that spake unto him,

Thou art my Son,
This day have I begotten thee:

as he saith also in another *place*,

Thou art a priest for ever
After the order of Melchizedek.

Who in the days of his flesh, having offered up prayers and supplications with

strong crying and tears unto him that was able to save him from death, and having been heard for his godly fear,⁸though he was a Son, yet learned obedience by the things which he suffered;⁹and having been made perfect, he became unto all them that obey him the author of eternal salvation;¹⁰named of God a high priest after the order of Melchizedek.

USHERING ETERNAL RIGHTEOUSNESS

The Alpha scenario of Two eternally transcendent Beings

Part 3

So, once Christ assumed "sonship" in the form of Jesus Christ, being born of the Virgin Mary, and taking upon himself our human genetics, he became the uniquely begotten Monogenesis Theos = uniquely begotten God. John 1:18.

Later, Paul tells us that after overcoming, he becomes perfect in obedience in human flesh, where the First Adam failed.

Paul describes it in this way.

⁹and having been made perfect, he became unto all them that obey him the^[d]author of eternal salvation;¹⁰named of God a high priest after the order of Melchizedek.

Another description of the Order of Melchizedek is found in Hebrews 7:1-3 where Paul states the following

³without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God), abideth a priest continually.

Now notice what the Apostle Paul states regarding the time frame of Jesus Christ becoming Great High Priest of the Order of Melchizedek.

¹⁹which we have as an anchor of the soul, a hope both sure and steadfast and entering into that which is within the veil;²⁰whither as a forerunner Jesus entered for us, having become a high priest for ever after the order of Melchizedek.

It was at the Resurrection from the dead that Jesus Christ retook his **former glory** as Melchizedek which would swallow up his humanity and restore the former glory and greatness of being Eternally transcendent.

But now in the form of our humanity since he overcame sin in the flesh since he took upon himself our genetics and by overcoming sin in the flesh, he qualified to be Great High Priest of the Order of Melchizedek thus fulfilling Paul's prophecy of Melchizedek "made like unto the Son of God."

Now with this background of what constitutes the difference between

Melchizedek and the Order of Melchizedek we can return to the discussion of **Mark 12**.

Notice, how Jesus shifts the attention of the previous discussion of the one God, over to the Revelation of the Messiah as being King David's Lord and **not** the Son of David.

The implications of this matter **are so important** since Jesus is revealing that the Messiah was before David, since David called the Messiah his Lord.

And thereby in that Alpha scenario of Transcendent eternal existence, there were Two Lords and King David's statement reveals that one of those Lords was his Lord.

This was for the following reason - David's Lord was to be the Messiah Jesus Christ.

In other words, Jesus would be the second Adam or Son of God.

The concept of the son of God in the Alpha scenario is non-existent since there were no human genetics in the declaration of John 1:1 only Two Singular Beings that were eternally transcendent. And later, one of them, thought it nor robbery to be equal with God but to lower himself of the form of God =- Phil 2 for the following reason - which was the fall of planet earth by way of the first Adam.

And the reason being was that Lucifer or Satan usurped the ruler ship of the throne of planet earth whose owner was the first man Adam who was placed on that throne as planet earth's genetic Father whose job was to administer the planet on behalf of God.

The first Adam failed in administering the task that God had chosen him for and for this reason the whole planet came under condemnation

and the results from that time and hence forth would be death, disease and decay.

This is not only seen here on earth but in the Universe as well. Our own solar system reveals planets that are in a state of decay or disarray and this is clearly stated in Genesis where the earth would be cursed in reference to the growth of its produce and death and sickness and decay would commence on account of Adam's transgression.

Scientists are telling us that based on the **Law of Entropy** the universe is decaying and will eventually collapse.

Fact is that the Bible states the same thing in [Hebrews 1](#):

¹⁰And, ¹⁰Thou, Lord, in the beginning didst lay the foundation of the earth, And the heavens are the works of thy hands:
¹¹They shall perish; but thou continuest: And they all shall wax old as doth a garment;
¹²And as a mantle shalt thou roll them up, As a garment, and they shall be changed: But thou art the same, And thy years shall not fail.

Here we can see that John 1:1 is being quoted in reference to Jesus Christ and that the Universe changes but the Son doesn't change, he lasts forever.

For some, this statement poses a conundrum since a Son has an origin or a point in time which in Jesus' case was when the Holy Spirit overshadowed Mary but what is not understood is that Christ existed before Jesus Christ and what was taking place is what is mentioned in Philippians 2.

⁵Have this mind in you, which was also in Christ Jesus:⁶who, existing in the form of

God, counted not the being on an equality with God a thing to be grasped,⁷but emptied himself, taking the form of a¹⁰servant,¹¹being made in the likeness of men;⁸and being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself, becoming obedient *even* unto death, yea, the death of the cross.

The inversion of the name of Jesus Christ to Christ Jesus appears to imply a descent from the Alpha = Christ to the Omega of sonship which= Jesus Christ= humanity and divinity merge.

The Throne of God over planet Earth:

Why do the nations¹⁰rage, And the peoples meditate a vain thing?
²The kings of the earth set themselves, And the rulers take counsel together, Against YHWH, and against his anointed, *saying*,

³Let us break their bonds asunder, And cast away their cords from us.

⁴He that sitteth in the heavens will laugh: The Lord will have them in derision.

⁵Then will he speak unto them in his wrath, And¹⁰vex them in his sore displeasure:

⁶Yet I have set my king Upon my holy hill of Zion.

⁷I will tell of the decree: YHWH said unto me, Thou art my son; This day have I begotten thee.

⁸Ask of me, and I will give *thee* the nations for thine inheritance, And the uttermost parts of the earth for thy possession.

⁹Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; Thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel.

¹⁰Now therefore be wise, O ye kings: Be instructed, ye judges of the earth.

¹¹Serve YHWH with fear, And rejoice with trembling.

¹²Kiss the son, lest he be angry, and ye perish in the way, For his wrath[ⓐ] will soon be kindled. [ⓓ]Blessed are all they that take refuge in him.

So, it is this throne of God of **Psalm 2** that is setup in **Revelation 22:1** in which the Two Eternally existent Beings reign on the Throne - yet one of laid down his life to ransom a fallen planet.

This is the fundamental problem with Islam and Rabbinic Judaism since both fail to explain the conflict and entrance of sin on planet earth and what God's original solution provided in the form of an **Eternally Righteous individual "Christ"** who would lay down his life in order to ransom it from the rulership of Satan who was cast out from heaven since he wanted to be like the Most High which = the Two Eternally existent beings as stated in the form of plurality in **John 1:1**.

It is this specific conflict that is still present in these last days of earth's history and the FIRST ANGEL'S message of **Revelation 14:6,7** provides insight as to the present conflict in relation to the command that is given

⁶And I saw another angel flying in mid heaven, having[ⓐ] eternal good tidings to proclaim unto them that[ⓓ] dwell on the earth, and unto every nation and tribe and tongue and people;⁷and he saith with a great voice, Fear God, and give him glory; for the hour of his judgment is come: and[ⓐ] worship him that made the heaven and the earth and sea and fountains of waters.

The command is to worship him who created the heavens and the earth and the seas and the revelation of this matter is found in **John 1:1- 3**

1In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.²The same was in the beginning with God.³All things were made through him; and without him[ⓓ] was not anything made that hath been made.

That is why Jesus also stated, "before Abraham "I Am"

Jesus also stated in John 14:9 "If you have seen me, you have seen the Father".

Here Jesus is clearly stating that he is Eternal Father # 2. Same thing is stated in Isaiah 9:5-7.

One of the names of the Messiah is "Everlasting Father" thus again confirming that the Messiah was before King David and was in fact an Everlasting Father.

The reason that God brought in the concept of Sonship in reference to the Omega scenario was on account of the Fall of Adam and his giving over his authority/sovereignty to Satan.

This necessitated a plan which was established prior to the foundation of the world that if Adam should fail then **Eternal Father #2** would lower himself and take the slave form of human genetics and assume the role of Sonship by being born on earth as a descendent of King David since King David's throne on planet earth was the Messianic throne placed on planet earth which would restore the Kingship of planet earth back to the second Adam son of God by birth on planet earth as the Monogenesis Theos or uniquely begotten son of God.

The First Adam would not perish but would be restored and given access to the Tree of Life which was removed from planet earth during the time of his fall.

The Restoration of Planet earth:

21And I saw a new heaven and a new earth: for the first heaven and the first earth are passed away; and the sea is no more.²And I saw^{the} the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, made ready as a bride adorned for her husband.³And I heard a great voice out of the throne saying, Behold, the tabernacle of God is with men, and he shall^{dw} dwell with them, and they shall be his peoples, and God himself shall be with them,^{and} *and be* their God:⁴and he shall wipe away every tear from their eyes; and death shall be no more; neither shall there be mourning, nor crying, nor pain, any more: the first things are passed away.⁵And he that sitteth on the throne said, Behold, I make all things new. And he saith,^{Write} Write: for these words are faithful and true.⁶And he said unto me, They are come to pass. I am the Alpha and the Omega, the beginning and the end. I will give unto him that is athirst of the fountain of the water of life

Restoration of the Tree of Life:

22
¹And he showed me a river of water of life, bright as crystal, proceeding out of the throne of God and of^{the} the Lamb,²in the midst of the street thereof. And on this side of the river and on that was^{the} the tree of life, bearing twelve^{manner} *manner* of fruits, yielding its fruit every month: and the leaves of the tree were for the healing of the nations.³And there shall be^{no} no curse any more: and the throne of God and of the Lamb shall be therein: and his^{servants} servants shall serve him;⁴and they shall see his face; and his name *shall be* on their

foreheads.⁵And there shall be night no more; and they need no light of lamp, neither light of sun; for the Lord God shall give them light: and they shall reign^{for} for ever and ever.

Omega scenario

Jesus also stated that the **Omega** scenario was of the **offspring of David** - which aligns with the information provided in Romans 1:3. This is the problem that Muslims are not able to understand the Bible since both latter day Judaism after 34 AD and Roman Catholic Christianity have been tainted by Greek mystical theology which is not apparent in Judaism according to the Bible but it is present in Judaism according to the Kabbalah whose mystical interpretations of God being called Einsoff =nothingness.

This is basically the same theology which was formulated by Philo of Alexandria who was a Neo Platonist Jew who lived during the second century BC who influenced the Catholic Church fathers with Neo Platonist interpretations of the Godhead which were codified in the 4th century AD by way of the doctrine of the Eternal Son and its theological companion the Trinity doctrine.

Whereas the Universe of the Neo Platonists being a **Void** was later depicted by the Catholic fathers as being the Father. Philo of Alexandria also taught that the void of the Universe created a thought or that a thought issued forth from the void in the form of an emanation from the Universe and that this so-called "thought" which is referred to as the Logos in turn created everything else in the material world. Whereas the Logos of the New Testament in John 1:1 is totally different from that of Greek mystical theology.

The difference being the following, the Word or Logos was present in beginning which has been described as a Transcendental era of which there was never a time in which the Logos didn't exist, he always was as stated by Paul in reference to Melchizedek in Hebrews 7:1-4 and by Jesus himself in Revelation 22:13-16 Alpha scenario and John 1:1's "in beginning"= the Transcendental era.

Omega scenario

"Son of God according to the flesh according to the seed of David." Fact is that these two scenarios of Alpha and Omega are not properly understood in the 5 worlds of strict monotheism which are Judaism, Islam and Catholic as well as Protestant Christianity as well as the 7th Day Adventists who accepted the [Trinity doctrine](#) in 1980. More on this later since it is a vast topic but when not properly discerned it lands the believer into the theological camp of the one god advocates.

Back to the Angel of the Lord in Exodus 23:21

This is **not a minor matter** of just saying that the Angel of the Lord loves the one who could be designated as Eternal Father # 1. It is implying that the name of Everlasting Father number 1 is also found within Everlasting Father number 2 which is aka = the pre-incarnate "Messiah" or Angel of the Lord. It is very interesting what Paul stated about Christ in reference to the Angel of the Lord in 1st Corinthians 10:5

⁴and did all drink the same spiritual drink: for they drank of a spiritual rock that followed them: and the rock was^{1a}Christ.⁵Howbeit with most of them God was not well pleased: for they were overthrown in the wilderness.

Also, the prophecy of [Isaiah 9: 6-7](#) states the following

⁶For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given; and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called^{1b}Wonderful, Counsellor, Mighty God,^{1c}Everlasting Father, Prince of Peace.⁷Of the increase of his government and of peace there shall be no end, upon the throne of David, and upon his kingdom, to establish it, and to uphold it with justice and with righteousness from henceforth even for ever. The zeal of YHWH of hosts will perform this.

As we can see, the Messianic prophecy clearly identifies the Messiah as being Everlasting Father # 2 since his role is properly portrayed as the child who is born and the son who is given and for what purpose? The answer to set up God's Kingdom over planet earth in the Omega scenario of Sonship.

TWO GODS

So, if there are in fact Two Gods in the Old Testament how do we prove this?

1 Corinthians 10

For I would not, brethren, have you ignorant, that our fathers were all under the cloud, and all passed through the sea;²and were all baptized^{1a}unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea;³and did all eat the same spiritual food;⁴and did all drink the same spiritual drink: for they drank of a spiritual rock that followed them: and the rock was^{1b}Christ.⁵Howbeit with most of them God was not well pleased: for they were overthrown in the wilderness.

This explains the statement of the Angel of the Lord **not** pardoning the sins of Israel since he was displeased with them and he was according to Paul's statement Christ himself prior to the Incarnation.

Now notice [Isaiah 44:6](#)

Thus saith YHWH, the King of Israel, and his Redeemer, YHWH of hosts: I am the first, and I am the last; and besides me there is no God.

The last word for God in Isaiah 44:6 would be **Elohim** = plural translates as "Gods" = John 1:1.

Most people who interpret this verse adopt and assume and use a *monotheistic* approach without referring to the Hebrew.

Yes! He is the Messiah and the King and Redeemer of Israel but the numerical value of the Elohim which is a plural word then brings John 1:1 into the picture in relation to the invisible God Eternal Father # 1 and the visible Angel of the Lord Eternal Father # 2 who would later come as the Messiah Jesus Christ.

Jews deny the usage of the word Elohim as being plural and only applied to the gods of the nations. But the problem is that as we have seen, there are in fact two Gods in the Old Testament.

Another case in point when the **Angel of the Lord** appears to **Hagar**, she calls him. [El Roi](#), the God who sees me. This expression defines the singular Angel of the Lord, yet the God who remains hidden, is only revealed by way of the Angel of the Lord and later by Jesus Christ who did arrive at the Temple in Jerusalem in order to cleanse it according to the prophecy of [Malachi 3](#)

The main challenge posed to the Muslim and Jewish world, lays in the fact that the **Angel of**

the Lord refers to **himself** in Exodus 3 as being the *Father of Abraham Isaac and Jacob* – meaning, that here we have a singular being who is interacting with Moses and calls himself the Angel of the Lord - yet Muslims and Jews, call him the Father.

Yet in other instances it is claimed that the Father was hiding behind the Angel of the Lord as to not reveal his presence since he according to them would be the one true God.

Yet scripture presents no such thing as the Father hiding behind the Angel of the Lord as some Muslims believe.

There is no evidence for such a statement since the Angel of the Lord clearly states that he is also God and would have all his attributes.

There is also **no evidence** for a Trinity speaking to Moses. With that stated, then we go to the book of **Ezekiel** and here again we see the description that [Ezekiel](#) presents as a humanoid looking being and he is sitting over the Cherubim.

Ezekiel is very explicit in reference to his description. There is no triple headed god revealed in all of these scenarios. Yet what is apparently clear is the indirect revelation of Two independent singular beings = Gods = Elohim. Yet the other remains invisible and the manifestation that appears is that of the singular Everlasting Father # 2

Here again, there is no Trinity but only a singular being sitting above the four cherubim that escort the one who is sitting in the throne above the four living creatures.

Now, in reference to the Spirit as described in Ezekiel, we see that the Spirit that interacts with Ezekiel's mind is an extension of the one sitting

on the throne whom Ezekiel describes as being the God of Israel, "Yahweh".

This clearly matches with Paul's statement in **2 Corinthians 3: 16-17**

¹⁶But whensoever^[a] it shall turn to the Lord, the veil is taken away.¹⁷ Now the Lord is the Spirit: and where the Spirit of the Lord is, *there* is liberty.

Ezekiel 2

And he said unto me, Son of man, stand upon thy feet, and I will speak with thee.² And the Spirit entered into me when he spake unto me, and set me upon my feet; and I heard him that spake unto me.³ And he said unto me, Son of man, I send thee to the children of Israel, to nations that are rebellious, which have rebelled against me: they and their fathers have transgressed against me even unto this very day.

It is from the description of the Burning Bush experience of Moses in Exodus 3 as well as this particular description of Yahweh as well as the vision of Ezekiel 1 and the reference to the Spirit = Holy Spirit that we can understand the reason as to why one of the Messiah's names is Counsellor since this is one of the names that are applied to the Messiah in [Isaiah 9:6-7](#)

⁶For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given; and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called^[b] Wonderful, Counsellor, Mighty God,^[c] Everlasting Father, Prince of Peace.⁷ Of the increase of his government and of peace there shall be no end, upon the throne of David, and upon his kingdom, to establish it, and to uphold it with justice and with righteousness from

henceforth even for ever. The zeal of YHWH of hosts will perform this.

Why does the last statement say that the zeal of YHWH of hosts will perform this?

The answer is found in [John 12:](#)

³⁷But though he had done so many signs before them, yet they believed not on him:³⁸ that the word of Isaiah the prophet might be fulfilled, which he spake,

^[a] Lord, who hath believed our report? And to whom hath the arm of the Lord been revealed?

³⁹For this cause they could not believe, for that Isaiah said again,

⁴⁰^[b] He hath blinded their eyes, and he hardened their heart; Lest they should see with their eyes, and perceive with their heart, And should turn, And I should heal them.

⁴¹These things said Isaiah, because he saw his glory; and he spake of him.

John 12 is speaking about the vision of Isaiah and the specific vision in relation to the matter is [Isaiah 6](#) where the Lord of Hosts is identified as Christ himself prior to the Incarnation.

Then that chapter ends by stating that they didn't believe in Jesus' miracles, yet, Isaiah mentioned his glory. So, the question is whose glory? It had to be Everlasting Father # 2 aka Jesus Christ.

The Holy Spirit

Another of the same category as Jesus, but different

The word **Allos** which translates as of the same category but distinct, appears to entail the holistic nature of Eternal Fathers 1 and 2 in reference to the Spirit, and not so much as an external third person which is designated as another totally different individual by way of the word **Heteros**.

Problem for the advocates of the Trinity - is that Jesus didn't use the word **Heteros**, he used the word **Allos** in order to designate the Holy Spirit as himself coming in the form of Christ himself, as a third person, in his pre-existent nature, yet not in the role of his humanity, since [Hebrews 1:6](#) states the following.

⁶And when he again bringeth in the firstborn into the world he saith, And let all the angels of God worship him.

Worship him how?

The answer being the human form, and that is the reason why Holy Spirit is not worshiped in the New Testament post Incarnation scenario of the Omega – that is to say, in his pre-existent form of **Allos** who at the time of the Incarnation is of the same category but distinct from Jesus Christ on account of Jesus now made in our human flesh.

Prior to the incarnation, he was in the **Alpha** scenario, of being God, without human genetics, as well as being Melchizedek, priest of God and that is why the apostle Paul wrote in Hebrews 7 regarding Melchizedek.

For this Melchizedek, king of Salem, priest of God Most High, who met Abraham returning from the slaughter of the kings and blessed him,²to whom also Abraham divided a tenth part of all (being

first, by interpretation, King of righteousness, and then also King of Salem, which is, King of peace;³without father, without mother, without genealogy, having neither beginning of days nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God), abideth a priest continually.

⁴Now consider how great this man was, unto whom Abraham, the patriarch, gave a tenth out of the chief spoils.

You will take note of the fact that Paul is not talking about a spiritual office, since he is clearly alluding to the fact that Abraham paid tithe to Melchizedek, whom Paul addresses as a man but in reality, is not, since he appeared in that form, and there are times when the Angel of the Lord is referred to as being a man or humanoid in appearance.

The interesting thing about the topic of Melchizedek is the fact that Islam cannot expound on the matter since there is no information in the Koran about Melchizedek especially in relation to how Jesus spoke about the Order of Melchizedek in reference to his own sonship as stated in **Psalm 110:4** "you are of the Order of Melchizedek"

Yet, what is hidden is the fact that Jesus stated that King David stated that the Messiah Melchizedek was his Lord.

But in order for that to be, is revealed by the words of Jesus, in Revelation 22:13-16, since the **Omega** scenario is Jesus Christ as the **Son of David** whereas the **root of David** would have to be Christ in the **Alpha** scenario as the pre-existent word of John 1:1 whose "In beginning" statement matches with what Paul stated about Melchizedek in Heb 7 as not having father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life.

Fact is that **Psalm 110:1-4** presents both the **Alpha** scenario of the Eternal Christ being the

Lord of King David, and it also presents, the **Omega** scenario of Sonship.

Whereas the Messiah at the Incarnation is referred to as being of the **Order of Melchizedek** on account of to overcome sin and temptation as described in [Hebrews 5](#) which is being quoted from Psalm 110:1-4

For every high priest, being taken from among men, is appointed for men in things pertaining to God, that he may offer both gifts and sacrifices for sins:²who can bear gently with the ignorant and erring, for that he himself also is compassed with infirmity;³and by reason thereof is bound, as for the people, so also for himself, to offer for sins.⁴And no man taketh the honor unto himself, but when he is called of God, even as was Aaron.⁵So Christ also glorified not himself to be made a high priest, but he that spake unto him,

^[a]Thou art my Son,
This day have I begotten thee:

⁶as he saith also in another *place*,

^[b]Thou art a priest for ever
After the order of Melchizedek.

⁷Who in the days of his flesh, having offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto him that was able to save him^[c]from death, and having been heard for his godly fear,⁸though he was a Son, yet learned obedience by the things which he suffered;⁹and having been made perfect, he became unto all them that obey him the^[d]author of eternal salvation;¹⁰named of God a high priest after the order of Melchizedek.

You can read the New Testament from beginning to end and **you will not** find a reference to the **Holy Spirit** being worshiped

even though he is God in the person of the pre-existent Word / Logos or Messiah or Christ.

The command from Eternal Father # 1 is to worship him in the form of the Son since that is in line with what Jesus stated about the Omega scenario seed or offspring of David.

¹³I am the Alpha and the Omega, the first and the last, the beginning and the end.¹⁴Blessed are they that wash their robes, that they may have^[a]the right to come to the tree of life, and may enter in by the^[b]gates into the city.¹⁵Without are the dogs, and the sorcerers, and the fornicators, and the murderers, and the idolaters, and every one that loveth and^[c]maketh a lie.

¹⁶I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things^[d]for the churches. **I am the root and the offspring of David, the bright, the morning star.**

As we have seen that the Spirit was not a third person in the vision of Ezekiel neither was it a third person in the vision of Moses and the Burning Bush but was holistically part of The Angel of the Lord whom Paul states was Christ prior to the Incarnation since Jesus himself stated that before Abraham "I am".

Allos = Holy Spirit from the Greek translates as another of the same category but distinct whereas as Heteros translates as a totally different individual. When Jesus spoke about the Holy Spirit he was speaking in reference to himself coming in another form, that is why he told his disciples that he would not leave them desolate that he would come unto them as Allos and not Heteros since Heteros implies a totally different third person which is the Roman Catholic teaching that the Holy Spirit is not the Father or the Son yet Isaiah 9:5-7 states that one

of the Messiah's names is Counsellor which is the name Jesus used for the Holy Spirit.

Also 2 Corinthians 3:16-17 states the following thus contradicting Roman Catholic theology that the Spirit proceeds from the Son which contradicts [Rev 4 and 5](#) - Since the Spirit is himself the Messiah and the Spirit being Christ himself overshadowed Mary in the Incarnation which means that Jesus Christ proceeded from the Holy Spirit and

¹⁶And I will^[f]pray the Father, and he shall give you another^[g]Comforter, that he may be with you for ever,¹⁷eventhe Spirit of truth: whom the world cannot receive; for it beholdeth him not, neither knoweth him: ye know him; for he abideth with you, and shall be in you.¹⁸I will not leave you^[h]desolate: I come unto you.

Why did Jesus say this? Because he was in fact **the messenger of the covenant** or **Angel of the Lord**. The apostle Paul revealed it in [1 Cor 10:2,3,4,5](#)

For I would not, brethren, have you ignorant, that our fathers were all under the cloud, and all passed through the sea;²and were all baptized^[a]unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea;³and did all eat the same spiritual food;⁴and did all drink the same spiritual drink: for they drank of a spiritual rock that followed them: and the rock was^[b]Christ.⁵Howbeit with most of them God was not well pleased: for they were overthrown in the wilderness.

Notice that the book of [Malachi 3](#) states about Christ arriving at the Temple in Jerusalem.

Behold, I send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the

Lord, whom ye seek, will suddenly come to his temple;^[a]and the^[b]messenger of the covenant, whom ye desire, behold, he cometh, saith YHWH of hosts.²But who can abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner's fire, and like fullers' soap:³and he will sit as a refiner and purifier of silver, and he will purify the sons of Levi, and refine them as gold and silver; and they shall offer unto YHWH offerings in righteousness.

Well! Indeed, this prophecy was fulfilled and the fact is that Malachi was the last prophet of the Old Testament and then came 400 years of silence known as the intertestamental period and the first prophetic voice to break out was that **of John the Baptist** thus fulfilling what Malachi stated about the **Messenger** who would prepare the way for the Lord.

Jesus would purify the sons of Levi by example of cleansing his father's house which was a conditional prophecy since he later stated the following, "*Behold your house is left desolate unto thee*" since they refused to be cleansed and the new priesthood and Royal Holy nation are the believers in Jesus Christ.

Yes, Jesus in His pre-existent Identity was as He said – I am Alpha and He can rightly state He is the Omega = Rev 22:13,16.

He by the Incarnation is the Uniquely begotten God – He was Also God in the Ancient Ages = John 1:1 He is also made like the Son of God and is Great High Priest after the Order of Melchizedek.

He was Melchizedek at the time of Abraham – having no beginning or origins. The command is to worship He who Made in Rev 14:6-7. This is the First Angels warning.

IMPLICATIONS

This fullest application of LSFOTW and Eternal Righteousness is in the time Jesus called the Abomination of Desolation which is coupled with the warning of the First Angel, the Second and the Third.

In other words, the ushering in of the Everlasting Righteousness of the Alpha and Omega about the Godhead has its implications to the time of the 1290 lit. days of Matt 24:15.

The compelling evidence of the Eternal Alpha Identity of Two Fathers, yet, the Omega holds forth to our feeble minds an unfathomable application of Eternal Righteousness.

The ushering of Eternal Righteousness means the sons of Adam of the last generation are ushered in – which is the 144,000.

Why? Well, it's entirely related to the special testimony of Rev 14:6-7. And the True Shema of John 1:1. God said their mouths are cleansed of all guile = special Testimony.

Implication is staggering and final.

The final judgement is the Third Angels WARNING which is as Jesus said is assigned at the +30 days after the 1260 time of the First Beast.

Doubt it?

Reread Dan 12:11 and discover why Jesus associated that to the end of days including the blessing of they who waiteth for the 1335.

ALWAYS remember – Rev 13:8 is the Divine Juncture concerning the most important issue of Rev 13 which is not the two beasts. No indeed.

What is needful to see is what is stated about the LSFOTW = Rev 13:8 and is the entire basis of Eternal Righteousness.

Any reason to sustain doubt? Notice 13:9 - *If any man have an ear, let him hear.*

The top priority of Rev 13 through to the end of the 1335 days is not the MOTB it is all about a special testimony of Christ and why ERx is uniquely superior to Rx.

Why is this? Because absent entirely in the eternal righteousness of HWM is any claim of any individual to inherent righteousness = self-righteousness.

Just faith in He who made and your testimony of Him. = Rev 14:1-5.

Doubt that? Well, consider what God says – and note the finiteness, that a limit exists to the patience of God – and all the while in the time of the Abomination of Desolation is the testimony about the marvellous gift of ERx which was fore ordained for all humanity in Rev 13:8. And why the command is to worship He who made of the First Angel for as has been shown in this discussion that Christ was also God in beginning.

Notice what Jesus ALSO related about the Abom of Desolation of Matt 24:15 that who so readeth let him understand as well. Why? It is all about the First Angels warning and the Two Divines of John 1:1-3

Deny the First Angels warning?

Well, here is the problem - disregard the warning First Angels warning of HWM = Alpha and Omega of Christ then the destruction of the third angels warning is set out below. Ignore the True Shema? Then

The same shall drink of the wine of the wrath of God, which is poured out without mixture into the cup of his indignation; and he shall be tormented with fire and brimstone in the presence of the holy angels, and in the presence of the Lamb:

¹¹ And the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever: and they have no rest day nor night, who worship the beast and his image, and whosoever receiveth the mark of his name.

--)------
Swift Messenger
--)------

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.
Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.
Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: New South Wales (Aust) - Florida - New Mexico (USA).
In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com
Man in Linen videos: [5 Agendas Channel](#)

Any portion of WWN – Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – “Reprinted from ‘Watchman, what of the night?’ Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.