

TOVIA SINGER

Zech 9

Matrilineal or Patrilineal

Bene Elohim

The Nephilim problem

Alpha & Omega in the OT?

Part 3

Shocker! Former Muslim Tries to Convert Rabbi Tovia Singer to Christianity at the Kotel!

https://youtu.be/fcYljMZ0ARA

In this very recent link, filmed at the <u>Kotel</u> of the Great City, a Friday keeper who converts to Christianity, who no longer has a stoney heart asks Tovia Singer [TS], a question about Christ.

Now here's the thing - TS knows how to control a theological argument, end to end, whether interjection of rambling thought, voice modulation, or appealing to the sympathies and gets the Christian questioner to be on the run – and then, losing his point about Christ, which if allowed to be conveyed articulately is only denigrated by TS saying, you can do better than a dog drinking from a cistern, which means the process of slicing and dicing gets harder and harder, to defend esp when TS moves and dodges and cites passages of the OT at random, and won't budge, since he uses the authority of the Hebrew Bible Tanakh only – but at the same time randomly quotes the OT.

TS says – "Isaiah is his rabbi." Yet how would he respond to Jesus in John 4:22 that "salvation is of the Jews." And would TS insist this is true emphatically. There would be no denying. What you are witnessing in this vid is a microcosm of the time of the 3T and the rabbinate defending their monotheism.

Some questions

The question is - is any form of monotheism a formidable argument?

The other question is why did Daniel say the curtain of probation, was the termination of the 70 weeks, and then why the Kotel was trodden down of the gentiles until the times of the gentiles were fulfilled in our generation. Lk 21:24.

Caiaphas knew Jesus emphasised Two Powers in Matt 26:60-66 – referring to Dan 7:13.

So, is quoting Christ accurately sufficient?

Or citing <u>Isaiah 44:6</u> Where Two Hashem's [Fathers] are stated? = Hashem Melech Yisroel and Hashem Tzva'os;

Psalm 110:1-4

This Psalm 110:1-4 of David moves the conversation once more to who is Melchizedek and why 11Q13 describes Melchizedek as Elohim, let alone what is the Order of Melchizedek. This has been previously discussed. Here.

Any discussion about monotheism must return to **Dan 7:13** [see <u>16:15</u> marker of the vid] and then Daniel 9's 70 heptads are 'determined' upon = probationary time, was what was to be determined.

And then why did as Jesus say - J city must be "trodden down" from 70AD to the times of the gentiles was fulfilled = Lk 21:24. The year 1967 and the Six Day War was the ochri hou and 1980 the fulfilment.

The vid of the interaction at the Kotel – is how you catch a glimpse of the detestation Paul and what he endured and what you will notice is the intensity of the responses from TS and is it no wonder God sends the Two Witnesses in Revelation chapter 11! In other words if you watch the vid you see

a microcosm of the 1260 days of the 8th king at the 3T.

Very Important

At <u>16:15</u> TS addresses **Dan 7:13-14.**

Now notice what Daniel stated ...

¹³ I saw in the night visions, and, behold, one like the Son of man came with the clouds of heaven, and came to the Ancient of days, and they brought him near before him.

¹⁴ And there was given him dominion, and glory, and a kingdom, that all people, nations, and languages, should serve him: his dominion is an everlasting dominion, which shall not pass away, and his kingdom that which shall not be destroyed.

OBSERVE CAREFULLY

That the Two Individuals in this text = one like the son of a man, and the Ancient of Days is true – **but take note** TS is strongly emphasising the paternal line of man and not the maternal lineage = <u>Genesis 3:15</u> = John 1:14 where the <u>monogenes theos</u> = the uniquely begotten God.

As "monogenes theos" this is Christ's Omega status.

It's not even open to debate because the antecedent of monogenes theos in

context means the former subject, Ho Logos = The Word of Jn 1:1 is the evidence of Two Powers and also confirms the Logos was His Alpha Identity prior to entering humanity.

This is further magnified especially in respect to what Gabriel said to Mary in Luke 1:35 that the Messiah would be of the maternal line.

Then, back to the vid - TS begins in on citing **Zech 9:10** and yet doesn't identify who is Benny **Ephraim** and by not saying who Benny Ephraim is – TS is assuming its Benny David in disguise.

The answer for Tovia about Dan 7:13 is the following from his Rabbi Isaiah 9:6-7

A child is born a Son is given and he shall be called Everlasting Father since the expression Son of man deals with the Messianic throne in reference to one who proceeds from days of old which according to Dead Sea scrolls, can only be Angelic figures such as Michael and Melchizedek.

Michael is Daniel's Prince which = a Messianic title and Document 11Q13 states in line with Paul that Melchizedek is Deity.

In <u>Hebrews 7:1-3</u> Paul states that Melchizedek had no Father or Mother or

beginning of days nor end of life. "Made like unto the Son of God".

Melchizedek as well as being Priest conducts the Day of Atonement during the 10th Jubilee. Keep in mind this is what is stated in 11Q13, whose importance is not the Jubilee time frame, but the reference to Melchizedek being Deity.

This was prior to Rabby J changing the concept to one who had a genealogy. Then later came the Canaanite thesis. It is to be noted the final battle between the sons of light and darkness is deep within the 3rd woe.

Genesis 3:15 is matrilineal

The era of 2nd century BC was matrilineal. It appears that Rabbinic J did some alterations.

https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ajs-review/article/abs/origins-of-the-matrilineal-principle-in-rabbinic-law/C5468CCFE24CEB916B533B511DC47828

With regard to **Joseph** being Jesus' adopted father what must not be forgotten is why Genesis 3:15 states that the Messiah comes through the seed of the woman

¹⁵ And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy

seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.

The Bible answers TS confusion in Psalm 110:1-4 by stating that David's Lord which is synonymous with the "root of David" or Alpha scenario which is also New Testament theology in Revelation chapter 5 as well as Revelation 22:13-16.

And connected to the throne of David as its commencement = Root of David since the concept of **Isaiah 9:6-7** "a child is born and son given being called Everlasting father" is linked to **Micah 5:2** that Messiah comes from days of Everlasting and the fact is that Rabbinic Judaism applies this to King David.

But they actually fail to explain Psalm 110:1-4 being the "root of David" as in David's Lord - who sat alongside another Lord which has to be the Everlasting Transcendental throne of John 1:1.

This Individual in Psalm 110 who is David's Lord is also sent by the other Being sitting next to him.

So, if he is sitting next to God and is David's Lord, this means that he comes from Days of Old, and precedes David himself, and that is the reason as to why Jesus used Psalm 110:1-4 and asked the question to the religious leaders who the Messiah was?

They believed the Messiah to be the Son of David and when Jesus presented the fact that David called one of the two who were sitting alongside each other his Lord, there was nothing else they could say and remained silent.

Yet the average person heard these words and they rejoiced in the truth of Two Divine beings and one of them was King David's Lord. They heard Him gladly!

If you are the root of something the rest is connected to you and this makes the line of Joseph irrelevant and just a matter of representation since Zechariah 3 presents the Angelic figure who is sending the **Branch Messiah**.

Angel of the Lord

The question of the importance of the Angel of the Lord in Zechariah is very important since he is stating that he himself is sending his slave the Messianic Branch Messiah.

⁶ And the angel of Jehovah protested unto Joshua, saying, ⁷ Thus saith Jehovah of hosts: If thou wilt walk in my ways, and if thou wilt keep my charge, then thou also shalt judge my house, and shalt also keep my courts, and I will give thee □a place of access among these that stand by. ⁸ Hear now, O Joshua the high

priest, thou and thy fellows that sit before thee; for they are men that are a wsign: for, behold, I will bring forth my servant the Branch. ⁹ For, behold, the stone that I have set before Joshua; upon one

It needs to be kept in mind that <u>John</u> <u>12:39-40</u> states that the Lord of Hosts was the pre-existent Christ.

Isaiah's two visions testify of this.

Isaiah 53:1 and Isaiah 6:1-10

6 In the year that king Uzziah died I saw the Lord sitting upon a throne, high and lifted up; and his [a]train filled the temple. ² Above him stood the seraphim: each one had six wings; with twain he covered his face, and with twain he covered his feet, and with twain he did fly. ³ And one cried unto another, and said, Holy, holy, holy, is Jehovah of hosts: [b]the whole earth is full of his glory.

⁴ And the foundations of the thresholds shook at the voice of him that cried, and the house was filled with smoke. ⁵ Then said I, Woe is me! for I am undone; because I am a man of unclean lips, and I dwell in the midst of a people of unclean lips: for

mine eyes have seen the King, Jehovah of hosts.

- ⁶ Then flew one of the seraphim unto me, having a ^[c] live coal in his hand, which he had taken with the tongs from off the altar: ⁷ and he touched my mouth with it, and said, Lo, this hath touched thy lips; and thine iniquity is taken away, and thy sin ^[d] forgiven.
- ⁸ And I heard the voice of the Lord, saying, Whom shall I send, and who will go for us? Then I said, Here am I; send me.
- ⁹ And he said, Go, and tell this people, Hear ye ^[e] indeed, but understand not; and see ye ^[f] indeed, but perceive not.
- ¹⁰ Make the heart of this people fat, and make their ears heavy, and shut their eyes; lest they see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and ^[g] understand with their heart, and turn again, and be healed.

John 12

John 12 concludes with verses 9 and 10 of Isaiah's vision and states that they didn't believe in him - even though He performed miracles. It is stated that Isaiah beheld his pre-existent glory.

37 But though he had done so many signs before them, yet they believed not on him: 38 that the word of Isaiah the prophet might be fulfilled, which he spake,

Lord, who hath believed our report?And to whom hath the arm of the Lord been revealed?

- ³⁹ For this cause they could not believe, for that Isaiah said again,
- He hath blinded their eyes, and he hardened their heart;
 Lest they should see with their eyes, and perceive with their heart,
 And should turn,
 And I should heal them.
- 41 These things said Isaiah, because he saw his glory; and he spake of him. 42 Nevertheless even of the rulers many believed on him; but because of the Pharisees they did not confess wit, lest they should be put out of the synagogue: 43 for they loved the glory that is of men more than the glory that is of God.

Isaiah saw the glory of Christ in the form of the Lord of Hosts.

It is Isaiah 6 and verses 39 and 40 are in reality verse 10 of Isaiah 6

³⁹ For this cause they could not believe, for that Isaiah said again,

40 to He hath blinded their eyes, and he hardened their heart;
Lest they should see with their eyes, and perceive with their heart,
And should turn,
And I should heal them.

⁴¹ These things said Isaiah, because he saw his glory; and he spake of him. ⁴² Nevertheless even of the rulers many believed on him

Isaiah 6:

⁹ And he said, Go, and tell this people, Hear ye windeed, but understand not; and see ye windeed, but perceive not.

¹⁰ Make the heart of this people fat, and make their ears heavy, and shut their eyes; lest they see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their heart, and turn again, and be healed.

John is quoting the vision that Isaiah saw of Christ in his pre-existent glory. [His Alpha Identity]

Whereas the first vision of Isaiah is Isaiah 53:1 and onward there are two glories revealed. The first is the suffering servant

and the second is the Lord of hosts. Both relate to Christ.

The Nephilim problem

Bene Elohim

The comparison to the red-haired cone heads is the most interesting thing now for TS to answer. Why? This gets back to Genesis 3:15 which is matrilineal based on mitochondrial DNA unto salvation

Tovia has to explain why the angels took women, and begotten from the daughters of men were Nephilim giants' men of renown and they were **not** virgin birth.

And Hashem said they were only evil continually. Gen 6 and the Bene Elohim.

https://www.thetorah.com/article/the-benei-elohim-the-watchers-and-the-origins-of-evil

For verily David slew the giant and this would mean the Davidic throne had to be via what Isaiah 9:6-7 states which is unto us a Child is born a son is given who was also known as Everlasting Father and then to retain the likeness of sinful flesh.

Isa 9:6-7 could be said to be the theological fusion point for salvational entirely based on Gen 3:15 and then cometh the monogenes theos entering humanity = the Alpha & the Omega.

Considering the fact that TS could use the traditional teaching of the Seth line eventually intermarrying with the line of Caine. It is beyond reason how these two men, Seth and Caine being human, could develop giants, along with having 6 fingers and toes.

Unless sin caused a genetic anomaly when the two strains of humanity intermarried

But that anomaly is not convincing and one would much rather believe the Bible authority - that it was the matter of the fallen Angels tinkered with male sperm at the bene Elohim level, inseminated and procreated a monstrosity.

The men of renown.

Albeit, or perhaps by-passed male sperm and managed to somehow introduce their own blueprint which might not be called DNA into human women.

Tovia has clearly the Nephilim problem since Bene Elohim are now introduced into the human genome.

https://megalithicmarvels.com/2023/04/15/utahs-ancient-six-fingered-bigfoot-petroglyph/

What is to be noted – is Lucifer created a male or paternal based conception program to procreation of the giants of Pre-Flood and then the red head giants after the Flood. Defying the more

difficult maternal conception of the monogenes Theos the uniquely begotten God. John 1:18. In other words Tovia uses the patrilineal reasoning with Dan 7:13 missing the point one like the son of man who is received by the Ancient of Days **MUST be** a matrilineal concept because of Gen 3:15.

https://www.genome.gov/genetics-glossary/Mitochondrial-DNA

Mitochondrial DNA is the circular chromosome found inside the cellular organelles called mitochondria. Located in the cytoplasm, mitochondria are the site of the cell's energy production and other metabolic functions. Offspring inherit mitochondria — and as a result mitochondrial DNA — from their mother.

From their mother = Isaiah 9:6 = Gen 3:15.

Heaven's intervention

The thing is that Rabby Js are denying the intervention in heaven, and from heaven on planet earth, in reference to the 'sin problem' and the one who comes from the heavens as in days of old.

The matter of Genesis 6

The matter of Genesis 6 brings in what is contrary to Genesis 3:15 and TS would have to explain why the Angels did this and for what reasons.

The reasons appear to be the corruption of the human genome with foreign DNA as well as impeding by corruption the genetic line of Genesis 3:15 during the flood and this would account why Noah was perfect in his generations.

Whereas the traditional explanation leaves out this matter of Satan creating giants in his own image, since in the traditional view it is still two races of humans and it would simply be moral corruption – unless, Satan also did his alterations, by way of the traditional church belief, and that is possible as well, since he did it with Canaanites who were still human.

Tovias Patrilineal emphasis

Tovias Patrilineal emphasis of Daniel 7:13 is a basic and serious error

Why:

Since to them Rabbi J, Satan helps God in the Old Testament, as a sort of servant who does God's bidding.

So, in order to bypass the responsibility of analysing the implications of Genesis 6 = a patriarchal intervention, TS would have to explain the matter of "image and likeness" in relation to the matter of **Mount Hermon** and the 200 angels that descended, as well as the implications of the Paracas skulls, as well as the Indian legends of the Cannibalistic giants in Death Valley and here and the Anasazi Apocalypse as well as the chronicles of

the Catholic priest speaking of the giants practicing gay rituals and that a lightning bolt from heaven cut them down.

Mount Hermon is a contradiction of the Transfiguration.

Both involve sonship.

Both involve Genesis 3:15 and Genesis 6.

Mount Hermon though not in the Bible, is synonymous with Genesis 6.

This means HWM's order to wipe out the Rephaim and all the other giant races - was the real meaning of the OT.

Paracas skull notes

Concerning the Paracas skulls, this is where the work of Brian Forrester comes in, [see here] because the Paracas skulls is a phenomenon far removed from the mainstream belief of the Genesis account of the Sethites inter marriage with the line of Caine, yet still in line with the Genesis 6 anomaly.

The Paracas introduces the Sidonians by way of the red hair gene which was traced to Lebanon.

Ezekiel 28 presents a race of people who were the epitome of Satanic intelligence and malice, as well as portraying a transhumance element. This is the reason for the Greek myths of Neptune and

Poseidon, the latter which presents the mythology of the King of the Seas prophetic "motif" along with the etymology of the name which links the matter of identification to the Sidonians.

That the Sidonians operated in the Mediterranean Sea is that this may have brought forth the legends of Atlantis. Here.

Yet there appears to be a much older Atlantis since the area of Cuba and the Bimini wall shows that there was an ancient civilization buried underneath the ocean very close to Cuba along with so called sightings of glass pyramids underneath the ocean.

This Atlantis appears to have been sunken with the rise of the post ice age waters in the eastern Atlantic.

Conclusion

The Bene Elohim patrilineal concept of the Nephilim / Rephaim giants takes Tovia to the place of theological internment and utter difficulty.

The patrilineal concept cannot be applied to Dan 7:13 which is TS comfort response because as has been shown Gen 3:15 reveals the mitochondrial is of the woman's seed.

This aspect of Dan 7:13 is on another level and beyond the scope of TS to explain, without applying continual damage to the Hebrew Bible.

Alpha & Omega in the Old Testament?

Is there a reference to the Alpha and Omega of Rev 22:13,16 to be found referred in the OT? Or is it something special that only emerges after the Offspring of David scenario.

Yes, the OT does confirm the A&O.

Zechariah 3

*Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou and thy fellows that sit before thee; for they are men that are a wign: for, behold, I will bring forth my servant the Branch. For, behold, the stone that I have set before Joshua; upon one stone are seven eyes: behold, I will engrave the graving thereof, saith Jehovah of hosts, and I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day.

The OT reference to the "7 eyes" in Zech 3:9 = Revelation chapter four's "7 spirits before the throne of God" = Alpha and "I will remove" - is Himself in the Omega scenario as the perfect sacrifice.

Its Eternal Father # 2 speaking in the Alpha scenario and His sending Himself in the slave form of human genetics = the Branch. Allos overshadowed Mary to prove by the matrilineal empirical law of DNA is the basis of Gen 3:15.

Further, Malachi 3, is very specific the Messenger of the Covenant will arrive at his Temple.

3:1 Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the covenant, whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith the LORD of hosts.

And Jesus entered the temple[a] and drove out all who sold and bought in the temple, and he overturned the tables of the money-changers and the seats of those who sold pigeons. 13 He said to them, "It is written, 'My house shall be called a house of prayer,' but you make it a den of robbers." (Matt. 21:12, 13)

It is the covenant in;

Zech 9:11 As for thee also, by the blood of thy covenant I have sent forth thy prisoners out of the pit wherein [is] no water.

Zechariah addressing the King of Israel - comes riding on the donkey, the one having "salvation" in Zech 9:9. There's only one such King written about - associated with a temple, salvation and a covenant for the people, The Messiah. Christ is the Messenger of the Covenant.

Psalm 110 Tovias idolatry argument

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IMa14pSuz1A&ab channel=ToviaSinger

Tovia responds in this vid that Psa 110:1-4 is an idolatry argument

So, Psalm 110 in context means David would have to be of the Order of Melchizedek. LOL! And that David had no Father or mother or beginning of Days nor end of life. LOL!

Fact is that 11Q13 destroys Tovias argument and references the ancient understanding of Melchizedek as Deity and 11Q13 stands as witness against him, as does Michael in Daniel 12:1 standing up from his place of authority, as arbiter of the resurrection.

It is also being said that David was of the Order of Melchizedek.

Problem is that the Order of Melchizedek is comprised of Alpha and Omega and David was only a part of the omega.

Wonderful Numberer

The Angel with an Ego?

So why is this Angel talking about his name being Wonderful and secret?

Why is he telling Manoah this since its pointing to the greatness of the Angel. The Angelic figure is ascribing glory to himself, unlike the Angel in Revelation 22 who tells John to worship HWM.

22:8 And I John saw these things, and heard [them]. And when I had heard and seen, I fell down to worship before the feet of the angel which showed me these things.

22:9 Then saith he unto me, See [thou do it] not: for I am thy fellow servant, and of thy brethren the prophets, and of them which keep the sayings of this book: worship God.

Judges 13

So why is this Angel talking about his name being Wonderful and secret in Judges 13?

Why is he telling Manoah this - since its pointing to the greatness of the Angel.

TS needs to analyse this matter of <u>Judges</u> <u>13</u> because the Angelic figure is ascribing glory to himself, unlike as you just read the Angel in Revelation who tells John to worship HWM.

Name - It is Wonderful

Judges 13:18 And the angel of Jehovah said unto him, Wherefore askest thou after my name, seeing it is [c] wonderful?

American Standard Version

¹⁸ And the angel of the LORD said to him, "Why do you ask my name, seeing it is wonderful?"

RSV

¹⁸ And the messenger of Jehovah saith to him, 'Why [is] this -- thou dost ask for My name? -- and it [is] Wonderful.'

Young's Literal

¹⁸ And the Malach Hashem said unto him, Why askest thou thus after my shem, seeing it is FELI (supremely wonderful [see <u>Isa</u> 9:5(6); <u>Ex 15:11</u>])?

Orthodox Jewish version

¹⁸ But the Angel of the LORD said to him, "Why do you ask my name, seeing it is wonderful (miraculous)?"

AMP

¹⁸ And he answered him: Why askest thou my name, which is wonderful?

Douay-Rheims 1899 American Edition

Further references – here

11Q13.

Who were the writers of these ancient texts Hebrews?

Decedents of house Japhet?

https://www.biblicalarchaeology.org/daily/biblicalartifacts/dead-sea-scrolls/who-wrote-the-dead-seascrolls-2/

This Hebrew cultural evidence brings back the Two Powers or Two Divines as a valid thesis, and proof of John 1:1.

Unfortunately, TS is very vague on the matter of Psalm 110:1-4

Whether Hashem said to Adonai is from greater to lesser, it still points to Two Individuals and this "second" Lord cannot be King David.

From Tovias inaccurate perspective - the fact is, it's the Omega scenario - but from the way Jesus presented it, it's the Alpha scenario. David did not fulfill verses 1-4.

Then one can see it from the perspective of Zechariah 3:7-9

⁷Thus saith the LORD of hosts; If thou wilt walk in my ways, and if

thou wilt keep my charge, then thou shalt also judge my house, and shalt also keep my courts, and I will give thee places to walk among these that stand by.

⁸ Hear now, O Joshua the high priest, thou, and thy fellows that sit before thee: for they are men wondered at: for, behold, I will bring forth my servant the BRANCH.

⁹ For behold the stone that I have laid before Joshua; upon one stone shall be seven eyes: behold, I will engrave the graving thereof, saith the LORD of hosts, and I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day.

The Angel of the Lord is the heavenly form and the Branch Messiah, David's Lord, is the slave form.

But ultimately its Father in Heaven who speaks to the Word.

Yet in Zecharia 3:7-9 The Angel of the Lord is speaking with the authority of sending the Branch Messiah. Compare Zech 3 with Rev 1

Revelation 1

⁴ John to the seven churches which are in Asia: Grace be unto you, and peace, from him which is, and which was, and which is to come; **and** from the seven Spirits which are before his throne;

⁵ **And** from Jesus Christ, who is the faithful witness, and the first begotten of the dead, and the prince of the kings of the earth. Unto him that loved us, and washed us from our sins in his own blood,

Conclusion

An angel, whose name is wonderful, is Davids Lord in Psalm 110 that delineates Two Powers and also Dan 7:13 and as has been explained validates the Deity of Melchizedek that defines the Order of Melchizedek. The Lord of Hosts the BRANCH, ordered the destruction of the Rephaim, the Bene Elohim. The maternal line of Genesis 3 can never be scientifically violated and to do so one denies salvation and healing the One who was cut off in the midst of the week in Dan 9:24-27.

Part 4 to follow

)
Swift Messenger
)

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.

Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com Man in Linen videos: https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas

Any portion of WWN—Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line— "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".