

Prince of this world

Hereafter I will not talk much with you: for the prince of this world cometh, and hath nothing in me. John 14:30

Pt 2

The Prince of this world discussion - now considers the **Two Divines** and **the Northern Part of the Universe** = the Void and this brings the forefront the entire point of Isaiah 14.

This chapter of Isaiah is about Lucifer aka King of Babylon and in fact is the antithesis of First Angel warning of Rev 14. These two chapters of the Bible also have an apotelesmatic summation of the 8th king and Matt 24:15 = the Abomination of Desolation as spoken by Daniel the Prophet.

<u>Isaiah 14</u> records what was actually the first war against John 1:1.

Since "<u>In Beginning</u>", (no article) is a transcendental period of time, there were in beginning - strictly Two Individual independent, eternally existent, Eternal Fathers, in the Universe.

The rebellion of Lucifer [Isa 14] and his ascendence to the Northern part of the Universe verse 14 was his attempt to be like the Most High and to enter into that forbidden realm of which he was never permitted access or invited, since he was a created being.

Take note of the fact that John 1:1 does not state that "In Beginning" there was the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. The reason being is that most Christians have failed to realize that there are two scenarios in relation to the position of Christ prior to the Incarnation and Jesus Christ after the Incarnation.

These two scenarios are referred to in scripture as the <u>Alpha and the Omega</u>.

Most Christians assume that this simply implies the Beginning and the End - but upon close inspection, there is, way more to the matter.

Add to the fact that there is a third term, which separates both the **Alpha** and **the Omega** from each other, and should be placed in the <u>middle</u>, since it is a defining point in reference to "Sonship" and what "Sonship" really means.

Why the middle?

I am referring in the mind of the believer as a logical combination that the Alpha and Omega are separate eras, such as defined in Rev 22:13 = <u>Root and</u> <u>Offspring of David.</u>

Because <u>Romans 1:3</u> = offspring of David. That statement in Romans 1 marks the definition of sonship and **there can be no other 'sonship' prior to the Incarnation**. Sonship of Romans 1:3 begins at the Incarnation period. = <u>Luke</u> <u>1:35</u>

The text in question is <u>Romans 1:3</u> = "Son of God according to the flesh, according to the seed of David.

Once this is understood then the difference between the Alpha and the Omega in relation to what Jesus stated in Revelation 22:13 and 16 can be clearly understood since he stated that he was the Root of David, which means that he came before David, and that he is also, the offspring of David.

Both terms **Alpha and Omega** are synonymous with the term "<u>Root and</u> <u>Offspring of David.</u> Because both define the beginning and the end.

- 2 -

In the Alpha, Christ [Logos] is God = John 1:1 that is the why of the term "Christ Jesus" is used to define his equality with God in <u>Philippians 2:5-7</u>. In the Alpha he was purely and eternally God as well as the upcoming Messiah.

Yet Sonship, <u>cannot</u> be defined by the Alpha - since there were no human genetics in combination with the Divine Spirit which overshadowed Mary. In other words, no "mono genesis Theos" of <u>John</u> <u>1:18</u>, or "<u>uniquely begotten</u>".

The fall of Adam puts Jesus Christ in the position of the second Adam, whose job it was to rescue not only the First Adam, but the whole planet itself from the dominion of Satan. The Prince of this world.

That is the reason as to why the Isaiah 9 prophecy defines "Sonship" correctly from the vantage point of the Omega scenario or seed of David as stated in Romans 1:3.

Isaiah 9:6-7 states that " a child is born a son is given". Take note of the fact that this didn't happen in the heavens. Neither was Christ an emanation from the father at some point in time prior to the creation of the ages as taught in both the Catholic and Orthodox creeds.

Fact is that both the Catholic version and the Orthodox version basically say the

same thing, and cannot be upheld, from the Bible – because they don't match what is stated in John 1:1.

Also, once <u>Romans 1:3</u> is interposed between these two concepts of Alpha and Omega as well as the <u>Root</u> and Offspring of David = <u>Rev 22:16</u> - most sensible and intelligent people, should arrive at the realization, that Romans 1:3 = sonship according to the flesh, and is defined by the Bible as the way of the **Omega scenario**.

That means of course, the Omega and yes repeated - as defined by the Bible = the offspring of David, thus agreeing with <u>Romans 1:3</u>. The "Last" is clearly defined in <u>Hebrews 1:2</u> as the Omega scenario.

> ² hath at the end of these days spoken unto us in \square his Son, whom he appointed heir of all things, through whom also he ^{made} the \square worlds;

Upon close analysis, it appears that this is referring to Sonship, in the context of when he made the worlds. But contextually speaking, that term of Sonship, is in reference to these last days, which is synonymous with the Omega scenario or offspring of David.

So how do we know this?

The answer is that Jesus Christ is the uniquely begotten Son of God, but how was he begotten?

It was that point in time, when the uniqueness of Jesus Christ, came into incarnate reality which was when the Holy Spirit overshadowed Mary and the Holy Individual which was within the womb of Mary, would be a combination of God along with the genetic human DNA of Mary. = Luke 1:35

So, it is from the vantage point of **Romans 1:3** as well as the melding of the Divine Spirit along with the human genetics of Mary that the term "Offspring of David" is properly defined. You have sonship.

This along with the term "last days" of Heb 1:2 also defines the term - Omega. Since the Omega scenario "ushers in Eternal Righteousness" as defined by the 70 weeks prophecy in Daniel 9.

Insurmountable facts

Some cackle and insist, that contextually speaking that Hebrews 1:2 is still speaking about Sonship in the Alpha scenario. The problem is an **insurmountable** problem for them, because in the fact that in Eternity, there **were no human genetics of Mary** present and so the uniquely begotten aspect of the Greek term Monogenesis is missing from the Alpha scenario. The Logos never existed as a Son in eternal times. Isa 9:6-7 confirms this.

Add to another **insurmountable fact** - that Jesus Christ is of the "Order of Melchizedek" which fulfills the Apostle Paul's prophecy in <u>Hebrews 7:1-3</u>. Why?

For Melchizedek had – "no father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life but he was made like unto the Son of God "- clearly proves that prior to the Incarnation, Melchizedek was Christ and had no beginning.

But **another insurmountable fact** is John 1:1 proves that there were Two eternally Transcendent Beings - the Word, who was in Beginning alongside God, and was God, is the only Being that fits the specifications of Melchizedek of whom Paul stated had no father or mother or beginning of days nor end of life and made like unto the Son of God.

Melchizedek being priest of God, who appeared to Abraham after the rescue of Lot brought out the emblems of Passover which were bread and wine which alluded to the fact that Melchizedek **would be made like** unto the Son of God.

Next part 3 – Neo Platonism impacts the first Angel.

Hereafter I will not talk much with you:

for the prince of this world cometh,

and hath nothing in me.

John 14:30

To be continued

--)-----

Swift Messenger

--)-----

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer. Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen. Email: <u>maninlinen@protonmail.com</u>

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA. In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas</u>

Any portion of WWN – Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".