


*"Watchman,  
what of the night?"*

*"The hour has come, the hour is striking, and striking at you,  
the hour and the end!"* Eze. 7:6 (Moffatt)



# *Prince of this world*

Neo Platonism - impacts  
the first Angel

Hereafter I will not talk much with you:  
for the prince of this world cometh,  
and hath nothing in me.

**John 14:30**

Pt 3

Many Christians are so deceived in reference to the history of the doctrines that are found in their churches, that they fail to realize that the errors have always been right in front of their noses in the form of Ecclesiastical Creeds.

This is specifically targeting both the Catholic and Eastern Orthodox creeds which state that **"Jesus Christ was begotten, not created, prior to the ages"**.

At first glance, one might think that there is a difference between these two concepts. But in reality, they are the flip side of each other, since both present a point in time when Christ was not

in existence. That is contrary to John 1:1-3 and therefore First Angel of [Rev 14:6-7](#)

The doctrine of "Begotten" in prior to the ages for example, implies that Christ came out of the Father, as a clone. Whereas the **Arian belief** that Jesus Christ was created – born in eternity - is simply the flip side of the coin. **The same thing.**

The former, is trickier than the latter, since it states that Jesus issued forth from the Father, but was not created. It was [Philo of Alexandria](#) the Greek Jewish scholar that formulated the theology of the **ETERNAL SON DOCTRINE** [here](#) as taught in the Greek Philosophical system of Neo Platonism.

Some will say – "No way, Just hold it right there!"

But the facts are clear. Neo Platonism believes that a "Thought" issued forth from the Void of the Universe and this thought which = the Logos or Word in turn created the rest of the material world.

Upon close scrutiny we see in Neo Platonism that the Universe was a **void** and the thought was actually begotten as coming forth from the void of the Universe and according to Greek philosophical reasoning this thought which was referred to as the "Logos" was Begotten not created.

It is a well-known fact that the early Catholic Church fathers borrowed heavily from Neo Platonism.

The void of the Universe – see part 1 of this series, was labelled as the Father, and the Son would be the "thought" = logos that issued forth or emanated from the (Universe's father). The "Thought" was referred to as the "Word" which in turn created the rest of the material world and

would later be called the son. The problem is that the Biblical inspiration by way of the Holy Spirit which was given to the Apostle John - contradicts this philosophical interpretation.

[John 1:1](#) which begins with the expression "In Beginning" = en arche.

Note that John 1:1 does not present any article such as the word "The". It states that in this Transcendent period of time the "Word" = "Christ" was eternally existent.

Then the rest of the verse states that the Word was with God= alongside God, and, was God.

It is also clear that John 1:1 does not mention any concept of Father and Son and Holy Spirit or what is known as the Trinity. It is quite clear that the numerical value of the personages mentioned, are Two in number and Identity, and not One, or Three.

## So, the question is who are we going to believe?

Are we going to believe the early Catholic Church Fathers who borrowed from and were heavily influenced by Greek mystical philosophy, or, are we going to believe the Message of Revelation 14:6-7's First Angels Message to worship him that created the heavens and the earth and the seas?

If an emanated Logos = a begotten individual=son came forth from "another God" as a clone, at some point in Eternity prior to the ages, would this constitute the truth of the First Angel's message in Revelation 14:6,7?

Meaning, worthy of worship, as God is God, or is it in reality an 'image' of the True? In other words, a deadly doctrine matching the worship of the image of the Beast?

Now -If the First Angel says – the Hour of his Judgement has come, are we now going to take the Supreme Doctrine of the Little Horn = Trinity which they declare to be the mystery of all mysteries and exalt that teaching over what is clearly presented "In Beginning" as revealed in John 1:1? If you accept this as Laodicea has done, [=FB 2&4] then you are saying the Trinity doctrine is the First Angels warning and you best head over to the Scarlet woman's church. Right? The other error is the Arian concept which is the flip side of the coin.

What does this in fact mean?

It's a serious modern-day predicament of Laodicea.

The fact is that by adding the main doctrine or teaching of Rome to its 27 Fundamental doctrines you have what Laodicea has in effect stated - that the teaching of the Little Horn in the form of the Nature of Christ as **God the Eternal Son** as well as the **Trinity** and the Arian **One god teaching** is a fallacy which means monotheism is non-existent in John 1:1 and also in Revelation 22:1 where the Throne of God **and** the Lamb in fact matches John 1:1.

## Summary of the problem

### 27 Fundamental Beliefs 2 and 4.

#### 2. The Trinity:

**There is one God:** Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, a **unity of three co-eternal Persons**. God is immortal, all-powerful, all-knowing, above all, and ever present. He is infinite and beyond human comprehension, yet known through His self-revelation.

#### 4. The Son

**God the eternal Son** became incarnate in Jesus Christ. Through Him all things were created, the character of God is revealed, the salvation of humanity is accomplished, and the world is judged. [Here](#)

### Nicene Creed

**I believe in one God,**  
the Father almighty,  
maker of heaven and earth,  
of all things visible and invisible.

**I believe in one Lord Jesus Christ,**  
**the Only Begotten Son of God,**  
**born of the Father before all ages.**  
God from God, Light from Light,  
true God from true God,  
**begotten, not made,** consubstantial with the  
Father;  
through him all things were made.

### Catechism

**430** Jesus means in Hebrew: "God saves." At the annunciation, the angel Gabriel gave him the name Jesus as his proper name, which expresses both his identity and his mission.<sup>18</sup> Since God alone can forgive sins, it is God who, in Jesus his **eternal Son** made man, "will save his people from their sins".<sup>19</sup>

The teaching of Rome is replicated in the form of 27 Fundamental Beliefs [2 & 4](#) have **eliminated** the First Angel's Message. This is the real reason as to why

the 3 Angels are no longer found in the [present-day Logo](#) of Laodicea.

It was in 1980 the year of the fulfillment of Jesus prophecy of Luke 21:24 the ending of the probationary Times of the Gentiles that Swiss professor Jean R. Zurcher alerted the church and yes it was in the world [[Second Quarterly SS Lesson](#)] regarding the matter of Luke 21:24 and the same year of 1980 when the Jewish State declared as Basic Law that Jerusalem is now to be the [Capital](#) of a United Israel in its entirety. The fulfillment of Luke 21:24 was documented in Dr Zurcher's book – [Christ of the Revelation](#).


The [Kairoi](#) = Greek word for allotted [times](#) of the nations which = Probationary times of the Nations brought into play what Ellen G White referred to as the time frame of the "closing work on behalf [[for the church](#)] because she has gone into apostasy.

EGW interpolated the judgements of Ezekiel 9 with the prophecy of the Kairoi = allotted times of the nations which would include the Holy nation church or Laodicea.

EGW said it related to the seal of God.

In that specific volume [5 Seal of God](#) - she makes reference to the Leadership as being "dumb dogs that don't bark" and the reason being was the signing of the [BEM Lima text in 1982](#) which brought in the wavy BEM logo and the preaching of

Laodicea in an Ecumenos which [binds](#) Laodicea with the [3 wavy lines of BEM](#) whose common witness - includes the central doctrine of Roman Catholicism - [Trinity doctrine](#).



The LIMA Text is the Protestant ecumenism with Rome, and in that unity, they now teach that the Son of God Jesus Christ was an [emanation from the Father](#). Contrary to John 1:1-3 and Rev 22:13-16.

This is the **Eternal Son doctrine of Rome** and it is not the same doctrine of Hebrews 1 because of what the apostle Paul stated in **Hebrews 7:13** "Melchizedek was made like unto the Son of God". We know that Christ was Melchizedek since the Apostle Paul has stated that Melchizedek had no Father or

mother or beginning of days nor end of life "made like unto the son of God".

What Paul has stated regarding Melchizedek was not an office. He was speaking about the one that appeared to Abraham and presented the emblems of his future appearance on planet earth as the Son of God, meaning bread and wine. That is the reason as to why Jesus is of the Order of Melchizedek. That Order includes the human genetics of Mary in reference to his overcoming sin in the flesh.

Whereas **Melchizedek** = The "Word" in John 1:1 and Document 11Q13 of the Dead Sea scrolls contradicts the usual belief in an unknown Canaanite King being Melchizedek.

See - Document 11Q13

<https://www.salvationanointed.com/home/dead-sea-scrolls-11q13-the-coming-melchizedek/>

## Alpha & Omega without EGW

The Alpha in its initial phase was not recognized by EGW since her assessment of the subject matter - was in relation to Harvey Kellogg's statements - regarding God being present in all things such as blades of grass etc. = [Pantheism](#).

What was not understood was the background teaching of the **Eternal son doctrine** the Neoplatonism and Gnosticism, and how it impacts the nature of Christ, in the form of a spark, or thought, that issued forth from the Father, the Source, or Universe.

In its original setting of Neo Platonism, the Father was the Universe and the son was also part of the Pantheistic universe as the **first-born emanation** from the so-called one consciousness = pantheism = void Universe that later created the world of matter.

This little perceived fact reveals the real face of the **Eternal son doctrine**, since its roots come from Neo Platonism. The Laodicean view of Revelation 13 falls short in understanding, in so many ways, since it states that they worshipped the Dragon.

### **So how would they worship the Dragon?**

Laodiceans believe that it's through a Sunday law and this is just plain ridiculous. This detail proves the point that EGW **never corrected** the Arian doctrine of Waggoner and Jones. The [Root problem](#) of the Alpha and Omega heresy of 1888.

It would be established in the minds of humans that we are all emanations from the one source and are all "Eternal sons" which cycle from the one consciousness and eventually return to it.

**This is what constitutes the Omega of deadly heresy.** It is basically the attribution of Sonship to Christ in the Eternally transcendent time frame of John 1:1.

**It is a false doctrine** which does not accord with John 1:1.

It is crucifying Christ afresh and bringing Him to open shame and is antagonistic with the First Angel and will prove to be the unpardonable sin.

## 1 Timothy 4:1-5

*4 Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils;*

*<sup>2</sup>Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron; <sup>3</sup>Forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving of them which believe and know the truth.<sup>4</sup>For every creature of God is good, and nothing to be refused, if it be received with thanksgiving: <sup>5</sup>For it is sanctified by the word of God and prayer.*

These verses are played out in the Far East 24/7 in the form of so-called holy men who are poor and emaciated, and who practice begging, and meditate on a constant basis, in order to achieve Cosmic Consciousness, or so-called Unity with the Universe, by practicing [Ascetism](#).

They punish the body with rigorous rules and regulations which the Apostle Paul says are worthless in combating sin that stems from the heart of man. What these men are trying to do is to break the cycle of life death and rebirth and return to the so-called one consciousness of the Universe. This is what is referred to as the [secret doctrine of soul cycling](#) from the one consciousness.

A life of self-righteousness where one is ignorant of the Cross of Jesus Christ and tries to earn his own salvation in the Matrix of Lucifer thus following the words of Satan that he presented to Eve. "You shall not die, on the day that you eat of it, your eyes shall be opened, you shall be as gods knowing good and evil.

## Concerning death and the state of the dead

Why go over this? Well, Ecclesiastes 9:5-10 and Ecclesiastes 3:19-20 totally obliterates Satan's doctrine of soul immortality by stating the following.

<sup>19</sup> For that which befalleth the sons of men befalleth beasts; even one thing befalleth them: as the one dieth, so dieth the other; yea, they have all one <sup>h</sup>breath; and man hath no preeminence above the beasts: for all is vanity. <sup>20</sup> All go unto one place; all are of the dust, and all turn to dust again. <sup>21</sup> Who knoweth the spirit <sup>o</sup>f man, <sup>h</sup>whether it goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast, whether it goeth downward to the earth?

<sup>5</sup> For the living know that they shall die: but the dead know not anything, neither have they any more a reward; for the memory of them is forgotten. <sup>6</sup> As well their love, as their hatred and their envy, is perished long ago; neither have they any more a portion for ever in anything that is done under the sun. <sup>7</sup> Go thy way, eat thy bread with joy, and drink thy wine with a merry heart; for God hath already accepted thy works.

<sup>8</sup> Let thy garments be always white; and let not thy head lack oil. <sup>9</sup> <sup>a</sup>Live joyfully with the wife whom thou lovest all the days of thy life of vanity, which he hath given thee under the sun, all thy days of vanity: for that is thy portion in life, and in thy labor wherein thou laborest under the sun. <sup>10</sup> Whatsoever thy hand <sup>h</sup>findeth to do, do *it* with thy might; for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in Sheol, whither thou goest.

<sup>4</sup> But the Spirit saith expressly, that in later times some shall fall away from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and doctrines of demons, <sup>2</sup>through the

hypocrisy of men that speak lies, <sup>a</sup>branded in their own conscience as with a hot iron; <sup>3</sup>forbidding to marry, *and commanding* to abstain from meats, which God created to be received with thanksgiving by them that believe and know the truth. <sup>4</sup>For every creature of God is good, and nothing is to be rejected, if it be received with thanksgiving: <sup>5</sup>for it is sanctified through the word of God and prayer.

The secret doctrine of soul cycling from the one consciousness = is pure Luciferianism.

While it is true that EGW stated that these Pantheistic teachings would, eventually do away with Christ's perfect sacrifice, she was correct, since Luciferianism is a form of Self-salvation, on account of the belief that the soul emanates and goes through different experiences, in the form of so-called life, death, Karma= self-Judgement and rebirth and eventually after perfecting one-self in a series of lives, then one returns to the so-called "one consciousness" as presented in Neo Platonism, as well as other Luciferian systems of belief - that entail the cycle of the soul from its descent into the world of matter, and its so-called, return to the world of the spirit.

The doctrine of the **Eternal Son** sets the stage for what EGW spoke about in reference to the Omega of deadly

[heresies](#) and she [trembled](#) for the people = Laodiceans.

Keep in mind EGW **did not precisely define the Alpha or the Omega** - other than to say, it was a deadly form of spiritism. But this is not new.

Take note of the fact that this was the problem in the Colossian church in [chapter 2](#) where Emanationism in the form of Angel worship was running rampant as a heresy. Paul then establishes the fact that the fulness of deity resided in Jesus Christ in the flesh.

**But, if he was an emanated son**, as taught in Neo Platonism, as well as Gnosticism, which was rampant in the first century, there would be no difference between him, and the other angels, that were worshipped as intermediaries.

## CONCLUSION

Yes, the Bible has given much detail in respect to the subject of the Godhead especially from the vantage of the Alpha and Omega which is far more than what EGW did share in the early 1900's. It is from the Alpha status = John 1 there were Two Transcendental Divines existed **en arche** = in beginning.

Isaiah said they were to be found in the void of the Northern Sector of the

Universe and Lucifer wanted entrance to be like the Most High – Isaiah 14. Christ was not the Eternal Son. See Isaiah 9:6-7.

In other words, conferring accordingly to the Prince of this world - you have the precise antithesis of the First Angels warning. There is no comparison since Ho Logos = the Word was Eternal Father #2 = John 1 and Lucifer who shamelessly claims to be the Day Star is in fact a son of God.

Therefore, Jesus would give us the summation ...

[Hereafter I will not talk much with you:  
for the prince of this world cometh,  
and hath nothing in me.](#)

**John 14:30**

To be continued

--)------

Swift Messenger

--)------

---

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia), P.O. Box 54 Howlong, NSW 2643 Australia.

Founder: Elder William H. Grotheer.  
Editor, Publications & Research: All the credit goes to the Man in linen.  
Email: [maninlinen@protonmail.com](mailto:maninlinen@protonmail.com)

Regional Contacts: Australia - USA.  
**In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN** (Aust. Edition): [www.5agendas.com](http://www.5agendas.com)  
**Man in Linen videos:** <https://www.youtube.com/@fiveagendas>

Any portion of WWN – Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – “Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)”.