

"Thus shall ye say unto them, The gods that have not made the heavens and the earth, *even* they shall perish from the earth, and from under these heavens." Jere 10:11 In this Australian Issue:

VII - Nov. 15th 2021

The "unsearchable riches of" the Man in linen-

The First Angel's Message-"The Everlasting Gospel"

## The Alpha and Omega The Masterpiece of Divinity The Domain of the Divine

Pt. 9

Pg. 1

## Editor's Preface

Visit our YouTube channel 'Five Agendas' and watch our video on 'Revelation 4 and 5'. Remember, Rev 4 & 5 contains the antidote for the deadly snake bite 'The Masterpiece of Deception and deviltry' and provides all men the significance of the Masterpiece of Divinity – as explained in the series – 'Alpha and Omega, Domain of the Divine, The Masterpiece of Divinity of the TWO ETERNAL DIVINES – John 1.

"And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." —Melchisedec

# Masterpiece of Divinity

Whose Son is the Messiah? The First Angel & Mark of the Beast [MOTB]

Today, there prevails in a common manner, the following teaching which is an attack on the First Angel of Rev 14. The teaching states:

"The Son was with God the Father in the beginning, all throughout the creation of everything our eyes today can see. In **Genesis 1** this is noticeable, when it refers to the Light in a spiritual sense, which would later become flesh, in the form of our Lord Jesus Christ...

This concept exists in many if not all Statements of Beliefs regarding the Son of God. As has been shown in the Masterpiece of Divinity Series this statement cannot be sustained from a reading of John 1:1 which doesn't mention Fathers, mothers or any type of family unit.

These ideas above are also expressed in Greek mystical theology where the Son being the Logos = word in Greek, emanates from an unknowable source of a Transcendent

being which according to them cannot be defined by human concepts. Example here

John 1:1 doesn't say that Christ was the Son of God in eternity prior to the Incarnation, since Jesus Himself stated in <u>Revelation 22:13-16</u> that He was the Alpha or Root of David.

The concept of the Son of God **is only defined** by the Throne of David <u>on earth.</u>

<u>Romans 1:3</u> = Son of God according to the flesh the seed of David is not to be confused with a Begotten son of God at some point in Eternity or an Eternal generation which is referred to as Begotten son of the ages not created. That is Gnostic emanationism.

Whereas <u>Hebrews 7:1-3</u> clearly states that Melchizedek had no Father or Mother or beginning of days or end of Life. This matches with <u>John 1:1</u> and with what Jesus stated in <u>Mark 12:35-37</u> which is quoted by Jesus from <u>Psalm</u> <u>110:1-4</u> and verse 4 calls the Messiah as being of the order of Melchizedek.

This is also backed by way of <u>Dead Sea Scroll 11Q13</u> which states that Melchizedek is Elohim.

## Mark 12 - Whose Son Is the Messiah?

<sup>35</sup>While Jesus was teaching in the temple courts, he asked, "Why do the teachers of the law say that the Messiah is the son of David? <sup>36</sup>David himself, speaking by the Holy Spirit, declared:

"The Lord said to my Lord: "Sit at my right hand until I put your enemies under your feet.""<sup>[h]</sup>
<sup>37</sup> David himself calls him 'Lord.' How then can he be his son?" The large crowd listened to him with delight.

Keep in mind that this was stated by Jesus, right after He told the scribe – which scribe stated that Jesus was correct in reference to loving the one God. Jesus then <u>told him</u> that he wasn't far from the Kingdom of God.

Yet theologically speaking he didn't arrive at the Kingdom of God by way of correct theology and this correct theology marks the true definition of the First Angel's message (Two in beginning) whose command is to worship "He" who created the Heavens and the Earth.

### **Duality?**

The question remains, why would Jesus immediately after the previous discussion of loving the one God present a duality text such as <u>Psalm 110:1-4</u> - all the while keeping in mind that the first mention of God being plural is found in <u>Genesis 1</u> itself - which states let us create man in our (plural) image and likeness?

The same can be stated as to why the High Priest Caiaphas tore his robe <u>Matt 26:60-66</u> when Jesus quoted <u>Daniel</u> <u>7:13</u>

<sup>13</sup> `I was seeing in the visions of the night, and lo, with the clouds of the heavens as a son of man was [one] coming, and unto the Ancient of Days he hath come, and before Him they have brought him near.

The original concept of Sonship in relation to the throne of God on planet earth was defined from <u>Genesis 3:15</u> since that is contextually at the point that sin entered the world, the woman was deceived, the man wasn't.

The man Adam was placed here to administer the throne of God on planet earth and by way of the influence of the Devil over the woman and later the influence of the woman over the man is where the fall of Adam took place yet he was not deceived.

It is at that point in time where a promise is made to send a Messiah or Redeemer to counteract the work of the serpent and to take back planet earth from the evil one.

That is the reason as to why Jesus clearly stated that Satan was the prince of this world = John 14:30.

#### Father # 2

So, the counter-measure that God provided was to send Eternal Father number  $# 2 = \underline{Isa 9:6}$  by lowering Himself and taking upon Himself human genetics that the final defeat of Satan would be obtained - and once again the throne of God and the Lamb would be established on earth by way of the second Adam - who is Jesus Christ as seen in Revelation 22:1 - the Throne of God and the Lamb.

Yet in Revelation <u>19:11-16</u> when He comes through the heavens fighting and defeating His enemies He is referred to as being the Word of God and the armies of heaven followed Him.

As mentioned, Sonship is defined by the Throne of King David on earth as stated in <u>Romans 1:3</u> "concerning His Son, (who is come of the seed of David according to the flesh".

If Adam would have never sinned, he would have continued being the First Adam or Son of God on planet earth. The fact is clear that if Christ was the second Adam, <u>1 Cor 15:45-49</u> then there had to be a first Adam and it wasn't the Word. Why?

Since John 1: 1-3 and verse 14 clearly reveal the point in time where the Begotten aspect took place and that is at the point when the Holy Spirit overshadowed Mary.

So, the First Adam or Son of God on the Throne of planet earth was the man, Adam.

#### Psalm 110 quoted in Mark 12

Jesus quoted <u>Psalm 110:1-4</u> in order to show the religious leaders that Messiah Sonship was not only defined in the Omega scenario of Revelation 22:13-16 as a descendant of King David - on account of the fact that Jesus threw in a surprise as well, by quoting <u>Psalm 110:1-4</u> that Messiah Melchizedek (see verse 4) was <u>before</u> David, and was therefore, not literally the Son of God, by any type of emanation from the Father prior to His Incarnation on earth.

The Gospel of John confirms this in John 1:1 by stating that **in beginning was the Word and the Word was with God and was God.** The text does not mention any family relationship in reference to sonship nor does it mention Father Son and Holy Spirit.

Philippians 2:5-7 also mentions the same thing that in eternity the Word was equal to God and this clearly matches with the info given in John 1:1. Isaiah 9:6 also mentions the fact that the Messiah is also an Everlasting Father.

<sup>6</sup> For a Child hath been born to us, A Son hath been given to us, And the princely power is on his shoulder, And He doth call his name Wonderful, Counsellor, Mighty God, Father of Eternity, Prince of Peace.

<sup>7</sup> To the increase of the princely power, And of peace, there is no end, On the throne of David, and on his kingdom, To establish it, and to support it, In judgment and in righteousness, Henceforth, even unto the age, The zeal of Jehovah of Hosts doth this.

The apostle Paul confirms this in <u>Hebrews 7:1-3,4</u>. Melchizedek had no mother or father or beginning of days or end of life and then the statement of made like the Son of God is thrown in. It appears to be a somewhat of a contradiction but upon close examination it isn't.

Made like the Son of God is when Jesus retook His former Glory of Melchizedek after His resurrection from the dead. <u>Hebrews 5</u> provides the clear-cut explanation of the text.

So Christ also glorified not himself to be made a high priest, but he that spake unto him,

<sup>[a]</sup>Thou art my Son, This day have I begotten thee:

<sup>6</sup> as he saith also in another *place*,

<sup>[b]</sup>Thou art a priest for ever After the order of Melchizedek.

#### Hebrews 5:

<sup>7</sup> Who in the days of his flesh, having offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto him that was able to save him <sup>[c]</sup>from death, and having been heard for his godly fear, <sup>8</sup> though he was a Son, yet learned obedience by the things which he suffered; <sup>9</sup> and having been made perfect, he became unto all them that obey him the <sup>[d]</sup>author of eternal salvation; <sup>10</sup> named of God a high priest after the order of Melchizedek.

#### Romans 1:4

Now in the Resurrection from the dead His body which at the Incarnation was a combination of the <u>7 Spirits of God</u> as well as the human <u>genetics</u> of Mary now retakes the former Eternal Glory of Melchizedek in the form and context of the God-man combination [John 1:18] which also involves human genetics of Mary - which were not present during the time frame of the <u>Alpha</u> = the Eternity period of His transcendental existence prior to the Incarnation which is revealed in John 1:1.

That is the reason as to why <u>Hebrews 7:3</u> mentions Melchizedek **made like the Son of God** since He retook His former glory now in the form of God and man which = the Divine Spirit in combination with human genetics, see <u>Revelation 4 and 5</u>. This is clearly seen in Romans 1:4.

Here you have it, Melchizedek made like the Son of God. See also <u>Hebrews 6:20.</u>

#### Romans 1:4

<sup>4</sup> who is marked out Son of God in power, according to the Spirit of sanctification, by the rising again from the dead,) Jesus Christ our Lord; The above verse is the official verse for the proper understanding of the concept of Melchizedek being **made like the Son of God** which is in <u>Hebrews 7:3-4</u>.

This human genetic combination did not exist prior to the Incarnation on earth. Prior to the Incarnation He was the Angel of the Lord or 7 Spirits of God = Counsellor = one of the names of the Messiah in Isaiah 9:6-7.

#### **Emanationism and Philo of Alexandria**

Yet the origin of this doctrine of emanationism of which the Catholic Church fathers were so involved in defending came from the Philo of Alexandria a Greek mystical Jewish theologian Neo-Platonist scholar.

The Angel of the Lord and the Servant form of the Messiah—

Zecharia 3:7-9 also presents a statement from the Angel of the Lord who states that He is bringing forth His servant the Branch. So here you have the same Angel of the Lord which appeared to Moses in the form of the Burning Bush = Holy Spirit actually stating that the Messiah is His Servant.

https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Zecharia+3% 3A7%2C+8-9&version=YLT

The only conclusion that can be arrived at is that <u>there</u> were Two Eternal Fathers in Eternity and that one of them lowered Himself by taking the slave form of human genetics and becoming the Son of God. (Ps. 2:7 by Decree) This is clearly echoed by Philippians 2:5-7.

#### **Philippians 2:5-7**

Young's Literal Translation

<sup>5</sup> For, let this mind be in you that [is] also in Christ Jesus,

<sup>6</sup> who, being in the form of God, thought [it] not robbery to be equal to God,

<sup>7</sup> but did empty himself, the form of a servant having taken, in the likeness of men having been made,

The plurality of God in the form of the original Two at the very beginning in Gen 1

<sup>26</sup> And God saith, `Let Us make man in Our image, according to Our likeness, and let them rule over fish of the sea, and over fowl of the heavens, and over cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that is creeping on the earth.'

#### The duality of God in the Old Testament Exodus 23:

<sup>20</sup> Lo, I am sending a messenger before thee to keep thee in the way, and to bring thee in unto the place which I have prepared;

<sup>21</sup> be watchful because of his presence, and hearken to his voice, rebel not against him, for he beareth not with your transgression, for My name [is] in his heart;

The Duality of God in the Book of <u>Revelation</u> 22 and the new heavens and new earth

**22** And he shewed me a pure river of water of life, bright as crystal, going forth out of the throne of God and of the Lamb:

#### **Revelation 19**

and he hath a name written which no one knoweth but he himself. <sup>13</sup> And he *is* arrayed in a garment <sup>[h]</sup>sprinkled with blood: and his name is called **The Word of God.** <sup>14</sup> And the armies which are in heaven followed him upon white horses, clothed in fine linen, white *and* pure. <sup>15</sup> And out of his mouth proceedeth a sharp sword, that with it he should smite the nations: and he shall rule them with a rod of iron: and he treadeth the <sup>[i]</sup>winepress of the fierceness of the wrath of God, the Almighty. <sup>16</sup> And he hath on his garment and on his thigh a name written, King of Kings, and Lord of Lords.

The second portion of <u>Revelation 19:12</u> seems to present either a contradiction or just another secret name that is not known yet <u>verse 13</u> clearly states that His name is called the Word of God.

Could these verses 12 and 13 be presenting what the final conflict really entails which is the identity of the Word - as either an emanated Son, prior to the incarnation which is what the counterfeit of the truth would have us believe, or, the truth itself being that He in fact is an Everlasting Father # 2 as depicted in John 1:1?

#### MOTB [Mark of the Beast]

The final answer to this question is presented by the Angel of the waters himself where it is stated in relation to those having taken, past tense, the Mark of the Beast. And I heard the angel of the waters saying, Righteous art thou, who art and who wast, thou Holy One, because thou didst thus <sup>[h]</sup>judge: <sup>6</sup> for they poured out the blood of saints and prophets, and blood hast thou given them to drink: they are worthy. <sup>7</sup> And I heard the altar saying, Yea, O Lord God, the Almighty, true and righteous are thy judgments.

What the message appears to be saying is that the blood of saints and prophets was poured out on account of the matter of the Affirmations of these people, that the Word Jesus Christ, the Holy One of God, was in fact, an Eternally transcendent Being = God, since it is stated that He is and was. These phrases are clearly alluding to His Transcendence and He is called the Holy One of God which = the Messiah.

Therefore, <u>Rev 16:5-7</u> and the judgments of God will be in relation to the MOTB. Why, they refused to acknowledge the First Angel's warning and ignored why Jesus said He was the Alpha and Root of David proving His transcendent Eternal status as Father # 2.

--) -----Swift Messenger --)-----

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).

Editor, Publications & Research: Contributing Bible Students - all the credit goes to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>5 Agendas Channel</u>

Any portion of WWN-Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".