

In this Australian Issue:

# 'The Masterpiece of Deception'

Chapters 3-4, Pg. 1

# Editor's Preface

With this thought paper, we continue – 'The Masterpiece of Deception'. Last time, we had a look at 'The Capstone ~ the "Mystery of Iniquity"' & 'The Ladder'. Now we will look at 'The Sabbath and Sunday' & 'The Trinity, the 'one god' of Sunday'. But first we will begin with 'Appendix C' as noted in the footnotes of the last thought paper; which we were unable to include due to a lack of space. Again, our most recent video - 'Revelation 4 and 5' offers the antidote for the deadly poison - The Masterpiece of Deception & deviltry'. [Continuing on...]

### Appendix C

[A 'discussion with prominent One God believers within Adventism']

Gentlemen, Thank you for taking time out in your busy schedule for replying.

Coming down to the last generation of time (Lk 21:32) and within a whisker of the pronouncement of the Fourth Angel of (Rev 18), I am much concerned with ignorance to the finer details of truth; the avoidance of textual evidence (which rightly convinces me to avoid the Trinity) but in the same breathe does nothing to avoid forms of ecumenical **MONOTHEISM**.

Gentlemen, from each of your writings, I believe you all teach a variant form of Monotheism even if it is based on core texts Eph 4:6 and 1Cor 8:6.

Your form of Monotheism has you all: -

- Ignorantly denigrating the Eternal Deity of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.
- 2. I believe you have tried to peep behind the "Wall of Eternity" when silence is golden in coming to conclusions on the origins of the Godhead.

You all have expressed the Father-Son relationship in human terms as good as anyone when sharing the plan of salvation and without question it hits the 'feelings" - BUT, you have strayed beyond the point of trying to have a peep behind the Wall of Eternity by denying that Jesus was the I AM - Self Existent, ever Existent. In so doing, and need to be honest to our inmost we soul that presentations otherwise, denies the plainest words of John who leant on His Saviour's breast.

Allow me to illustrate why.

Preincarnate there was Two of Them. The Elohim. (Gen 1, and illustrated Zech 6:13 margin)

The term gegennemenon (begotten) is never used to describe the Preincarnate Christ. I believe somehow you swapped the intent of gegemenomenon and applied it with the awkward but inaccurate understanding of the word monogenes to the world by John in (3:16). Either monogenes means a "unique, only, precious, sole, the only one of a kind" Son of God or it does not. Nothing can equate the majesty or begin to describe the 'counsels of peace' for our salvation, to wit One of Them would so condescend to forfeit for eternity, His original form, the 'form" of God. Laying aside omnipotence to be God but in human flesh; via the necessary humanity to be born 'under law' (Gal 4:4) to effect the promise of Genesis 3:15.

I believe you have altered the plain textual evidence that the Preincarnate Christ was originally God, relying on Elder Waggoner's work amongst others. Such "peeping" behind the Wall has other independents thinking that "He (God the Father) birthed Michael" leading to multiple births by others.

This "birthed" in eternity is a variant form of Gnosticism.

Will such teaching, like **Ham** be "cursed" for peeping? (Gen 9.22)

By inaccurately and misusing the other word for 'begotten' (monogenes) you have altered the status of the Preincarnate Saviours original "form" (Phil 2:6); the I AM and suggested He was either 'born of God' "birthed" "derived" "emanated" all inaccurate synonyms, when John said "The Word was God and in the beginning was with God. Textual evidence otherwise provides a Gnostic viewpoint. Is Gnosticism reliable when salvation is at stake?

- If we believe not the eternal deity of Christ, the I AM; we will die in our sins.
- The Word was originally God "Theos" (John 1:1) and as John continues, this "eternal life which was <u>with</u> the Father was "manifested" to us" (1John 1:1,2); manifest to be none other than 'incarnate' Messiah to be "<u>called</u> the Son of God. (Luke 1:32,35)
- This <u>'Eternal Life'</u> was none other than the "Eternal Spirit" of (Heb 9:14), akin to <u>Melchizedeck:</u>- "without Father, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life; but "made" like unto the son of God" (Heb 7:3). If Christ was 'begotten' and Melchizedeck was not and Melchizedeck is a synonym for Christ, you really need to reconsider Melchizedeck Who plainly defies any of this begotten business, which you're teaching. Heb 5:5 governs.

Your teaching puts at severe risk the Sanctuary and has breached the doctrine of the Final Atonement. Why? Because you have placed a new concept upon our high priest which is not noted in the scriptures.

• Heb 5:5 is language expressed as "I will declare the decree" (cf Ps 2.7; *inter alia* 2 Sam 7:14)

The language verifying Christ's Eternal Identity includes ...

- "Christ Jesus Who was faithful to him that **'appointed'** him" (Heb. 3:1,2).
- He was "called" of God (Heb 5:4) "So also Christ glorified not himself to be made an high priest; but he that saith unto him, Thou art my Son, to day have I begotten thee". (Heb.5.5)

It was God **"that said"** to God, "His Redeemer"; "The Word" -The Self Existent Ever <u>Existent</u> - **"I AM"; "The Logos" etc** "Thou art my Son, to day have I begotten thee"; "Anointed" Begotten by decree. Ps 2:7. Never "made", but "appointed" an High Priest after Melchizedeck. Terminology all inferring prior existence as God.

He could only be appointed under the rules of this Priesthood -"without father, without mother, without descent (pedigree - margin), having neither beginning of day" (Heb 7:3)

- By inferring (of Christ) that the same word "made" means created, birthed, brought forth, emanated etc. is saying at some time in Eternity, (behind the Wall) that He did not exist. Whereas language is used with Christ the High Priest who is now in heaven and was **not** born, birth, emanated etc., as our High Priest for He was not High Priest while on the earth, but existed eternally before as God, Michael, <u>the God/Man</u>, and He who had no father and no mother and no beginning.
- God's <u>spoken act</u> "saith" and "called" him to be High Priest, same as "I will declare the decree; the Lord "hath said" unto me, Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten thee." (Psa.2:7 Heb.5.5)
- Besides, John 1:1 does not say "In the beginning was the Son of God and the Son of God was with the God etc.

\* It should be noted that prior to the Incarnation there were Two of Them; the Elohim.

\* The period post incarnation, there was introduced the "God-Man" Jesus Christ. The Monogenes Theos

At this point, more could be written; however, I wish to recount my first two main points again for several reasons.

- 2 -

- To believe in a form of Monotheism places my original question to the forefront. In other words can you worship the "image to the beast" believing something not Trinitarian but Monotheistic? Will you be none the wiser when it comes to salvation "in Christ" by denigrating His eternal attributes worshiping something the enemy wants us to do?
- 2. To believe a "Heavenly Trio", one is not a Trinitarian and thereby not Monotheistic. Can we say Allah of Islam (which is monotheism) is the same God of certain separated independents? Absolutely not, but you are saying it. Monotheism is the catch cry textual basis for membership of the WCC's projected for ecumenical community with the Mother of Harlots; the ecumenical basis of all her doctrines.

Gentlemen, I am an ex-RC and I would like to share the following:-

At the end of the day, RC's (lets say theologian priestly types) - will have to meet your Godhead doctrine when losing some flock. I would kindly suggest they would see little difference in your conclusion, because they, too, believe in One God and will quote (Eph 4:6 and 1Cor 8:6.) Their expression and yours comes to the same conclusion. See Catholic Catechism para 249-264.

### Now the critical point - the point of my original question.

Even though you have the Sabbath, will you have lost salvation by a Gnostic "heresy" (Gal 5:20) primed on Gnostic suppositions of men "peeping" behind the Wall of Eternity? Peeping becomes conjecture and folly; carrying a curse.

#### Beware Gentlemen -

The 'power' of the Wicked One "will deceive if possible the very elect"

To say other than Christ is the I AM, one is believing that He was created and never eternally God. In other words, the Enemy of righteousness has interposed his will (Isa 14:14) upon whomsoever he chooses.

#### Monotheism is Rome's argument.

With certainty she would say that your conclusion is the same as theirs even if expressed in a different form and the "Ecumenical Bride" marries the wrong husband? Nevertheless, I aver there would be little by way of persecution for such a Godhead belief as yours. At the end of the day your teaching as such (though it may appear to

<sup>64</sup> Dan 7:25

differ markedly from RC's Trinitarian beliefs) is still monotheism. One God.

I need to ask and it is related to my first question - Do you have any Bible texts to assist your conclusions that your Monotheistic belief is not Trinitarianism? If you find them would you share, and admit otherwise?

Truth is a matter of dire need. God will not permit His people to be devoid of salvific truth.

Gentlemen, My question was framed honestly; it is not a trick question. I have gleaned from your answers insufficient textual proof for myself to be convinced your conclusions are wrought in the threads of gold. I have read your materials carefully. There is no depreciating the love of God.

My solemn appeal is that you consider carefully your "One God" monotheistic teaching, and it's the dire consequences of denying Christ's Pre-existent Identity. Be not a Ham.

In deepest sincerity - for the "I AM; God who died for me,

# 'The Masterpiece of Deception'

Chapter III

# The Sabbath and Sunday

Can the *Three In one* - Trinity of the Dragon <sup>63</sup> the "one" who **changed** the blessed Seventh Day Sabbath Day to Sunday Sacredness <sup>64</sup> be the God which created Heaven and earth? The answer is an emphatic NO!

God's people have been long warned about false gods<sup>65</sup> even as identified in the First Commandment. To have no other god's before the true God's is the basis of the First Commandment. Can we truly hold the New Covenant promise of the Law written upon our hearts and minds<sup>66</sup> when we have applied the First

<sup>65</sup> Deut 29:18-20
<sup>66</sup> Heb 8:10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Catholic Catechism, [249-256]

Commandment to mean there is only "One" God? To worship Two God's is not polytheism<sup>67</sup>. The monotheistic invention of Trinitarianism has created the intent that worshipping more than One God is transgression. This is our muddled thinking!

Can we, like Ancient Israel worship a false god on God's true day, His Sabbath day? An empathic YES! <sup>68</sup> The God's who sanctified and blessed the Sabbath cannot be the same God as the god of the day of worship change ie, to Sunday sacredness. Only the mind of the Dragon accomplished such a day change and of all people we as Adventists should know this! The True God's change not! <sup>69</sup>

We do not worship the Sabbath – we **rest**.

We worship the God's of the Sabbath! We

fear God **and** worship Him who made

heaven and earth.70

In Truth we worship the Creator's of "the Sabbath of the Lord thy God" <sup>71</sup> who made "heaven and earth".<sup>72</sup>

**Jeremiah** caught this vital point concerning <u>false **worship**</u> of those not the True Gods –

**"Thus** shall we say unto them, The gods that have **not** made the heavens and the earth, even they shall perish from the earth, and from under the heavens." <sup>73</sup>

- <sup>71</sup> Exod 20:10
- <sup>72</sup> *Ibid.* V.11
- <sup>73</sup> Jer 10:10-13 cf Isa 48:12,13

We find the First Angel implies this same message in the positive sense!

The Elohim [The Logos, and the Theos] who **Both** created Heaven and Earth <sup>74</sup> and are The God's of the Seventh Day Sabbath <sup>75</sup>. They are neither the gods of Sunday nor the "god" who inspired and changed The Sabbath to Sunday sacredness.

Concerning the Sabbath our Saviour emphasized he was: - "Lord <u>also</u> of the Sabbath." <sup>76</sup>

The Elohim are the True Creator's of heaven and earth <sup>77</sup> and are to be worshipped. In other words, He who was the Word was <u>ALSO</u> Creator of Heaven and Earth and was **ALSO** God. For in Both of Them alone, is true holiness, truth and sanctification.<sup>78</sup>

Many millions keep Sunday sacred. The majority of Apostate Protestantism worships the *god* of Babylon - Roman Catholicism, the *god* of Sunday.

There is a difference in the God's of the Sabbath Decalogue <sup>79</sup> and Catholicism's Trinity who changed the Sabbath to Sunday! Should we not heed Jeremiah's warning!

**Thus** shall we say unto them, The gods that have <u>not</u> made the heavens and the earth, [or the Sabbath] even they shall perish from the earth, and from under the heavens."

Let us be honest, where in the Bible, has God ever informed the Little Horn or

<sup>74</sup> John 1:3, Rev 4:11; Gen 1:1

- <sup>77</sup> Gen 1:1; 2:2,3; Isa 40:25,26; John 1:3; Col 1:16, Rev 4:11 Eph 3:9;
- Heb 1:2,10
- <sup>78</sup> John 1:3, Rev 4:11 cf Rev 14:6,7
- <sup>79</sup> Exod 20:8 cf Jer 10:11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Heb 1:6,10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> 1 Kings 18:21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Mal 3:6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Rev 14:6,7 cf Mark 2:28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Rev 14:6-7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Mark 2:28

Beast, which arose out of the sea, was ever purported to hold, acknowledge or done anything for truth as it is in Jesus? Then should we look carefully again at her perception of her image of the Godhead or stoop and inadvertently worship the Dragon?

Millions, including Seventh-day Adventist's blindly welcome this <u>central</u> teaching<sup>80</sup> of the Little Horn - Babylon.

## Chapter IV

# The Trinity, the 'one god' of Sunday

The Sabbath is a "sign" of God's people.<sup>81</sup> Therefore the God's of the Sabbath alone are to be worshipped. It should be easily seen from the foregoing discussion that the "one god" behind Sunday is not the true God even though you worship on the Sabbath! To worship a false god on God's day of rest is false worship, transgression of the First Commandment. <sup>82</sup> A perilous spiritual situation!

This perilous spiritual situation was revealed as an example for us on whom the ends of the world are come, when the whole Jewish nation worshiped and kept the Sabbath but denied the true God who created the Sabbath, their house was left unto them desolate.<sup>83</sup>

The Woman of Revelation 12 was given a 'sacred trust' <sup>84</sup> yet she today holds forth as truth the **same** formulation of God as

<sup>80</sup> Handbook for Today's Catholic p.16

- <sup>83</sup> Matt 23:38 cf Heb 3:12 4:8; halting between the true
- & false gods see 1 Kings 18:21
- <sup>84</sup> 9T19
- <sup>85</sup> Catechism of the Catholic Church para 234
- <sup>86</sup> John 17:3
- <sup>87</sup> Jer 10:10-12 cf Rev 14:6,7

MYSTERY BABYLON THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND THE ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH! - - - "The mystery of the Most Holy Trinity is the **central** mystery of Christian faith and life." <sup>85</sup> The question is why? It is truly unbelievable!

Some questions in light of eternal truth and salvation are posed:

- 1) The worship of the true God's is a matter of salvation?  $_{86}$
- The worship on the true day of rest identifies the true God's.
- 3) The <u>worship</u> of the beast's image is more than conceding the correct day <sup>88</sup>
- Can one keep the Seventh day Sabbath and in turn worship a false god?
- 5) Should we blindly accept the worship the monotheistic Trinitarian Godhead <sup>90</sup> the central teaching of Roman Catholicism when she is a seducer of many false rungs of the Ladder of salvation viz Sunday Sacredness; the blasphemous Mass; The Eucharist; The Tridentine Gospel; the Immaculate Conception, Immortality of the soul etc all of which is the worship of the enemy of righteousness the devil?
- 6) Should we accept a Latin Vulgate gloss: reframing the intent that the Three agree in one but allege is One! <sup>91</sup>
- 7) Catholicism's concepts are interwoven into Adventist ecumenical nomenclature? See 27 Fundamental #2;
- Observe from the old and the new Hymnal and compare Hymn #73 Holy Holy Holy and consider the change and new language endorsed <sup>92</sup>
- 9) Are we as a people of God fully informed of the Second Angel's warning? <sup>93</sup>
- 10) Is this verily a reason the Three Angel's Messages are "repeated to the Church"? 94

If we really sit back and think, the average Church going individual would never be deceived about a **National** 

<sup>91</sup> 1John 5:7 cf 1 John 5:8

- <sup>93</sup> Rev 18:2,3
- <sup>94</sup> RH Oct 31, 1899

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Ezekiel 20:12, 20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Exod 20:3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> *ibid* 14:9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> 1King 18:21; Acts 2:36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Seventh-day Adventists Believe ... A Biblical exposition of 27 fundamental doctrines (*Ministerial Association General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists 6840 Eastern Avenue NW Washington DC* 20012) May 1988 page. 16, 22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> 2 Cor 11:14,15; 27 Fundamentals pp. 16.

**Sunday Law.** Nigh impossible! With the Ten Commandment Commission unfolding its extravaganza in Washington DC this year [2006] - Pray tell, who in Adventism was not ready for this?

Jesus cautioned, the "devil abode not in the truth" <sup>95</sup> because the enemies mouth is full of guile. Then it follows, the truth about whom we perceive and articulate as God and how we describe whom we worship becomes very serious. The concern is whether we as Seventh-day Adventist's should have as our Fundamental Belief #2, Roman Catholicism's Nicaeno formulation of God, which is agreed by all members of the World Council of Churches. 96 Are we not commissioned to be separate and distinct a peculiar people? 97

**The First Angel** entreats us to have knowledge of God. It conveys a specific distinction to whom we are to worship.<sup>98</sup> A correlation confirmed by Paul

"And to you who are troubled **rest** with us, when the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mighty angels, in flaming fire taking vengeance on them that <u>know not God</u>, and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ" <sup>99</sup>

**The Third Angel** holds an ominous warning about false worship ...

"If any man *worship* the beast and his image, and receive his mark in his forehead, or in his hand, the

- <sup>99</sup> 2 Thes 1:7,8
- <sup>100</sup> Rev 14:9

same shall drink of the wrath of God."<sup>100</sup> This forewarning identifies false worship of the Beast **and** his image.

Roman Catholicism does not hold nor was ever bequeathed the Everlasting Gospel, the Three Angel's Messages. **The Second Angels** warning is sufficient. She is "fallen, is fallen". These Three Messages were given to a specific Church in Bible Prophecy to herald to a deceived, dying world.<sup>101</sup>

Why is the world to be charged with the warning of false worship? <sup>102</sup> Why would a Roman Catholic or Evangelical Sunday keeper need to come across keeping the Sabbath when they worship the one and same God?

We know Mystery Babylon has falsified a myriad of lower rungs of The Ladder of Salvation;<sup>103</sup> and it is equally reasonable to appreciate that her view of God can never be replete in truth, when all her other teachings are unbiblical. Then how can "the central doctrine of the Catholic faith. Upon it are based all other teachings of the (Roman Catholic) Church" <sup>104</sup> ever be truth and therefore the Top Rung of the Ladder of Salvation!

Being raised Roman Catholic I recall chanting verbatim the Nicene credo. Interestingly such is the reliance of the origin of this tradition, she avers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Jn 8:44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Seventh-day Adventists Believe ... A Biblical exposition of 27 fundamental doctrines p.16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> 2 Cor 6:14; 1Pet 2:9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Rev 14:6-7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Rev. 12 cf Daniel 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Rev 14:9 -11

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Sunday Sacredness, fulfilment of the Sabbath
[Catechism para 2175, 2176]; the blasphemous Mass;
The Eucharist [1322-1419]; The Tridentine Gospel
[Canon XII Council of Trent]; the Immaculate
Conception [491-492 - Pius IX Ineffabilis Deus, 1854],
Immortality of the soul [1020]
<sup>104</sup> Handbook for Today's Catholic p.16

The Niceno-Constantipolitan or Nicene Creed draws its **great authority** from the fact that it stems from the first two ecumenical councils (in AD 325 and 381). It remains common to all the great Churches of both East and West **to this day**" <sup>105</sup>

"The Apostles Creed ... is the ancient baptismal symbol of the Church of Rome. its great authority arises from this fact: it is" **the Creed of the Roman Church**, the **See of Peter**, the first of the apostles, to which he brought common faith" <sup>106</sup>

"Our [Rome's] presentation of the faith will follow the Apostles Creed, which constitutes as it were the oldest Roman Catechism" <sup>107</sup>

Fearful self-aggrandizements for any student of the Bible to even consider as being truth.

Interestingly the majority of Protestantism believes the same Trinitarian Triune god of Catholicism and keep the same day. Then, it must be asked - what "theological tares" could the enemy plant, which would imperceptibly spread vigorously, assimilate undetected and be so thoroughly believed right up until the time of the harvest by Sabbath Keepers? Is this the warning of our Lord about "deceive if possible"! 108

The lack of distinction between truth and error does affect a genuine "Christian experience" Jesus warned in Matthew chapter 24. Distinctly, in His people there is NO GUILE!<sup>109</sup> The smallest measure of poison though extremely small, yet disguised yet very potent – and deception held as truth, deceives. It is not only myself but most **ex-Catholics** will admit when asked if they had a problem with the confusing "three god's are one" concept, all agree it is difficult to understand. It is Rome herself who claims responsibility for the defining and originality of the Trinitarian concept and insists "is the object of faith only."

"In order to articulate **the dogma of the Trinity**, the Church <u>had to develop its own terminology</u> with the help of certain notions of philosophical origin: "substance," "person" or "hypostasis," "relation," and so on. In doing this, she did not submit the faith to human wisdom, but gave a new and unprecedented meaning to these terms, which from then on would be used to signify an ineffable mystery, "infinitely beyond all that we can humanly understand." <sup>110</sup>

"My people are destroyed for a lack of knowledge." <sup>111</sup> [To be continued...]

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published (temporarily) semi-monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).

Editor, Publications & Research: Contributing Bible Students - all the credits go to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>5 Agendas Channel</u>

Any portion of WWN-Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".

- <sup>110</sup> Catholic Catechism para 251.
- <sup>111</sup> Hosea 4:1,6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Catechism Catholic Church para 195, (emphasis supplied)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> *Ibid* para 194, (emphasis supplied)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> *Ibid* para 196, (emphasis supplied)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Matt 24:24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Rev 14