

In this Australian ssue:

Pg. 1

The "unsearchable riches of" the Man in linen-"AD MATHAY" & the <u>Everlasting Gospel vs.</u> 7NL (Pt. 6) The Masterpiece of Deception—

The Masterpiece of Deception— The Masterpiece of deviltry—

F dítor's Preface

Dear Readers, in times like these - claim the following Bible promise - "inherit the promises" - "exceeding great and precious promises"

"Thou shalt not be afraid for the terror by night; nor for the arrow that flieth by day; Nor for the pestilence that walketh in darkness; nor for the destruction that wasteth at noonday. A thousand shall fall at thy side, and ten thousand at thy right hand; but it shall not come nigh thee...There shall no evil befall thee, neither shall any <u>plague</u> come nigh thy dwelling." (Ps. 91:5-10, ea., My family & I sing this Scripture Psalm/song, but read the whole chap.)

The Masterpiece of Deception—The Masterpiece of deviltry AGAIN? Yes Again! In fact, we are dealing with a masterpiece of deviltry.

Remember – the earlier Bible exposé on The Masterpiece of Deception – The Masterpiece of deviltry (Dec. 1st – Jan. 15th) sought to bring to our attention the importance of the warning of the third Angel.

It regarded the discussion on the 7 Noahides (7NL), in respect to the Doctrine of God and the Omega of deadly heresies. It was previously mentioned how the Messenger of the Lord trembled for the people when it came to the Omega of Deadly heresies. Also, bear in mind how the 1st Amendment of the U.S. Constitution has been compromised concerning the law prohibiting the establishment of religion which is what the detail about the 7NL concerns. For, the adoption of the 7NL, in fact, brings to fruition the Omega. It is timely to understand why the 7NL exposes the <u>Arian teaching</u> of the doctrine of God.

The Masterpiece of Deception— The Masterpiece of deviltry—

I received more of the following from the Bible Student who writes under the penname Swift Messenger:

'Walter Veith speaks in this past week about the pandemic and how Sovereign States respond to pandemics. He outlines

- final events
- And laws thereupon associated

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=abevOdBiPSQ

Video Link

The most unusual just happened in this Veith presentation - he was talking about 7NL.

He also mentioned the one god and what the Talmud book mentions.

Veith brings up 7NL @ minute mark 44:50 to the end and seems to get the picture. But if he is Trinitarian then there is a problem.

This brings to mind the endless attack on the first angel – concerning the <u>Eternal Identity</u> of and the <u>worship</u> of **He Who Made**. This prophecy on Rev 14 brings us the needed accuracy to the awareness of what the end time struggle of <u>Who</u> you worship and esp. targets the churches who adopted and acknowledged the Trinity doctrine of Catholicism or of those who reject Catholicism and endorse a 1 Father God and the eternally begotten/emanated Son in their numerous range of interpretations and reject Allos Parakletos – "another Comforter" (Jn.14:16).

The <u>endless attack</u> on the first angel ("Saying with a loud voice, (1) *Fear God*, and give glory to him; for the hour of his judgment is come: (2) and worship him that made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and the fountains of waters." Rev. 14:7) is truly a Masterpiece of Devilry.

Concerning the 7NL -

Does the Bible profane the Shema (Deut. 6:4) [Talmud interpretation] and oneness of God? It is clear it does!

In the beginning [en arche] Yahweh # 1 and Yahweh # 2 were the same. John 1:1 presents it that way. "WHEN ALL THINGS began, the Word already was. The Word dwelt with God, and what God was, the Word was." (Jn. 1:1 The New English Bible - New Testament)

In beginning was the Word [Logos] and The Word [Logos] was with God = (next to God) and was God. Two Divines only described.

The numerical equivalent of John 1:1 provides only 2 Divine Identities who form an Echad = Hebrew word = One or unit - in the form of an Elohim = plural word = Gods in the form of a binomial.

Genesis 1 introduces the following, "Let's make man", The word 'Let's' implies more than one Identity and two Divine Identities as described in John 1:1-3. John does not describe Three identities en arche. There is a very good reason for this. Further - These two Identities are found in Psalm 110:1-4 and one of Them is Melchizedek.

King David, under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, declared the following: "The Lord told my Lord to sit at my right hand until I put all your enemies under your feet." (110:1)

In verse 4 of Psalm 110 we see that Yahweh # 1 takes an oath declaring the following: "you are Priest forever according to the Order of Melchizedek."

<u>Ps 110 RSV</u>

Well, the same binomial that forms a single Elohim = Gods we see when the controversy of the problem of sin comes to an end. We find this in the form of the <u>Throne of God</u> and <u>of the Lamb</u> with the Lamb being Yahweh # 2 = The Son by "Decree" Psalms. 2:7.

According to the context of Romans 1:3 "The Lamb of God" = being the Uniquely begotten the [Monogenes Theos] when the Holy Spirit came upon Mary and as a result His birth in Bethlehem - and this uniqueness as Son was not in eternity as many erroneously believe or think that the Word had a beginning in the eternity. He willingly submits to the Father or Yahweh # 1.

The problem for Veith is that the Trinitarian belief of Catholic Dogma and its formulation that is found in the SDA 27 Fundamentals of Belief and is included in the prologue of the Godhead FB #1 and #2.

FB #1 - <u>There is <mark>one God:</mark> Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, a</u> unity of three co-eternal Persons.

http://www.sdanet.org/atissue/books/27/27-02.htm

<u>27 FB #1</u>

FB #2 states "God the Eternal Son became incarnate in Jesus Christ.

<u>27 FB #2</u>

On p.22 of the 27 FBs – Defines doctrine of the Godhead in SDA church since 1980 and what exists on this page is the huge problem for Veith and the oneness of God that he said on several occasions he finds most interesting and fascinating.

<u>27 FB</u>

Dynamics Within the Godhead —

Is there only one God? What of Christ, and the Holy Spirit?

The Oneness of God. In contrast to the heathen of surrounding nations, Israel believed there was only one God (Deut. 4:35;

6:4; Isa. 45:5; Zech. 14:9). The New Testament makes the same emphasis on the unity of God (Mark 12:29-32; John 17:3; 1 Cor. 8:4-6; Eph. 4:4-6; 1 Tim. 2:5). This monotheistic emphasis does not contradict the Christian concept of the triune God or Trinity—Father, Son, and Holy Spirit; rather, it affirms that there is no pantheon of various deities. [SDA Believe 27FBs]

Highlighted is the doctrinal lingua franca straight from the Catechism.

But in eternity the Lamb was not yet the son of God - this can easily be proved with the Scriptures by Inspiration as pictured in Revelation 4 & 5 with the very words of Jesus – and the Apostle Paul himself declares in Hebrews 7:1-3 that Melchizedek had neither Father nor Mother nor decent nor beginning of day nor end of days.

Fact is, the statement in Hebrews 7:1-3 is inextricably linked with Psalm 110:4 which presents Melchizedek at the right hand of the other Elohim who we can safely say represents Yahweh # 1.

Now back to the 7NL:

Veith rightly is concerned with the Joint session of Congress in 1991. He rightly speaks of a 3.5-year time of problems. Fact is, if we are genuinely concerned, we all should be interested in this adjunct law and the First Amendment and its contribution to events of Rev 13. But if you hold to even a <u>smidgeon</u> of a connection to the Catholic doctrine there is a problem.

Also, the next problem that Veith and his mention of discussing "outcomes" of the pandemic that sets the stage is this – it's **imperative** to research why **Rev 17:16** holds no commentary in the Writings.

Events of NSL (national Sunday law) will inevitably transpire in some form or another and appear as a threat. But what should never be forgotten is there is <u>no capital punishment</u> in the Encyclicals to be found. But he, the KoN (King of the North - Daniel 11) comes to his end when he decides to plant his palace between the seas and the glorious holy mountain, and upon entering, he comes to his end = Deadly wound.

The 8^{th} King [Rev 17] who is "He is of the seven" is reigning and imposes the 7NL as the 'law' per – Isaiah 2.

That means the deception about the 7NL arrives after the destruction of the scarlet woman.

In the RH of 1892 is the following ... suggests a connection with the **7NL to China**.

After the truth has been proclaimed as a witness to all nations, every conceivable power of evil will be set in operation, and minds will be confused by many voices crying, "Lo, here is Christ, Lo, he is there. This is the truth, I have the message from God, he has sent me with

great light." Then there will be a removing of the landmarks, and an attempt to tear down the pillars of our faith. A more decided effort will be made to exalt the false Sabbath, and to cast contempt upon God himself by supplanting the day he has blessed and sanctified. This false Sabbath is to be enforced by an oppressive law. Satan and his angels are wide-awake, and intensely active, working with energy and perseverance through human instrumentalities to bring about his purpose of obliterating from the minds of men the knowledge of God. But while Satan works with his lying wonders, the time will be fulfilled foretold in the Revelation, and the mighty angel that shall lighten the earth with his glory, will proclaim the fall of Babylon, and call upon God's people to forsake her. <u>{RH, December 13, 1892 par. 2, ea.}</u>

The question is - could the Sunday issue be brought in by way of a 7NL oppressive law as foreseen in Dec 1892?

Could this somehow be related to those wet markets in China and Corona V and the eventual clean up by kosher laws? Because of the origins of the Corona V - Are we seeing the beginnings of Kosher laws in relation to the importance of the 7NL in relation to the slaughter of animals and the connection to the wet markets? It is a fact that in those wet market's animals are killed by beatings and strangulation - then consumption.

- <u>https://www.chabad.org/library/article_cdo/ai</u> <u>d/62221/jewish/The-7-Noahide-Laws-</u> Universal-Morality.htm
- 7NL #4--- would address the issue of the Wet Markets in China.
- https://dailycaller.com/2020/03/29/chinesemarkets-reopen-bats-dogs-cats/

Prohibitions against the Sabbath

https://rafimollot.wordpress.com/2018/04/11/ shabbat-and-the-noahide-part-ii-the-talmud/

The above is in reference to prohibitions against any Sabbath and that includes Sunday.

What does that mean in light of what John the Revelator said is to occur in Rev 17:16?

What occurs after that, who then is in control, who issues the oppressive law?

Since the Sabbath is not given to gentiles according to the Talmud -

https://callmejorgebergoglio.blogspot.com/2 016/12/church-militant-promotes-sevennoahide.html <u>https://mauricepinay.blogspot.com/2013/12/p</u> ope-francis-modernist-hasidism.html

<u>https://mauricepinay.blogspot.com/2013/09/c</u> atholic-tradition-wrecking-pope-works.html

There is no doubt that 7NL is the oppressive law.

It seems that there will be a forced compliance to attend worship services but this is antithetical to 7NL which is based on the Talmudic precept of no Sabbath of any kind for the gentiles. The key to the puzzle might be found in the book **Keys of this Blood** where it is stated that Provincial globalists of our age will experience shocks and mutations emanating from more powerful groups - the super force.

This statement from **Keys of this blood**, has already taken place within the confines of Laodicea and the fact is that the 7NL may have to give way to this shock and mutation that may accommodate Sunday within its framework. This imposition of Sunday could possibly be the payback that the 8th King might give to the Synagogue of Satan. The problem is the information as depicted in Revelation 17:12-16 and 18, and the aftermath of those events, as being seen in Rev 13 are little perceived.

The matter of the Father and the Son during the time of the end will be a very tricky subject for the unschooled, since there is the true Biblical Father Son motif which reveals the equality of both by way of John 1:1 and the words of Jesus Alpha and Omega, and there is the false concept of Father and Son which hails from the emanated Logos concept. Most Christians are not equipped to deal with these very old but disguised as new emerging concepts.

Notice that the book of Revelation chapter 13 borrows the symbolism of the mark on the forehead and the right hand from **Deuteronomy 6:8**

Deuteronomy 6 YLT

Soon these errors in relation to the one god will be enforced and all will be required to observe the one god or enforced Shema by way of the 7NL which has an oppressive penalty for blasphemy against the one god which Christians already accept as the Trinity and Jews accept from a false interpretation of the Shema of Deuteronomy 6:4.

Fact is, the Friday keepers also accept the false version of the Shema of Deuteronomy 6:4.

Thankfully - **John 1:1-3** gets in the way of the Luciferian matrix and exposes the deception.

P.S. There is speculation the current pontiff won't be around after May 14. Whether this is true waits to be seen.

Swift Messenger

Interestingly, this week on the share Table outside the local Library I picked up a New Testament copy of The New English Bible. After turning first to the Book of Hebrews, I noticed that it was divided up into 3 sections. The 1st being 'Christ Divine and Human', the 2nd 'The Shadow and the Real' and lastly 'A Call to Faith'.

It was in this 2nd section - 'The Shadow and the Real' – that the two KEY texts of the *"Everlasting Gospel"* are found—Hebrews 8:3 & 9:23 - the *"necessity"* & *"necessary"*.

They read as follows - "Every high priest is appointed to offer gifts and sacrifices: hence, this one too must have something to offer." (8:3) Then most importantly "If, then, these sacrifices cleanse the copies of heavenly things, those heavenly things themselves *require better sacrifices to cleanse them."* (9:23) [continuing] "For *Christ has entered*, not that sanctuary made by men's hands which is only a symbol of the reality, but heaven itself, to appear now before God on our behalf. Nor is he there to offer himself again and again, as the high priest enters the sanctuary year by year with blood not his own. If that were so, he would have had to suffer many times since the world was made. But as it is, he has appeared once and for all at the climax of history to abolish sin by the sacrifice of *himself*. And as it is the lot of men to die once, and after death comes judgement, so Christ was offered once to bear the burden of men's sins, a and will appear a second time, sin done away, to bring salvation to those who are watching for him." (Heb. 8:3; 9:24-28, The New English Bible - New Testament, [*a*] Or to remove men's sins, ea.)

If plain English – if the Bible – if the Book of Hebrews – if the Apostle Paul is saying anything – it is saying that Christ's work entails a Dual Atonement Ministration just as Paul in Heb. 8:3 & 9:23 so clearly points out. Therefore, mainstream Christianity's & Laodicea's conclusion of 'The finished work of Christ' – 'twas all finished at the Cross' – 'no Dual Atonement' is rubbish – is wrong!

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: 5 Agendas Channel

Any portion of WWN-Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".