

The “unsearchable riches of” the Man in linen—

*The First Angel’s Message—
“The Everlasting Gospel”*

***The Alpha and Omega
The Masterpiece of Divinity Pt. 4
The Domain of the Divine***

Pg. 1

Editor’s Preface

Please visit our YouTube channel “Five Agendas” (see link at the end) and watch our most recent video on ‘Revelation 4 and 5’ (video # 48). **Note:** Rev. 4 & 5 contains the antidote for the ‘The Masterpiece of Deception’ - ‘The Masterpiece of devilry’ and provides all men the basis of the Masterpiece of Divinity.

“And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.”
—Melchisedec

**The Alpha and Omega
The Masterpiece of Divinity
The Domain of the Divine**

Echad – Mark 12 - The [OJB](#) version

The link to Orthodox Jewish Bible [[OJB](#)] above presents Echad but nowhere did they mention Yechid. Which means that the Christian Hebrew source is presenting Echad [[vs 29,31](#)] on the basis of Old Testament evidence regarding the revelation of the Two - and also notice that Adonai is also employed since that matter was well known during the second century BC.

After Christ arrived the matter changed and that was when the alteration of the meaning of Elohim in the modern Hebrew took place as well as the denial of linguistic differences between the words Echad and Yechid. Later came the emanationism of Philo [[see here](#)] and this was also a major component of the deception in the opposition to John 1:1 - as well as what Jesus stated as being the root of David.

Here again the issue is the matter of one Echad = duality and what Jesus stated in [Rev 22:13-16](#) in conjunction with what was stated in Psalms 110:1-4 and Hebrews 7:1-3. The issue will not be resolved theologically and it will be only on



an individual basis to solve. The duality will not be accepted by the powers that be, see [Rev 13:6-7](#)

-)————

Pastors and Mark 12

The problem is that there is an attack on the First angel and its very subtle - who can know it? It is asked, can anyone recall that when the duality is brought up against Trinitarianism - the instant retort is God is one?

Pastors will say - god is one - which was the very same argument as presented in Mark 12.

The interesting thing about this matter is that the Trinitarians will bring up the use of the word Echad when proving Christ from the Old Testament as the Angel of the Lord. They utilize the theology of Echad and later to have foot within the Shema of Israel they say that the Trinity is one god.

So basically, the Trinity is a tree of the knowledge of good and evil. So, the Trinity is to be fought at the beginning not with the exposition on the concept of the 3, which is the secondary issue, since there is no evidence of Three in eternity prior to the Incarnation. But from the concept of the Eternal Son - this is the core of the problem since it denigrates the First Angel's message and places One of the original Divines of the Duality [John 1] as an emanated being from the One.

After this problem is resolved then the issue of 3 in eternity prior to the Incarnation can be addressed.

7 Noahides (7NL)

The accumulating evidence is to say that 7NL goes hand in hand with the 3rd Temple, since a law goes forth from the great city [\[Isa 2\]](#). But the initial expose' may well take place after the Fall of the other great city [Rev 17:16 - the woman], since the theology of the First Angel (fear God [1] – worship Him that made [2]) will be presented to the masses in relation to John 1:1.

If the 144,000 who are not defiled with women finished their work, and are in hiding [see [Psa 83](#)], then either they gave the second and third angels message so that people could be warned prior to the imposition, or the Two Witnesses may give the First and third Angels message just prior to the commencement of the [3rd woe](#) - in which the extended 30 day period of Dan 12 ushers in the abomination of the Temple [\[Matt 24\]](#), and the delusion mentioned in 2 Thessalonians 2, and the warning of the love of the truth given by the Two Witnesses ends when they are slain in the streets of the great city by the beast of the bottomless pit. [\[Rev 11:7\]](#)

Then comes their (Two Witnesses) resurrection, and afterwards the Mark of the Beast (MoB) is enforced by the

Lamblike beast in conjunction with the abomination of the entrance of the man of sin into the 3rd Temple.

-)————

Two Witnesses

The testimony and warning by the Two Witnesses [2W] serves the purpose of warning the earth prior to the imposition of the Mark, the Number as well as the Image and the name during the 42 months. This scenario won't be fruitless and will get a groundswell of those who also see and witness its importance.

The 2W scenario witnesses the abomination of transgression or transgression of desolation entering its hottest and most chaotic stage which is a testing period - the removal of the heavenly TAMID (daily) / cleansing Day of Atonement message - and then the demise of the 2W [\[Rev 11:7\]](#) brings to the fore the beast with the 10 Horn 10 Crowns and its hatred of the remnant of the woman's seed [\[Rev 12:17\]](#), which reaches the boiling point = with the entrance of the 7NL and MoB.

-)————

Loud Cry

When the Vatican City (V city) falls [\[Rev 17:16\]](#), this is precisely the sign and the time of the Loud Cry. In other words, these events of the (other) great city (Jerusalem=J) are prior to the Loud Cry [\[Rev 18\]](#). And the issue of the one god was resolved with the events of the 2nd Angel's message and also how the 144,000 were not contaminated with the unity in diversity of the law of one, let alone the expressive unity consciousness UC and then comes the Mark of the B.

The destruction of V city is the Loud Cry, since the mighty forth angel mentions the matter - and it's a message of great importance given by the true church when the false church was burned by fire.

EGW mentions that the third Angel separates the wheat from the tares. But in reality, the matter began with the events of the second Angel since it's the second angel which will expose the matter of what occurred during the time frame of the first angel - which will prepare the way for the separation of the wheat from the tares.

Unfortunately, those that have accepted the message of late will be thrown in dungeons and this is correct since [Rev 20](#) reveals how they were handled in reference to not taking the mark or worshipping the image.

The resolving of the issue was the separation when the 4th Angel came down. Yet we see no evidence of repentance after the Loud Cry.

-)————

The Grand Monarch (GM) ~ National Sunday Law (NSL)

The GM of Catholic prophecy has been known for a long time - [Here](#)

The GM - the 7th king of Rev 17 – God said will have a “short space” and “none shall help him” [[Rev 17:10](#); 13:3 deadly wound, c.f. [Dan 11:45](#)] leaving the possibility of a NSL either to happen or not to occur. Yet for all of what the GM represents and the event of the fake Armageddon see [[Dan 11:40-44](#)]. It’s the First Angel’s Message that God requires our utmost attention.

Despite the fact there currently exists efforts to clean the swamp that the Scarlet Woman swims in [[here](#)], the awakening will draw crowds unto righteousness and we may yet see the cleaned-up version of the Scarlet Woman. But once the GM falls on the sword and receives the deadly wound – per Catholic prophecies and Rev 13:3 [brought to light since the error of 538-1798 was never corrected] something provokes the ten horns to give themselves “one hour” [[Rev 17:12](#)] with the Beast of Rev 13 - for they are not kings with crowns yet. When the end of Scarlet B costume party arrives and the scarlet woman is destroyed [[Rev 17](#)] there is the transition of beastly power and identity that eventuates unto the leopard beast [= [Rev 13](#)] also in trick costume appears and the ten kings receive sovereignty = crowns. The great city of seven hills gets trashed just after the 7th makes appearance between the sea and glorious mountain and sets up a tabernacle.

But nothing changes the importance of the First Angel and the Alpha and Omega.

Catholic prophecies have an emphasis on the arrival of the Great Monarch – of the Holy Roman Empire (HRE) - the 7th king of Rev 17. While the demise of NSL is a possibility [[Rev 17:16](#)] it will be short lived - and is actually swallowed up by the matter of the First Angel’s warning about the True Elohim worthy of worship - and the counter argument will be dilated emphatically that the occupant of the 3rd Temple will not tolerate anything other than a literal reading of the Shema of [Deut 6:4-8](#) since demons can also lament the wickedness in society on account of non-compliance with the Shema with respect to honouring the one god of all religions = Mark on forehead and right hand = [Deut 6:8](#) = [Rev 13:16](#)

The GM will bring to the fore the matter of worship, and yes, they will tout rest on Sunday but it’s the god of that day the demons will invoke big interest. But not for long. The fake Armageddon [[Dan 11:40-44](#)] ends that entirely and then arrives the Leopard like beast and the commencement of a tumultuous period of [42 months](#) = 1260 days. A new but old religion emerges by the 8th king [[Rev 17:11](#)].

—)

JH Kellogg and Alpha deadly heresies of the pioneers.

Much has been warned about the “Alpha of deadly heresies” and the Omega would follow and trembling was want for the people. But if you check - the Arian view of the original pioneers including the 1888 messengers Waggoner and Jones was that they taught Christ was begotten or born in eternity - even born twice was in fact the Alpha of heresy since it entails emanationism as does the Trinity.

What Harvey Kellogg taught in his book - The Living Temple brought out pantheism which is another aspect of the Alpha of deadly heresies.

Harvey Kellogg - a scape goat?

The Arian view of the original pioneers was also a form of the Alpha of heresy prior to Harvey Kellogg since it entails emanationism as does the Trinity.

It is clear the Holy flesh movement of Indiana existed – but what was the mystical attribute that this doctrine enjoyed? – which was that holy flesh is simply a component of the Eternal Son doctrine since the Unity Consciousness [UC] aspect takes place within the confines of the flesh = material world of the emanated Logos.

What many don’t realize that the Holy Flesh teaching and the experience of passing through Gethsemane was in fact a UC experience which in Hinduism is a form of enlightenment in which one becomes a christ.

This entails the Alpha or the beginning of the deadly heresy but its theological counterpart is the emanationism of which Harvey Kellogg once described as a boot giving birth to other little boots and that the boot was created by some unknown principle.

Whether Harvey Kellogg stated this or not he openly stated that if he needed to be corrected or anything in the book [Living Temple] that needed correction just mention the specific areas. But he revealed that they wanted to destroy him and Laodicea never gave him a proper explanation regarding the Alpha of deadly heresies. He was a convenient scapegoat to the administration of the day.

William H. Grotheer had this to say about the book **The Living Temple**:

"Few today really know what the book is all about, for few have ever seen a copy. I recall, after learning about the existence of the book, of obtaining a copy on loan from a history professor at Andrews University. To my surprise, the major portion of the 568-page book, involved physiology, anatomy and principles of health and hygiene. The first fifty pages contained philosophical concepts which were subject to 'question' and even in these it was difficult to discern 'the deadly heresies'. When I returned the book to Dr. Vande Vere,

I commented on this point, and he remarked that unless one knew what Ellen White had written about the book, a casual reader would not see the error charged to it.

"At the time of publication in 1903, those who favored a wide circulation for the book, declared, 'it contains the very sentiments that Sister White has been teaching.' (ibid, p. 52) in fact Kellogg himself maintained that the views expressed in his book were in harmony with Ellen White's chapter, 'God in Nature' found in Education. (John Harvey Kellogg, M.D., p. 185) On this point, I have personally, on several occasions, conducted an experiment with different audiences in discussing this issue. I compiled a series of quotations from Education and Living Temple, and asked those listening, as I read, to indicate from which book they were taken. At no time did anyone actually identify the quotations correctly." (Watchman, What of the Night, 12-94, p. 5-6. Emphasis supplied.)

CONCLUSION of the Alpha and Omega of deadly heresies

None in 1903 knew any better concerning the Alpha and Omega of deadly heresies and they still don't. The warning was signalled - the needed precision did not exist from EGW. Fact is, what is actually missed is that the early pioneers were already teaching the Alpha of deadly heresy in the form of Arianism and then accepted the Trinity doctrine as the up and out remedy of the controversy of the Godhead - and this doctrinal dilemma was confirmed in general conference session - so why are they not condemned?

Yet Harvey Kellogg was demonized by the gate-keepers and yes EGW was robust in the opposition to Kellogg.

Fact is, as you have seen - the pioneer Arian and the later accepted Trinity Godhead view does not align with John 1:1 - nor conform with the Alpha scenario as given by Christ Himself in Rev 1 and 22. The Omega of deadly heresies will be of a most startling nature and would follow, and would be accepted. [\[Here\]](#)

-)————

Who do the people think the Messiah is?

Jesus asked the following question: Who do the people think the Messiah is? And they responded, " the Messiah is the Son of David". [\[Matt 22:42-44\]](#) Jesus then quoted [Psalm 110:1-4](#) showing them that the Messiah Melchizedek* existed before David was ever born, since David called Melchizedek = Christ his Lord. [Psa 110](#)

The verse mentions David as saying the following "The Lord said to my Lord".

Psalm 110:1-4 mentions the Two Elohim = Gods = plural speaking - which proves that Christ was Melchizedek without Father or mother or beginning of days or end of life as stated by Paul in Hebrews 7:1-3 and "of whom it is witnessed that he liveth" 7:8. The context of Sonship was at

Bethlehem not in eternity as taught in both the Arian and the Trinity doctrine which is contrary to John 1:1.

Fact is that the Eternal Son doctrine is not mentioned in John 1:1.

The context of Sonship is clearly presented in Romans 1:3. <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Romans+1%3A3&version=RSV>

Conclusion 1

Christ being the pre incarnate Word of God was with God and was also God in eternity prior to the Incarnation. This is known as the **Alpha** scenario. Later when He was born on planet earth, He took upon Himself our nature and overcame sin in the flesh, which is the slave form, as the Son of God. This scenario = the Omega.

Conclusion 2

The Final stage of the Omega is when He retook His former glory see [Romans 1:4](#) but now from the context of the slave form = our humanity which now converts to the Melchizedek form which is higher than the heavens and immortal and is of course God as well as the Son of God in the glorified humanity which He has now taken upon Himself and He now submits all things under His feet and finally submits Himself under the Father's authority as seen in the restoration of the Throne of God and the Lamb in [Revelation 22:1](#).

-)————

* Newly found evidence for Melchizedek = Christ - "Reports have reached the rulers in Jerusalem that Jesus is approaching the city with a great concourse of people...As the procession is about to descend the Mount of Olives, it is intercepted by its rulers. They inquire the cause of the tumultuous rejoicing. As they question, 'Who is this?' the disciples, filled with the spirit of inspiration, answer this question...they repeat the prophecies **concerning Christ**: Adam will tell you, It is the seed of the woman that shall bruise the serpent's head. Ask Abraham, he will tell you, **It is 'Melchizedek King of Salem'** King of Peace. Genesis 14:18." (The Desire of Ages, p. 578-579, emph. add.)

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).

Editor, Publications & Research: Contributing Bible Students - all the credit goes to the Man in linen.
Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com
Man in Linen videos: [5 Agendas Channel](#)

Any portion of WWN – Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".