

In this Australian Issue:

'The Masterpiece of Deception'

Chapters 7-8, Pg. 1

Editor's Preface

With this fourth Bible exposé – we continue – 'The Masterpiece of Deception'. Last time, we had a look 'Lethal deception, monotheism's Triune god' & 'The Controversy - 1 John 5:7'. Now we will look at 'Blue Laws' & 'What is so spiritually lethal about all of this?' Once again, our most recent video - 'Revelation 4 and 5' offers the antidote for the deadly poison of 'The Masterpiece of Deception & deviltry'. [Continuing on...]

'The Masterpiece of Deception'

Chapter VII

Blue Laws

We, as a people, are clear in our appreciation and warning about **Sunday Laws** and separation of Church and State. We in turn recognise the more common terminology "Blue Laws" as being merely associated to Sunday Laws. But is this entirely the fact of the matter?

Sunday laws have been tested in the Supreme Court in USA as recently as 1961:- viz., *Braunfeld vs. Brown*, 366 U.S. 599 (1961); *McGowan v. Maryland*, 366 U.S. 420 (1961).

The origins of these Sunday statutes derive from the **Blue Laws** imposed on the early colonies in the USA. There are several types of Blue Laws other than Sunday rest and Sunday closing. The one, which is little known and takes most interest, concerns **BLASPHEMY**.

Please carefully the Blue Law on Blasphemy: -

Blue Laws, Against Blasphemy (Maryland, 1649)

Source: William Hand Browne, *Archives of Maryland*, Proceedings, and Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland, January 1637/8-September 1664 (Baltimore: Maryland Historical Society, 1883), pp.244, 245.

(p.244) That whatsoever pson or psons within this Province and the Islands thereunto belonging shall from hence forth **blaspheme God**, that is Curse him, or deny our Saviour Jesus Christ to bee the sonne of God, or shall deny the holy Trinity the ffather sonne and holy Ghost, or the Godhead of any of the said Three psons of the Trinity or the Unity of the Godhead, or shall use or utter any reproachfull Speaches, words or language concerning the Holy Trinity, or any of the said three psons thereof shalbe punished with death and confiscation or forferture of all his or her lands and goods to the Lord Proprietary and his heires. And bee it also Enacted by the Authority and with the advice and assent of aforesaid. That whatsoever pson or psons shall from henceforth use or utter any reproachfull words or Speaches concerning the blessed Virgin Mary the other Savour or the holy Apostles or Evangelists or any of them shall is such case for the first offence forfeit to the said Lord Proprietary and his heirs Lords and Proprietaries of this Province the sume of ffive pound Sterling or the value thereof to be Leeved on the goods and chattells of every such pson soe offending, but in case Offender or Offenders, shall not then have goods and chattells sufficient for the satisfying of such forfeiture, or that the sume bee not otherwise speedily satifyed that then such Offender or Offenders shalbe publiquely whipt and bee imprisoned during the pleasure of the Lord Proprietary or the Leive or cheife Governor of this Province for the time being. And that every such Offender or Offenders for every second offence shall forfeit teene pounds sterling or the value thereof to bee levyed as the aforesaid, or in case such Offender or Offenders shall not then haue goods and chattles within this Province sufficient for that purpose then to bee publiquely and severely whipt and [p.245] imprisoned as before is expressed. And that every pson or psons before mentioned offending herein the third time, shall for such third offence forfeit all his lands and Goods and bee for ever banished and expelled out of this Province. [Emphasis supplied]

> Seventh-day Adventist Bible Students' Source Book – Commentary Reference Series Vol. 9 .179 [1962 Edition].

¹³² James Standish – Sunday Laws in an Age of Freedom – Adventists Affirm [Fall 2005, Vol. 19, No, 3]. Quoting - Miriam Cho, *State-by-State Review of Sunday Laws* (2002) (a detailed report over 400 **Dr James Standish**, Director of Religious Liberty General Conference in a recent article found in Adventist Affirm states ...

"A recent review of Sunday laws in the 50 American states found that 49 of them, plus the District of Columbia, <u>have at least one form of Sunday law</u> currently on the books.¹³²

There remains on the Statute Books a <u>death</u> <u>decree</u> for denial and **blaspheming** the "most Holy Trinity!"

The Mystery of Iniquity has played a wily deception about the Godhead. He alone wants to be singularly worshiped and be like the Most High. Virtually unrecognizable this teaching has slipped passed us all because we hold conservative theological and well-known views concerning the National Sunday Laws. While this deception about God has been ultimately "hidden" for us all to see, all of Adventism is spotlighting and concentrating fully on, and looking only for, the National Sunday Laws as the main threat to Religious Liberty and ultimately persecution and a death decree.

Both the Alpha and Omega of Apostasy involve worship! Can one worship a day? Or does one worship God and that God being the God of a certain day on which one worships and keeps Holy?

Let it be stated plainly ... it is time for God's people who have eyes to see and ears to hear and to awake to this lethal yet little known deception concerning the "One God" whom ye Worship.

From these two references 1) **1John 5:7** and 2) **The Blue Laws** as published on our denominational presses we have available undeniable "source" material for many a Theology Class across our denomination for many decades yet we teach preach Babylon's Trinity. Why was this missed?

Not Sabbath Breaking but Blasphemy

Caiaphas arose following the testimony of two false witnesses and said to Jesus "Answerest thou nothing? What is it with these [two false] witness against thee?" Our Lord held His peace. And the high priest answered and said unto him, 'I adjure

pages long, compiled for the General Conference Department of Public Affairs & Religious Liberty and available at <u>www.religiousliberty.info</u> or by calling 301-680-6683).

thee by the living God, that thou tell us whether thou be the Christ, the Son of God.

Jesus saith unto him, Thou hast said: nevertheless I say unto you, Hereafter shall ye see the **Son of man** sitting on the right hand of power, and coming in the clouds of heaven. Then the high priest rent his clothes, saying, He hath spoken **blasphemy**; what further need have we of witnesses? Behold, now ye have heard his **blasphemy**. What think ye? They answered and said, He is **guilty** of <u>death</u>. ¹³³

Caiaphas trapped our Saviour into a false charge of blasphemy. Our Saviour was <u>not</u> indicted on **Sabbath breaking** but "**blasphemy**".

This matter of Christ being The Ladder ¹³⁴ was recognized <u>in part</u> by the Jews prior to Caiaphas arraigned our Lord in the Praetorium for the death penalty.

The record states a prior accusation of blasphemy by the Jews occurred. The narrative provides stark contrast between blasphemy and our Lord being the Ladder. The Jews only saw our Lord being part "The Ladder" and could never see His association of being the Top Rung.

The Gospel of John continues: -

"It was at Jerusalem, the feast of the dedication and it was winter. Jesus walked in the temple in Solomon's porch and the Jews came round about Him and said unto Him *"How long dost thou hold us in suspense? If thou be the Christ, tell us plainly?"*

Jesus answered them "I and my Father are one. Then the Jews took up stones again to stone him. Jesus answered them, Many good works have I showed you from my Father; for which of those works do ye stone me?

The Jews answered him, saying, for a good work we stone thee not; *but for <u>blasphemy</u>; and because that thou, being a man*, [the bottom rung of The Ladder] <u>makest thyself</u> <u>God</u> [The Top Rung].

Jesus answered them, is it not written in your law, **I said**, **Ye are gods**? If he called them gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken; Say ye of him, whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, **Thou blasphemest**; because I said, I am the Son of God? If I do not the works of my Father, believe me not. But if I do, though ye believe not me, believe the works: that ye may know, and believe, that the Father is in me, and I in him. ¹³⁵

It is interesting to also observe how heaven sees "**blasphemy**": -

"Wherefore I [Our Lord] say unto you, All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the <u>blasphemy</u> against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men. ¹³⁶

It is so that the Holy Spirit is to convict sin, righteousness and judgment¹³⁷ and guide into "all truth" ¹³⁸ if therefore one accuses that which the Holy Spirit speaks as error then is this not the sin of blasphemy which cannot be forgiven unto men? "In whom ye also trusted, after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed, ye were sealed with that Holy Spirit of promise" ¹³⁹

Chapter VIII

What is so spiritually lethal about all of this?

Hath a nation changed their gods, which are yet <u>no gods</u>? but <u>my people</u> have changed their glory for that which doth not profit. Be astonished, O ye heavens, at this, and be horribly afraid, be ye very desolate, saith the LORD. For my people have committed <u>two evils</u>; they have <u>forsaken me</u> the fountain of living waters, **and** hewed them out cisterns, broken cisterns, that can hold no water.¹⁴⁰

The spiritual lethalness is the worship of the Dragon's polished improvised 'image' of the true God's and that his intended desire is for one thing – he rankles to be worshipped - If we accept this image of the Little Horn and worship their perception of God we will also receive the wrath of God as warned by the Third Angel.

¹³⁷ John 16:8
¹³⁸ Ibid 16:13
¹³⁹ Eph 1:14
¹⁴⁰ Jer 2:11-13

¹³³ Matt 26:60-66

¹³⁴ John 1:51

¹³⁵ John 10:30-38

¹³⁶ Matt 12:31

We void the **Sanctuary Doctrine** and genuine Christian experience on the Day of Atonement when we worship what we perceive to be the true Godhead when in reality like the Jews of old, we are worshipping the sun god of paganism¹⁴¹. Why do we as a people - who were given the "Everlasting Gospel" need to accept Mystery Babylon's view of God whose origins are bounded in paganism, unrighteousness and remove

the top rung of The Ladder?

A false monotheistic godhead – Trinitarianism, which is a shadow of the very image, counterfeits and cannot continually make the comer thereunto perfect. This shadow of the true is a shadow of death! ¹⁴² Listen to the '**great words'** of the Little Horn

"<u>From the beginning</u>, the revealed truth of the Holy Trinity has been at <u>the very root</u> of the Church's living faith"¹⁴³

This being the case a genuine Christian experience of cleansing from sin for the individual by our High Priest in the Day of Atonement is impossible especially when our Church holds emphatically the Trinity dogma. The consequence of the Little Horn's **taproot** teaching developed within our own theology, to the extent, where we can now say as her - the Atonement was <u>completed on the cross</u>.

Babylon's Trinity dogma thereby continually casts the truth to the ground, and practices and prospers. Just because we as Adventists believe the history and the dominance of the Little Horn is past in receiving a "deadly wound;" *[underscore added - see end note] does not mean it is impossible for her to continue a "transgression of desolation, to give both the sanctuary and host to be trodden underfoot" on an ongoing basis. "In Revelation 17, Babylon is represented as a woman, a figure which is used in the Scriptures as the symbol of a church. A virtuous woman represents a **pure church**, **a vile woman an apostate church**. **Babylon is said to be a harlot**; and the prophet beheld her drunken with the blood of saints and martyrs. The Babylon thus described represents Rome, that apostate church which has so cruelly persecuted the followers of Christ. But Babylon the harlot is the mother of <u>daughters</u> who follow her example of corruption. Thus are represented those churches that cling to the <u>doctrines and traditions</u> of Rome and follow her <u>worldly practices</u>, and whose fall is announced in the second angel's message. ¹⁴⁵

What has happened in the rejection of a "most precious message" for example as given in 1888 and the subsequent warnings by Sr White of its rejection has caused us as a people after her death to believe that additional light meant receiving the Little Horn's central teaching (sic). Imperceptibly we have preferred darkness rather than light and express the worship of the true God's as a false god. The principle of the following quote is provided ...

"I saw that the greater the light which the people reject, the greater will be the power of deception and darkness which will come upon them. The rejection of truth leaves men captives, the subjects of Satan's deception."¹⁴⁶

Would the rejection of 1888 when left corporately unrepented give rise to the perfect deception within the theology of our ranks?

*[For more/update on the 'deadly wound' - See WWN Au.Ed. 4(18); 12(18); 5(19); 7(19); Aug. 12th 2019; 3(20); 6(20)]. [To be continued...]

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published (temporarily) semi-monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).

Editor, Publications & Research: Contributing Bible Students - all the credit go to the Man in linen.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: <u>5 Agendas Channel</u>

Any portion of WWN-Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".

¹⁴⁴ Questions on Doctrine p 354,355, 381 27 Fundamentals p.315 cf Catechism [571]

¹⁴¹ Ezek 8:16, 17

¹⁴² Luke 1:79

¹⁴³ Catholic Catechism – para 249