In this Australian Issue:



An Inexhaustible Theme –

"ad mathay"

"the Spirit of Prophecy"?

Pg. 1

Editor's Preface

Does Dan. 8:13's **question** by the "another saint" to Palmowniy–Wonderful Numberer–Man in linen– "ad mathay" - "Until when shall be the vision concerning the daily, and the transgression of desolation?" and the Man in linen's **answer** "Unto two thousand and three hundred days; then shall the sanctuary be cleansed" (v. 14) equal the "Spirit of prophecy"? Let's find out how and why, shall we?

"the Spirit of Prophecy"?

Abstract –

Is **554** therefore, an example of the Spirit of Truth = Christ = the Spirit of Prophecy? This is what breaks the *"mystery of iniquity"* (2 Thess. 2:7). In fact, it must be broken! And *"ad mathay"* does just that! In fact, *"ad mathay"* unlocks the book of Revelation. Because the *"mystery of iniquity"* does not want you or I to be **'cleansed'** as Hebrews 9:23-28 proves.

The TWO DIVINE CHRONOLOGIES in Dan. 8:13-14 and 12:11 prove Daniel 8:14's 2300 years' fulfillment in 1844 A.D., for a Dual or Final Atonement Ministration of *"cleansing"* in the Heavenly Sanctuary by Christ.

The Bible states:

"Then I heard one saint speaking, and another saint said unto that certain saint (Palmowniy – Wonderful Numberer) which spake, How long ("ad mathay" "Until when?) shall be the vision concerning the daily, and the transgression of desolation, to give both the sanctuary and the host to be trodden under foot? And he said unto me, Unto two thousand and three hundred days; [1844] then shall the sanctuary be cleansed...And from the time [554+1290=1844] that the daily shall be taken away, and the abomination that maketh desolate set up, there shall be <u>a thousand two hundred and</u> <u>ninety days</u>." (Dan. 8:13-14; 12:11, ea.) Do we really need to get this wrong any longer?

Palmowniy—the Wonderful Numberer—the Man in linen—Christ our Great High Priest after the order of Melchisedec removes ALL the theological clutter in mainstream Christianity and in Laodicea that has complicated, denigrated and "*cast down the truth to the ground*" (Dan. 8:12) for such a long time.

The present Truth about *"ad mathay"*—the *"daily"* Tamid—and the **1290** years terminating in 1844 right along with the **2300** years by the Man in linen can no longer be denied ...

... the more sure word of prophecy confirms **554** is established as the <u>correct commencement date</u> for the Man in linen's prophetic periods [1260-1290-1335]. And in fact, it was under exegetical discussion as far back as <u>1828</u>. This was <u>prior</u> to the Millerite movement; meaning its pre-1844 - pre-1843 chart, and was studied by Thomas White, Rector of St. Andrews, Hertford, and minister of Welbeck Chapel, St. Marylebone, <u>and</u> also the unidentified "J. G. O." in <u>1832</u> [See WWN - Au.Ed. IV - 8(18) 10(18) for the historical proof] ...

... these men of God were beginning to identify the Man in linen's Divine Chronological prophesies and solving the 1290 yrs. And this Bible fact makes for a wonderful powerful message, and this Evidentiary Testimony is in fact the *"testimony of Jesus...for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy"*.

O Wow! Re-read that paragraph!

In other words, the Man in linen's Divine endorsement for the true 'Investigation of Prophecy' that established the prophetic date of **554** for Daniel 12:11's *"from the time"* + 1290 years for the *"abomination that maketh desolate"* against the same *"daily"* in 8:13 – both the 2300 and the 1290 = **1844**. This is a *testimony of Jesus* and cultivates *the spirit of prophecy*.

Fact: The Man in linen already explained that the same "*daily*" and the "*transgression of desolation*" (i.e. the "*abomination that maketh desolate*") would be "*Unto two thousand and three hundred days*" 1844.

Why is this important in establishing the fact that the *"daily"*; that was being taught was 'paganism',

which was false, is actually Christ's High Priestly Ministration in the Heavenly Sanctuary? Because then the *"daily"* would no longer be trodden down [after 1844] by the little horn—as it was for 1290 years unto 1844—which was our Great High Priest's Intercession. And therefore, *the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy* would entirely <u>support</u> Christ's antitypical *"daily"* Ministration in the Heavenly Sanctuary—followed by Christ's antitypical 'YEARLY' Ministration — ah because that what *"then shall the sanctuary be cleansed"* means! The cleansing of the Heavenly Sanctuary would no longer allow the little horn to do that!

All of this then is saying clearly— the **Man in linen's Testimony** will <u>always</u> be about the *"testimony of Jesus"* ... John confirms ... *"the testimony of Jesus <u>is the spirit of prophecy</u>"*!

554 = Daniel 12:11's *"from the time"* + **1290** = Dan. 8:14 and therefore 1844 in combination with 457 B.C. + **2300** years = 1844.

TWO DIVINE CHRONOLOGIES?

Yes! A Testimony truth is by two or three witnesses (Matt. 18:16) and Daniel 8:13-14 and 12:11 prove Daniel 8:14's 2300 years' fulfillment in 1844 A.D. It thereby establishes a Dual or Final Atonement Ministration of *"cleansing"* in the Heavenly Sanctuary by Christ—the Man in linen—even our Great High Priest after the order of Melchisedec.

This is the foundational basis of John 4:22 "salvation is of the Jews" and is in fact to be known and preached as the "Everlasting Gospel" – the ELIJAH MESSAGE? – "How long halt ye between two opinions? if the LORD be God, follow him: but if Baal, then follow him." (1 kings 18:21)

Remember these present "Truths" are the **Man in linen's Testimony** the "testimony of Jesus" the "Spirit of prophecy" and "they that worship Him must worship Him in spirit and in TRUTH" (Jn. 4:24, ea.).

The uniqueness of the Sanctuary Doctrine is present Truth—the power of present Truth—the triumph of present Truth—but then the hardest part that we have experienced – the loneliness of present Truth! Dear Reader, will you be content to be like ancient Israel — "And the people answered him (Elijah) not a word"?

Or will you take a stand for the Man in linen and all that comes with Him regarding His Divine Testimony the *"testimony of Jesus"* the *"Spirit of prophecy"*?

Unmistakeably, Christ's Testimony corrects what is known as '*a mistake in some of the figures*' the (508-538-1798) error on the 1843 and 1850 charts. See EW p. 74.

Yes, the correction is necessary, the *"testimony of Jesus"* – which is the *"Spirit of prophecy"* says so!

A Testimony of Jesus is needed, because mainstream Christianity and Laodicea have settled for the little horn's 'no Dual Atonement' theory. BLASPHEMY!

The "mystery of iniquity doth already work: only he who now letteth will let, until he be taken out of the way."

"Awake to righteousness, and sin not; for some have not the knowledge of God: I speak this to your shame."? (1 Cor. 15:34). 'There comes a time...' and that TIME is NOW!

The Bible reveals the antidote for the "mystery of iniquity" as being "And without controversy great is the **mystery of godliness**: God was <u>manifest</u> in the flesh, <u>justified</u> in the Spirit, <u>seen</u> of angels, <u>preached</u> unto the Gentiles, <u>believed</u> on in the world, <u>received</u> up into glory." (1 Tim. 3:16, ea.)

The Spirit of Prophecy?

How does God define the "Spirit of prophecy"? He said it is all the "fruit of the Spirit" (Gal. 5:22) "For the fruit of the Spirit is in all goodness and righteousness **AND TRUTH**" (Eph. 5:9, ea.)

"And I heard as it were the voice of a great multitude, and as the voice of many waters, and as the voice of mighty thunderings, saying, Alleluia: for the Lord God omnipotent reigneth. Let us be glad and rejoice, and give honour to him: for the marriage of the Lamb is come, and <u>his wife hath made herself ready</u>. And to her was granted that <u>she should be arrayed in fine linen, clean and white: for the fine linen is the righteousness of saints</u>. And he saith unto me, Write, Blessed are they which are called unto the marriage supper of the Lamb. And he saith unto me, These are the true sayings of God. And I fell at his feet to worship him. And he said unto me, See thou do it not: I am thy fellowservant, and of thy <u>brethren that have the testimony of Jesus</u>: worship God: <u>for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy</u>." (Rev. 19:6-10, ea.)

Did you notice the cleansing aspect underlined – linked with *the testimony of Jesus – the spirit of prophecy*?

"And at <u>midnight</u> there was a cry made, Behold, the Bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him." (Matt. 25:6, ea.) or "Behold, the Bridegroom comes! Go forth to a meeting of him" [The Interlinear Bible, Sovereign Grace Publishers, ea.] Go out of what? What is it about this midnight message that warns us to come out, leaving something behind, to go out to meet the Bridegroom? — The Man in linen? Something to think about in the coming days for sure!

Jesus said - "And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free." (Jn. 8:32)

Whereby - "If ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed... If the Son therefore shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed." (Jn. 8:31, 36)

The condition of discipleship with Christ – the Man in linen is to *"continue in my word"* and it is this WORD that is TRUTH and the *"Testimony of Jesus"* the *"Spirit of Prophecy"*!

This Testimony is outlined in Daniel 8:14 and 12:11 proving the Divine intent for "*ad mathay*" — even a Dual Atonement – a Final Atonement Ministration in the Heavenly Sanctuary for your and my "*cleansing*". It's just that simple, friends!

Here is where the Testimony, Faith & Patience of Jesus becomes ours:

- 1. Herein is where "the <u>testimony of</u> Jesus... the spirit of prophecy"
- 2. Becomes ours "the word of <u>their testimony</u>" (Rev. 19:10; 12:11)
- 1. Here is where "*the faith of Jesus*"
- 2. Becomes ours "the <u>faith of</u> the saints." (Rev. 14:12; 13:10)
- 1. Here is where the "*patience of Jesus Christ*"
- 2. Becomes ours "the <u>patience of</u> the saints" "<u>patience... of</u> the saints" (Rev. 1:9; 13:10; 14:12)

And this is why we need *"the <u>testimony of</u> Jesus...the spirit of prophecy"* whereby we overcome! (c.f. 12:11)

More on Revelation 17—

Our prior discussion [See WWN Au.Ed. - V – Sept. 5th 2019] about 554 was identifying the First of the *"five kings"* of Revelation 17.

God gave Daniel two important prophetic markers to not deviate from:

1) the reference to the 3 fallen kings of Dan. 7.

2) what the Man in linen said concerning "*ad mathay*" the "*daily*" and the 1290 ending in 1844 as per Dan 8:14.

How does this relate to Revelation 17? The extent of the Transgression of Desolation/Abomination of Desolation in the period of 554 to 1844 was incredible. * (See below) The Abomination of Desolation [AoD] is a <u>reverse</u> acronym for the Day of Atonement **[DoA].** (How interesting!)

The **AOD** for 1290 years was *"from the time"* (Dan. 12:11) of 554 *"Unto"* 1844. The **DOA** began in 1844 onward. (Easily remembered?)

The Man in linen said that in answer to "*ad mathay*" concerning the "*daily*" – Tamid and the Abomination of Desolation for 1290 yrs went <u>"*Unto*"</u> 1844 – [Daniel 8:13-14; 12:11].

So, when counting back from 1844 - 1290 years we can only reach **554**. Exegesis for this is based upon the fact that the *three horns* are *plucked up by the roots*. You will notice this was prior to the reign of the little horn. History attests the fall of the three kings was followed by Justinian's pragmatic sanction. He was the first of the five fallen kings of Rev. 17.

The reason why the 1260 (in fact, all 3 chronologies 1260-1290-1335) has to start from the same date of **554** can be found in Dan. 7:8, 24-25; 12:6-7. Based on Daniel 7, 8, & 12, it makes no sense starting at separate times. [e.g. 508-538]

The Man in linen presents the chronological sequence. Daniel 12:7 was the start of the 1260. Then 12:11 was the start of the 1290 and presents the correct 30-year gap from 1814 to 1844. Then Dan 12:12 presents the 1335. We have 554 + 1335 = the year 1889. This was the first year of the outpouring of the Latter Rain following the epic 1888 message of RxF (righteousness by faith) by Jones & Waggoner.

CORRECTION: In the **February 2017** [See WWN Au.Ed. - II - 2(17) p. 3] issue, we quoted the following text:

"'For the <u>mystery of iniquity</u> doth already work: only he who now letteth will let, until he be taken out of <u>the way</u>. And then shall that Wicked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him [Christ], whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness.' (2 Thess. 2:7-12, ea.)"

However, providentially, after a diligent reader brought to our attention the questionable insertion of [Christ] in brackets; which was merely inserted so as to help us understand just who Paul was talking about in this text. And after further research, we realized the insertion [Christ] could not be justified. While checking certain Bible Commentaries, and studying this text more closely, we realized we had just relearned something that while – at the time, it was understood as making sense – yet was not correct. A clear mistake was done in ignorance at the time, but when corrected allows the textual evidence-the Bible to breathe so much clearer! It appeared as if Paul, while speaking about Christ in verse 8, continued to refer to His coming ("Even him" being supplied in the KJV) being "after the working of Satan" in verse 9.

However, the *"after the working of Satan"* is rendered in the Greek as *"according to" "the working of Satan"*, and therefore <u>cannot</u> be referring to [Christ].

The correction should read: *"Even him* [ho anomos—*"that Wicked"* one]. With this corrected we have no more further problems, right? Well, not so fast! Assumptions exist in regard to 2 Thess. 2 that will not be easy to set aside. But for the few who study, they will see the end events in clearer light. With many it will prove too difficult to accept their traditions were ever wrong.

Providence is marvellous! In making this correction, we stumbled upon something that left us in sheer amazement. For while these texts in 2 Thess. 2 are now rightly understood, there remains an enormous dilemma for those who have assumed this text is applying to another identity than what the text allows. The assumption is the "mystery of iniquity", is the papacy. Further, this means that the "man of sin...son of perdition" "mystery of iniquity" and "that Wicked" are one and the same identity. Is this a valid hermeneutic? No.

In a future thought paper, we will share more detail on the implications of the Greek as to the identity and relationship of " $\eta \circ \alpha \nu \circ \mu \circ \sigma$ " [ho anomos "*that Wicked*" one] to Rev. 13 and 17.

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): <u>www.5agendas.com</u> Man in Linen videos: 5 Agendas Channel

Any portion of WWN–Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?" Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published (temporarily) semi-monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).