In this Australian Issue: V – Aug. 12th 2019



An Inexhaustible Theme -

"ad mathay" (Pt. 20)

How to Understand the Two Scripture Scenarios of "ad mathay" & Rev. 13

Pg. 2

Cognitive Dissonance

Pg. 3

F dítor's Preface

"Though the mills of God grind slowly, yet they grind exceeding small; Though with patience He stand waiting, with exactness grinds He all." "Retribution" Henry Wadsworth Longfellow.

First, let's review two words and how they apply to Bible Truth.

Inimitable Scripture—Adjures Truth

Inimitable— 'the adjective inimitable to describe someone or something that is so special or unique, it is impossible to duplicate'.

Adjure— 'The verb adjure is a heavy-duty synonym of the word "ask," with more of a demanding tone.'

"In beginning [en arche] was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God. All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made." (Jn. 1:1-3, Gr.)

"Saying with a loud voice, Fear God, and give glory to him; for the hour of his judgment is come: and worship him that made heaven, and earth, and the sea, and the fountains of waters." (Rev. 14:7)

The inimitable Scripture verse that adjures the truth of John 1:1-3 and Rev 14:7 is a verse of tremendous and unparalleled strength; it's a Scripture Text Par Excellence about the Elohim Doctrine [the Two of Them, en arche, Zech. 6:13; Jn. 1:1] that cannot be gainsaid by anyone for the very fact of its infinite depth of Divine Quality and Equity.

The text is Rev 1:17-18: This text is the self-proclaimed summary of the Divine basis of why 'He who made' was also God [en arche] and the Alpha / Omega.

"And when I saw him, I fell at his feet as dead. And he laid his right hand upon me, saying unto me, Fear not; I am the first and the *last: I am he that liveth, and was dead; and, behold, I am alive for evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hell and of death." (Rev. 1:17-18)* Amen!

Next, the <u>most important point to remember</u> about the Man in linen's endorsement of <u>554</u>—is that it unquestionably provides us with <u>two Divine Chronologies</u>. Which in an age of winds of doctrine and widespread denial in Laodicea and mainstream Christianity concerning the <u>Everlasting Gospel's</u> <u>Dual Atonement Ministration by the Man in linen</u>—we have the Bible—and the Man in Linen provides the Divine evidence for the Dual Atonement—Salvation. 554 accomplishes that!

Now, the rest of the thought paper will briefly discuss *"ad mathay"* and the prophecy of Rev. 13 and then lastly, what is Cognitive Dissonance— how we can & MUST avoid it.

How to Understand the Two Scripture Scenarios of "ad mathay" & Rev. 13

Over the last 19 issues of WWN (Aust.) only a small amount of the Bible & historical evidence for 554 A.D. has been presented. What has been shown is Christ has Himself set forth the correct starting—or Biblically known as *"from the time"* (Dan. 12:11) date of 554 for the 1260-1290-1335.

What must never be misunderstood is the errors in the charts were never corrected. Hence, a correction of the mistake in some of the figures on the 1843-1850 charts has been made by the Man in linen. There is much more.

So, with this 'Part 20' on *"ad mathay"* we will transition from parts to individualised thought paper articles on *"ad mathay"*— seeing there are still more pieces of the puzzle to pull together so as to 'see' the big picture.

The Man in Linen has set forth the answers to the 1290 and "ad mathay" - ever so simply...

Daniel 8:13-14 and 12:11 concerns "ad mathay"—

Revelation 13 does NOT-

In Daniel 12:7 we have the 1260 yrs, which is also related to *"ad mathay"* because it starts at the same time as the *"from the time"* of 554 in 12:11 concerning the 1290 yrs. reaching *"Unto"* 1844.

In Rev. 13 we also have a 1260-time frame without any reference to or mention of a Greek equivalent of the Hebrew *"ad mathay"*— *"How long?"*.

Why? Is God trying to tell us something?

If we read the Bible just as it was written: no twisting, or ADDING unto - just as it reads -- this MUST also apply to Rev. 13: (KJV)

v1 And I stood upon the sand of the sea, and saw a beast rise up out of the sea, having seven heads and ten horns, and upon his horns ten crowns, and upon his heads the name of blasphemy.

v2 And the beast which I saw was like unto a leopard, and his feet were as the feet of a bear, and his mouth as the mouth of a lion: and the dragon gave him his power, and his seat, and great authority.

v3 And I saw <u>one of his heads as it were wounded to death</u>; and his <u>deadly wound was healed</u>: and all the world wondered after the beast.

v4 And they worshipped the dragon which gave power unto the beast: and they worshipped the beast, saying, Who is like unto the beast? who is able to make war with him?

v5 And there was given unto him a mouth speaking great things and blasphemies; and power was given unto him to continue forty and two months.

Conclusion: no manipulation or ADDING unto - just as the Bible reads the following is stated:

- 1. one of his heads as it were wounded to death
- 2. deadly wound was healed

3. forty and two months.

Laodicea's Manipulation:

Why then is Rev. 13 manipulated by having the forty and two months (1260) take place first with verse 5?

Their reasoning is by having verse 3 take place in **1798** as the *deadly wound*. Which is to say **Verse 5** (forty and two months) takes place first with **538** + **1260** and then **Verse 3** with one of his heads as it were wounded to death in 1798 ... and the deadly wound was healed. Verse 5 then verse 3?

- 2 -

Why twist the Scriptures making Rev. 13 of none-effect? (c.f. 1 Corinthians 1:17; 2:4-5) Why persist with an error in "*some of the figures*" when the *Wonderful Numberer* provides the needful correction of the 1843 and 1850 charts?

We have a more sure word of prophecy—for the Man in linen did mean what He said, which is the 1290 terminates in 1844 right along with the 2300—it's all right here in Daniel 8:13-14 and 12:11.

Do we really need to understand **"AD MATHAY"** - **"Until** When?" and how this is associated with **A**) the *Daily* and **B**) the *Transgression of Desolation*? [same desolation in 12:11.]

The MAN IN LINEN said **"Until When?"** concerning items **A** and **B** above that it was NOT 1798! No!

BUT [ah ha] 1844 Daniel 8:14! (2300 years)

The Man in Linen said **A** the **Daily** and **B** the **Transgression/Abomination of Desolation** would terminate at the determined time of *"Unto"* 1844 in Dan 8:14!

He did not say "Unto" 2254, which is what He would have to say if the *Transgression of Desolation* that was asked about in Dan 8:13 "AD MATHAY" was to terminate in 1798 as previously thought.

If the error of interpretation regarding the 1290 terminating in 1798 is held onto, then the Man in linen did not understand the question, was wrong, or said the wrong thing ... But this cannot be! He was right!

The Transgression of Desolation or Abomination of Desolation in Daniel 8:13 and 12:11 = **1844 Daniel 8:14!** (the end of the 2300 years) All the credit goes to the Man in linen!

Daniel 8:14—2300 yrs

Fact is that it was not fulfilled by Antiochus Epiphanes, since his desecration lasted exactly 3 yrs and 2 months to be exact from Kislev 168-165 B.C., and Daniel <u>12:11</u> states that from its starting point the abomination would last 1290 days and in literal time it doesn't match with the Antiochus time frame [the math is revealing].

Fact is, Daniel <u>12:11</u>'s 1290 was more than the 1260 that are mentioned in Daniel 12:7, and Daniel 12:7 was more time than what is recorded in history in reference to Antiochus Epiphanes whose desecration was exactly 3 yrs and 2 months. Fact is, Daniel <u>8:14</u> was not the fulfillment of the feast of dedication, since it only took 8 days to purify the Altar in the second Temple and not 6 yrs and 5 months in literal time = 2300 in literal time.

The fact is, Evenings and Mornings are revealed in Genesis 1. And since in literal time you cannot match Daniel <u>8:14</u> with Antiochus' desecration, then the answer does involve the year day principle which equals 1290. This does align with Adventism's chronological fulfillment & announcement in Revelation 14:6-9.

"All truth passes through three stages. First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self-evident." (Arthur Schopenhauer)

"Things are not always what they seem; the first appearance deceives many; the intelligence of a few perceives what has been carefully hidden." Phaedrus (Plato)

"The further a society drifts from the truth, the more it will hate those who speak it." (George Orwell)

Cognitive Dissonance

What is Cognitive Dissonance and how can we avoid it?

"Sometimes people hold a core belief that is very strong. When they are presented with evidence that works against that belief, the <u>new evidence</u> cannot be accepted. It would create a feeling that is extremely uncomfortable, called cognitive dissonance. And because it is so important to protect the core belief, they will rationalize, ignore and even deny anything that doesn't fit in with the core belief." [Frantz Fanon, emp. add.]

But what about the 'age-long' EVIDENCE—the *"Everlasting Gospel"* that uplifts the Cross for:

A Dual Atonement—proven by the Bible—the New Testament—the book of Hebrews which summarizes the "Everlasting Gospel".

- † The Man in linen and His High Priestly Ministration in the Heavenly Sanctuary.
- *"two immutable things"* of *"necessity"* (the Cross) & *"necessary"* (Final Atonement 'cleansing').
- † The Man in linen's evidence that the 1290 terminates in 1844 right along with the 2300 yrs.
- † The historical evidentiary material supporting 554 A.D. as the starting date for Daniel 12's prophetic periods.
- t Leviticus 4 & 16.
- † 5 Agendas—and the correlation of the last 3 agendas to the 3 Angel's Messages, the *"example and shadow of heavenly things"*—Sanctuary Message and Christ's own prophecies.
- † The "daily" & 'yearly' is Christ's High Priestly Ministration in the Heavenly Sanctuary not made with hands.
- Melchisedec was the Logos—Melchisedec is Christ,
- † The Chazown and Mar'eh.
- † The Bible's 3 Chronological Segments, 70 weeks Dan. 9.
- † Christ fulfilling the Feasts antitypically.
- † The Bible's Covenants.
- † Revelation 13—allowing the Bible to interpret itself.
- † And Righteousness by faith—the Faith and Righteousness of Christ.
- † The Man in linen's endorsement of 554—?

To deny any of the above for the sake of defending the core belief that is wrong—is in fact—to deny the Man in linen, Christ, His righteousness, His Cross & His High Priestly Ministration!

Now, here are some examples of cognitive dissonance—that denies Christ and Him crucified, His Cross, what He said and His High Priestly Ministration:

- x 'twas all finished at the Cross' (mainstream Christianity).
- x 'no dual atonement' 'once for all' (Laodicea).
- God's saving plan accomplished once for all (woman of Rev. 17 -Harlot).
- The little horn received its deadly would in 1798 A.D. at the close of the 1260 yrs that began in 538 A.D. [contrary to the facts given by Gabriel Dan. 12.]
- x Mainstream Christianity's and Laodicea's inability to explain why Jesus said "salvation is of the Jews" or explain Hebrews 8, 9 &10.
- ${\rm x}$ ~ The daily is 'paganism'.
- Melchisedec was just a man, etc.

- x The 70th week is yet future (i.e. the gap theory).
- x The Feasts must be kept literally.
- x The old covenant of 10 Commandments were nailed to the Cross.
- x The focus of a third temple in Jerusalem [Heb. 8 states otherwise].
- Romans 11 assures us to pray and support modern Israel [instead of Spiritual Israel].
- Righteousness by works, 'once saved always saved', sinless perfectionism, self-perceived 'sainthood'.

What does the Bible say is the end result of cognitive dissonance?

"For whatsoever soul it be that shall not be afflicted in that same day, he shall be <u>cut off</u> from among his people. And whatsoever soul it be that doeth any work in that same day, the same soul will I <u>destroy</u> from among his people." (Lev. 23:29-30, ea.)

"And he saith unto him, Friend, how camest thou in hither not having a wedding garment? And he was <u>speechless...weeping and gnashing</u> <u>of teeth</u>." (Matt. 22:12, 13, ea.)

"I said therefore unto you, that ye shall die in your sins: for if ye believe not that I am He (our Great High Priest), ye shall die in your sins." (Jn. 8:24)

Conclusion:

The *"Everlasting Gospel"* which concerns the Man in linen's 'NECESSARY" '*cleansing*' Ministry helps us to avoid Cognitive Dissonance! We can and we MUST avoid this!

It is for this reason that ... our Great High Priest after the order of Melchisedec is described in the following grandeur:

"He is able also to save them to the <u>uttermost</u> that come unto God by him, seeing He ever liveth to make intercession for them." "Seeing then that we have a Great High Priest, that is passed into the heavens, Jesus the Son of God, let us hold fast our profession. For we have...an High Priest which [can] be touched with the feeling of our infirmities...was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin. Let us therefore come boldly unto the throne of grace, that we may obtain mercy, and find grace to help in time of need." (Heb. 7:25 4:14-16)

The Australian Edition of "Watchman, what of the night?" is published (temporarily) semi-monthly by the Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia).

Email: maninlinen@protonmail.com

In-depth pictorial analysis & back issues of WWN (Aust. Edition): www.5agendas.com Man in Linen videos: 5 Agendas.com

Any portion of WWN–Aust. Edition may be reproduced without further permission by adding the credit line – "Reprinted from 'Watchman, what of the night?' Australian edition, Adventist Laymen's Foundation of Mississippi (Australia)".